



USDA FOREST SERVICE REGION 1 2018 SOCIAL SURVEY

Methods Summary - Revised

November 2018

Prepared by:

Bureau of Business and Economic Research
University of Montana
Missoula, Montana 59812

Prepared for:

USDA Forest Service Region 1
26 Fort Missoula Road
Missoula, Montana 59804



Contents

Methods Summary 2

 Survey Administration 2

 Questionnaire Design 2

 Sampling 3

 Sampling Error..... 3

 Data Collection Outcomes.....4

 The Respondents 5

 Data Set Preparation 5

 Weighting 5

 Structure of the Remainder of this Report 6

 Questionnaire A1

 Crosstabulations B1

 Open-Ended Questions..... C1

 References..... D1

Table 1: Sampling Plan Description 3

Table 2: Data Collection Outcome Summary 4

Table 3: Respondent Demographics 5

Methods Summary

The purpose of the 2018 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey is to examine:

- perceptions of the purpose for federal public lands;
- views about possible management actions on those lands;
- opinions about possible concerns regarding federal public lands;
- frequency of self-reported activities on federal public lands; and
- opinions about the quantity of services provided on federal public lands.

The survey is designed to help policy-makers and planners quantify public opinions about federal public lands that are close to the home of Region 1 residents.

The mail and internet-administered survey provides U.S.D.A. Forest Service a rigorous, representative sample by which to gauge current public opinion. The survey was constructed to act as a benchmark that would allow possible future exploration of trends in public sentiment regarding federal public lands in U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1.

Survey Administration

University of Montana's Bureau of Business and Economic Research (BBER) administered the survey on behalf of the U.S.D.A. Forest Service from January 7, 2018, through April 11, 2018. The survey was administered by mail and responses were collected over the internet or via a hardcopy questionnaire. Sampled potential respondents received up to 4 mail contacts during the survey:

1. An introductory letter inviting participation via an internet link provided;
2. A follow-up letter thanking respondents and reminding nonrespondents that they could participate via the internet link provided;
3. A 8.5" x 11' questionnaire packet mailed to non-respondents only inviting participation via an internet link provided or by completing a hardcopy questionnaire and returning it in the stamped envelope provided;
4. A second 8.5" x 11' questionnaire packet mailed to non-respondents only inviting participation via an internet link provided or by completing a hardcopy questionnaire and returning it in the stamped envelope provided.

Questionnaire Design

The questionnaire was designed by U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 staff. U.S. Office of Management and Budget clearance for the survey was issued on 11/20/2017. The OMB control number for this survey is 0596-0236. BBER formatted the hardcopy of the questionnaire. In addition, BBER programmed and tested the internet version of the questionnaire using software provided by Qualtrics, Inc.

Sampling

Sampling was conducted using an addressed-based, random sample purchased from SSI, Inc. Within household random sampling was conducted using the most recent birthday method. The study population was adults (ages 18+) who lived in an occupied dwelling that was listed on the U.S. Postal Service's Computerized Delivery Sequence File. These adults were sampled from 598 census tracts located in or near national forests in Region 1. The list of relevant census tracts was provided by Region 1 staff. This population differs slightly from all adults as it excludes institutionalized persons, homeless persons, and those absent during the survey period.

The sample was stratified by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definition of urban areas. One stratum consisted of all Region 1 census tracts outside OMB-designated metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties. The second stratum consisted of all Region 1 census tracts within metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties. The purpose of stratifying the sample in this way was to make sure that respondents in low population areas were not underrepresented, as they may have been using a simple random sample. The sampling plan is summarized in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Sampling Plan Description

STRATUM	2016 18+ POPULATION	SAMPLED ADDRESSES	UNWEIGHTED RESPONSES
1 – Outside metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties	449,853	1,292	400
2 – Inside metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area counties	1,455,754	2,448	892
Total	1,905,607	3,740	1,152

Sampling Error

The 1,152 responses to this survey yielded a confidence interval of +/- 2.9% under the assumptions of simple random sampling. This means that if the survey were administered 100 times using simple random sampling, in 95 of the administrations a proportion of 50% would be found +/- 2.9%. The standard error for this survey under the assumptions of simple random sampling is 1.5%. Data users should take care to calculate standard errors and t-tests for these data using a statistical software package that can account for the actual sample design of the survey. Accounting for the stratified sample design, the actual confidence interval for a proportion of 50% estimated from this survey is +/- 4.7% and the standard error is 2.4%

Data Collection Outcomes

Of the 3,740 potential respondents sampled 1,152 responded. Table 2 below summarizes the outcomes of the data collection process:

Table 2: Data Collection Outcome Summary

DATA COLLECTION OUTCOME CATEGORY	FINAL AAPOR DISPOSITION CODE	N
Interview (Category 1)		
Complete	1.0/1.10	1,152
Partial	1.2000	0
Eligible, non-interview (Category 2)		
Refusal	2.1000	28
Physically or mentally unable/incompetent	2.3200	5
Literacy problems	2.3400	1
Unknown eligibility, non-interview (Category 3)		
Nothing returned	3.1900	2,000
USPS: Cannot be delivered	3.2500	11
USPS: No mail receptacle	3.2530	183
USPS: Undeliverable as addressed	3.3100	20
USPS: No such post office in state	3.3132	27
USPS: Vacant	3.3134	203
USPS: Unable to forward, not deliverable as addressed	3.3141	106
USPS: Temporarily away, holding period expired	3.3400	4
Total sample used		3,740

Table 2 lists data collection outcomes by categories and codes established by the American Association of Public Opinion Research. (American Association for Public Opinion Research, 2016) The response rate for this survey is 36.2%, calculated using AAPOR response rate formula 3. This response rate is typical for rigorously conducted, address-sampled, mail and internet-administered surveys. (Dillman, Smyth, & Christian, 2014)

The Respondents

Table 3 below describes the respondents. 2016 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey 5-year population proportions for the study population of 1,905,607 persons are provided for context.

Table 3: Respondent Demographics

CHARACTERISTIC		2016 ACS 5- YEAR ESTIMATE (%)	UNWEIGHTED RESPONSES (%)	WEIGHTED RESPONSES (%)
Gender	Male	50.0%	57.2%	50.0%
	Female	49.7%	42.3%	49.7%
	Other	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Age	18-34	30.6%	9.5%	30.6%
	35-49	14.7%	11.5%	14.7%
	50-64	34.3%	37.5%	34.3%
	65 +	20.4%	41.5%	20.4%
Race	White	91.2%	94.1%	91.2%
	American Indian	3.4%	4.3%	3.4%
	Other	5.4%	1.6%	5.4%
2016 Household Income	< \$50,000	49.3%	42.1%	49.3%
	\$50,000 - \$99,999	31.4%	40.1%	31.4%
	\$100,000 +	19.3%	17.8%	19.3%
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	36.3%	15.9%	36.3%
	Some college or AA degree	37.9%	42.2%	37.9%
	Bachelors +	25.8%	41.9%	25.8%

Data Set Preparation

Following collection and data entry, 100% of mailed questionnaires were verified for data entry accuracy. Appropriate data labels were added as well as composite variables and flags to facilitate analysis. Missing values for the weighting variables, necessary for comparison to the 2016 ACS 5-year estimates, were imputed using the hot deck method which substitutes the responses of similar cases for missing data. Data were processed using three statistical software packages: IBM SPSS Statistics Version 25 (2017), SAS Version 9.4 (2016), and Statistics Canada's G-EST Version 2.01 (2017).

Weighting

Weights for the 2018 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey were calculated using a three-step process. In step 1 a base weight was calculated to account for the probability of selection of each individual in the sample. The population control total was based on the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey 2016 5-year estimate for the population of persons age 18 and older. In step 2 the base weight was modified to adjust for nonresponse. In step 3 the nonresponse-adjusted weight was calibrated to population control totals derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey 2016 5-year estimates for the population of persons age 18 and older.

Survey weight calibration was conducted using the Gest_Calibration module of Generalized Estimation System version 2.01 (March 2017) developed by Statistics Canada. The 2018 U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey weight was calibrated to population control totals by:

1. Sampling strata
2. Gender
3. Age
4. Race
5. 2016 household income
6. Educational attainment

Two survey weights were provided by BBER on the dataset: a population weight useful for estimating the number of adults in the study population who have a particular characteristic, and a sample weight needed for most statistical packages to calculate standard errors.

Structure of the Remainder of this Report

The remainder of this report is divided into three sections. The first section presents the hardcopy version of the questionnaire. The next section includes extensive crosstabulations of the responses to this survey. The final section displays answers to the open-ended questions asked in this survey.

Questionnaire



OMB 0596-0236

EXPIRATION DATE: 11/30/2020

2018

U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0596-0236. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average ten minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Administered by: University of Montana
Bureau of Business and Economic Research
Sponsored by: U.S.D.A. Forest Service
Region 1
1/1/2018

Purpose

The purpose of this survey is to collect information that will help land managers improve conditions and resource management on federal public lands. Federal public lands include national forests, national parks, national wildlife refuges and Bureau of Land Management lands. These are different from city and state parks and playgrounds. Examples of federal public lands include the Bob Marshall Wilderness, the Dakota Prairie Grasslands, and the Upper Missouri River Breaks National Monument. This survey hopes to capture input from local stakeholders and therefore asks about *local* federal public lands.

Important Information

When answering, please think of the federal public lands that are **nearby your home**. *These local federal public lands are those areas not more than an hour's drive away or within approximately 50 miles of your home.*

 **START HERE**

Q1. Are you the adult age 18 or older in your household who will have the next birthday?

Mark one
button (X)

- Yes → Please continue.
- No → Please have the adult in your household who will have the next birthday complete the survey.

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands? Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important
a. Protecting water quality	<input type="radio"/>				
b. Providing recreation opportunities	<input type="radio"/>				
c. Protecting air quality	<input type="radio"/>				
d. Providing income for the tourist industry	<input type="radio"/>				
e. Providing income for the natural resource extraction industries (oil /gas /coal /minerals)	<input type="radio"/>				
f. Providing income for the timber industry	<input type="radio"/>				
g. Protecting rare and endangered plant and animal species	<input type="radio"/>				
h. Protecting wildlife habitat	<input type="radio"/>				
i. Knowing that in the future I will have the option to visit a primitive or wilderness area of my choice	<input type="radio"/>				
j. Providing spiritual inspiration	<input type="radio"/>				
k. Knowing that future generations will have primitive or wilderness areas	<input type="radio"/>				
l. Just knowing that primitive or wilderness areas exist	<input type="radio"/>				
m. Providing scenic beauty	<input type="radio"/>				
n. Preserving natural areas for scientific study	<input type="radio"/>				
o. Other (Please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>				

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support
a. Closing underused campgrounds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Requiring permits to recreate in very popular areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Introducing parking fees	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. Introducing camping fees	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Allowing more outfitters and guides	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Maintaining underused trails less frequently	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. Increasing thinning of forests near communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Harvesting dead trees following natural wildfire, beetle kill, or other natural disturbance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. Using prescribed fires for fire prevention near communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. Using prescribed fires for maintaining forest health in areas farther away from communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k. Allowing natural wildfires to burn if they don't threaten people's lives or property	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands?

Please mark one button (X) for each item listed below.

	Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned
a. Wildlife encounter (e.g. bear, lion, snake, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Bad weather	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. May get lost	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. Poor road conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Poor trail conditions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Run out of gas or supplies in remote area	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. Lack of cell phone coverage	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Needing emergency services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. Forest fire	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. Poor air quality	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k. Shooting (not at a range)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
l. Crime	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
m. Other? (please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
a. People in my local community have been involved in decisions about land management	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Land management decisions balance the concerns of most people in my community	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Land managers in my area do a good job communicating with the public	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. I trust local land managers to make the proper decisions about land management	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Land management decisions balance the concerns of both local communities and national interests	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q6. Have you ever visited your local federal public lands?

Mark one
button (X)

- Yes → **Go to Question 7 below.**
- No → **Skip to Question 16 on page 11.**

Q7. Please tell us how many times you have visited your local federal public lands in the past 12 months? Do not count visits that include commuting through public lands and working in public lands.

Mark one button
(X)

- 0 times
- 1 time
- 2 to 4 times
- 5 to 10 times
- 11 to 30 times
- More than 30 times

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands by marking one button (X) for each item.

	Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never
a. Hiking/walking	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Horseback riding	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Downhill skiing or snowboarding	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Snowmobiling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Cultural ceremonies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. Fishing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Hunting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. Creative arts (photography /drawing/ painting/writing)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. Developed camping (at official campground)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k. Dispersed camping (not at official campground)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
l. Viewing wildlife	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
m. Relaxing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
n. Driving for pleasure	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
o. Road bicycling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
p. Mountain bicycling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
q. Firewood collecting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
r. Foraging for berries, mushroom, etc.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
s. Picnicking	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
t. Other (please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands by marking one button (X) for each item.

	Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never
a. Harvesting timber	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Mining	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Oil/gas extraction	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. Outfitter/guiding	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Other (please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
_____	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q10. Have there been activities that you wanted to do during your visit(s) to local federal public lands that you were not able to?

Mark one
button (X)

- Yes → Go to Question 11 below.
 No → Skip to Question 13.

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, commercial activity, fewer fish, etcetera. Write in the place or site and select the reason why you do not visit at certain times or altogether.

Site / Place

Reason for no longer visiting:

Example:

Russian River

There is too much crowding during weekends, so I visit during weekdays

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. For each item below please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know
a. I have access to areas of cultural/traditional significance	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. I have pleasant interactions with other visitors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. I experience conflict with users using different modes of transportation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DON'T KNOW. Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know
a. Rangers patrolling the area	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Trails for all terrain vehicles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Areas open to snow machines	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. Accessible (e.g., for wheelchairs) sites	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Bathrooms	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Trails for mountain bikers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. Travel information on forest websites to plan for trips	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Other (please specify below)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

|

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DON'T KNOW. Please mark one button (X) for each item.

	Not Enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know
a. Designated wilderness (preserved wildlands)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Wildfire suppression activities i.e. management to put out wildfires	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Wildfire mitigation activities i.e. management to prevent wildfires	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q17. Please let us know if there are any other issues that you feel are big problems on local federal public lands. Please provide more information below about the problem (for example, where did you encounter the problem?)

Q18. What is your gender? Please mark one button (X) below.

Mark one button

(X)

Male

Female

Other

Q19. In what year were you born? Please print the year in the box below.

Year

Q20. Are you Hispanic or Latino? Please mark one button (X) below.

Mark one button

(X)

Yes

No

Q21. What is your race? Please mark one or more boxes (X) below.

- American Indian or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Black or African American
- Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander
- White

Q22. Would you or any member of your household prefer to receive information about public lands, services, etc. in languages other than English?

Mark one
button (X)

- Yes → Go to Question 23
 No → Skip to Question 24

Q23. Which language? Please print the language name below.

Language

Q24. Please indicate the highest level of education you have completed? Please mark one button (X) below.

Mark one button
(X)

- Less than high school
 High school graduate/ GED
 Some college
 Vocational or Technical School certificate
 Associates degree
 Some college
 Bachelor's degree
 Master's degree
 Graduate degree or professional degree (MA, MS, PhD, JD, MBA etc.)

Q25. Including yourself, how many people live in your household? Please print the number in the box below.

People in household

Q26. Are you a permanent or seasonal resident of the residence to which this questionnaire was mailed? Please mark one button (X) below.

Mark one button

(X)

- Permanent
- Seasonal

Q27. On average, how far is it from your home to the places where you usually recreate? Please print the number of miles in the box below.

Miles

Q28. How long have you lived in the community where you now live? Please print the number of years in the box below.

Years

Q29. Which of the following categories applies to you? Please mark one or more boxes (X) below.

- Employed full-time
- Employed part-time
- Unemployed
- Retired
- Student
- Homemaker/caregiver
- Self-employed/consultant
- Small business owner
- Permanently disabled

Q30. Which category best represents your annual household income (before taxes) last year? Please mark one button (X) below.

Mark one button

(X)

- Less than \$24,999
- \$25,000-\$34,999
- \$35,000-\$49,999
- \$50,000-\$74,999
- \$75,000-\$99,999
- \$100,000-\$149,999
- \$150,000-\$199,999
- \$200,000 or more
- Choose not to answer

Thank you for your help with this important research!



U.S.D.A. Forest Service Region 1 Social Survey

Use envelope provided or mail to:
Bureau of Business and Economic Research
Gallagher Business Building, Rm 231
University of Montana
32 Campus Drive
Missoula, MT 59812-6840

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0596-0236. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average ten minutes per person, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Crosstabulations

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
a. Protecting water quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.4%	3.2%	6.7%	27.7%	61.0%	1131
	Male	2.0%	5.6%	8.9%	31.2%	52.2%	648
	Female	0.7%	0.8%	4.4%	23.8%	70.3%	477
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	76.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	1.0%	3.0%	5.3%	25.6%	65.0%	108
	35-44	4.2%	3.9%	12.4%	18.7%	60.8%	132
	45-64	0.2%	4.4%	4.9%	33.2%	57.3%	425
	65 and over	1.7%	0.8%	7.7%	28.3%	61.5%	466
Race	White	1.5%	3.3%	6.9%	28.2%	60.1%	1065
	American Indian	0.6%	4.2%	2.5%	15.0%	77.8%	48
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%	26.6%	67.7%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.9%	3.0%	5.9%	30.9%	59.3%	180
	Some college or associates degree	2.7%	5.1%	7.0%	23.3%	61.9%	476
	Bachelors degree or more	0.1%	0.6%	7.4%	29.6%	62.2%	475
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.9%	2.2%	4.9%	24.6%	66.4%	474
	50000 to 99999	1.1%	2.8%	7.3%	32.2%	56.6%	455
	100000 or more	0.4%	6.4%	10.3%	28.4%	54.5%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.3%	3.5%	13.4%	34.7%	48.2%	392
	Urban	1.7%	3.1%	4.7%	25.6%	65.0%	739

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
b. Providing recreation opportunities

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.9%	3.1%	23.3%	31.8%	39.9%	1131
	Male	3.6%	4.6%	19.9%	31.9%	39.9%	647
	Female	0.1%	1.6%	26.4%	31.8%	40.1%	478
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	82.6%	11.6%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	2.0%	5.0%	22.3%	27.9%	42.8%	109
	35-44	0.1%	2.5%	25.9%	20.8%	50.7%	132
	45-64	2.1%	1.9%	22.6%	34.9%	38.5%	423
	65 and over	2.6%	2.7%	24.2%	40.6%	29.9%	467
Race	White	1.7%	2.4%	24.3%	31.8%	39.8%	1065
	American Indian	0.6%	20.2%	8.1%	36.9%	34.3%	48
	Other	5.7%	5.7%	15.3%	28.3%	45.1%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.1%	1.3%	20.0%	36.7%	40.9%	180
	Some college or associates degree	3.6%	5.5%	24.2%	28.9%	37.8%	477
	Bachelors degree or more	0.5%	2.2%	26.7%	29.1%	41.5%	474
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.1%	3.5%	23.1%	37.4%	33.8%	475
	50000 to 99999	0.4%	2.8%	22.0%	26.3%	48.5%	455
	100000 or more	3.6%	2.5%	26.1%	26.2%	41.6%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.7%	2.9%	19.2%	34.0%	43.1%	395
	Urban	2.2%	3.2%	24.6%	31.1%	38.9%	736

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
c. Protecting air quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.4%	4.6%	10.6%	29.3%	53.1%	1120
	Male	3.5%	7.6%	14.6%	34.0%	40.3%	641
	Female	1.2%	1.6%	6.7%	24.9%	65.6%	473
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	0.0%	4.0%	10.3%	25.7%	60.0%	108
	35-44	4.5%	7.2%	14.9%	17.4%	56.0%	129
	45-64	3.3%	6.0%	11.4%	33.5%	45.8%	423
	65 and over	2.9%	1.0%	6.7%	36.3%	53.1%	460
Race	White	2.4%	4.6%	11.2%	29.1%	52.7%	1056
	American Indian	4.2%	1.1%	3.1%	25.5%	66.1%	47
	Other	0.0%	6.0%	6.0%	35.8%	52.2%	17
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	2.3%	2.6%	8.7%	36.7%	49.7%	177
	Some college or associates degree	3.7%	8.0%	12.0%	20.8%	55.5%	473
	Bachelors degree or more	0.5%	2.3%	11.4%	31.4%	54.4%	470
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.3%	2.1%	6.9%	28.9%	59.8%	470
	50000 to 99999	2.0%	3.0%	16.8%	28.3%	49.9%	452
	100000 or more	3.2%	13.5%	10.1%	32.0%	41.2%	198
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.2%	6.7%	10.6%	38.4%	43.0%	387
	Urban	2.7%	3.9%	10.6%	26.5%	56.2%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
d. Providing income for the tourist industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	9.7%	17.7%	39.7%	22.8%	10.1%	1124
	Male	14.0%	19.1%	40.1%	19.6%	7.1%	645
	Female	5.3%	16.3%	39.1%	26.1%	13.1%	473
	Other	11.6%	5.8%	76.8%	0.0%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	7.1%	23.5%	39.8%	22.6%	7.0%	109
	35-44	12.4%	14.4%	37.4%	27.4%	8.4%	132
	45-64	10.5%	13.7%	43.8%	19.6%	12.4%	422
	65 and over	10.5%	17.5%	34.8%	25.1%	12.1%	461
Race	White	10.5%	18.0%	38.4%	22.7%	10.5%	1059
	American Indian	3.6%	18.8%	58.1%	14.3%	5.2%	48
	Other	0.0%	12.0%	52.0%	30.0%	6.0%	17
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	8.5%	13.7%	41.3%	21.8%	14.7%	179
	Some college or associates degree	13.4%	19.0%	37.1%	21.6%	8.9%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	5.9%	21.5%	41.5%	25.9%	5.2%	470
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	8.6%	14.2%	43.6%	23.1%	10.5%	470
	50000 to 99999	9.2%	18.8%	40.1%	22.3%	9.7%	452
	100000 or more	13.4%	24.8%	29.4%	22.8%	9.6%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.7%	22.3%	39.2%	18.3%	12.7%	392
	Urban	10.3%	16.3%	39.9%	24.2%	9.3%	732

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
e. Providing income for the natural resource extraction industries (oil /gas /coal /minerals)

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	23.1%	15.7%	27.7%	19.7%	13.9%	1130
	Male	24.8%	16.4%	24.7%	19.8%	14.3%	647
	Female	21.4%	15.0%	30.7%	19.3%	13.6%	477
	Other	11.6%	5.8%	5.8%	71.1%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	27.3%	17.1%	25.8%	19.4%	10.3%	109
	35-44	25.9%	19.4%	24.9%	15.3%	14.5%	132
	45-64	19.7%	14.5%	30.1%	18.2%	17.5%	425
	65 and over	20.1%	12.6%	28.3%	25.8%	13.2%	464
Race	White	23.4%	16.5%	26.0%	20.1%	14.1%	1064
	American Indian	14.9%	7.6%	55.5%	6.6%	15.5%	48
	Other	22.4%	5.7%	39.6%	21.0%	11.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	15.1%	12.0%	31.2%	25.0%	16.8%	179
	Some college or associates degree	25.7%	13.6%	26.7%	18.0%	16.1%	478
	Bachelors degree or more	30.4%	23.6%	24.2%	14.8%	6.9%	473
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	24.1%	17.3%	27.1%	19.2%	12.4%	473
	50000 to 99999	20.8%	15.2%	29.6%	21.3%	13.1%	455
	100000 or more	24.2%	12.2%	26.0%	18.3%	19.3%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	19.8%	7.5%	33.6%	22.5%	16.6%	395
	Urban	24.1%	18.2%	25.8%	18.8%	13.1%	735

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 f. Providing income for the timber industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	10.1%	15.7%	30.1%	24.1%	20.1%	1124
	Male	11.9%	14.2%	27.4%	25.8%	20.7%	642
	Female	8.3%	17.3%	32.4%	22.5%	19.5%	476
	Other	11.6%	0.0%	76.8%	5.8%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	12.1%	21.8%	32.1%	21.8%	12.2%	109
	35-44	10.5%	19.6%	29.2%	17.1%	23.5%	131
	45-64	10.0%	11.6%	31.2%	23.7%	23.5%	424
	65 and over	6.8%	10.1%	25.7%	33.4%	24.1%	460
Race	White	9.9%	16.1%	29.0%	24.7%	20.4%	1058
	American Indian	3.4%	30.1%	28.6%	30.5%	7.4%	48
	Other	17.0%	0.0%	49.0%	11.3%	22.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.0%	9.0%	27.7%	30.8%	28.5%	178
	Some college or associates degree	13.3%	15.1%	29.8%	21.8%	20.0%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	14.0%	25.8%	33.6%	18.1%	8.5%	471
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	9.7%	15.4%	32.3%	24.1%	18.5%	470
	50000 to 99999	8.6%	15.1%	26.2%	29.2%	20.9%	454
	100000 or more	13.4%	17.3%	30.6%	15.9%	22.8%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.3%	10.1%	23.9%	28.3%	28.5%	391
	Urban	10.3%	17.4%	32.0%	22.8%	17.5%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
g. Protecting rare and endangered plant and animal species

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	5.9%	8.5%	18.4%	26.3%	40.9%	1129
	Male	8.0%	11.0%	23.6%	26.2%	31.2%	646
	Female	3.8%	6.0%	13.1%	26.2%	50.8%	477
	Other	5.8%	0.0%	5.8%	76.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	4.1%	3.7%	11.2%	27.2%	53.8%	109
	35-44	6.1%	18.4%	14.6%	24.8%	36.1%	132
	45-64	7.5%	7.5%	23.9%	26.7%	34.4%	424
	65 and over	6.2%	10.2%	22.8%	25.6%	35.3%	464
Race	White	5.9%	8.9%	19.0%	26.3%	39.9%	1063
	American Indian	6.7%	1.8%	3.4%	17.8%	70.4%	48
	Other	5.7%	5.7%	16.8%	32.3%	39.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.7%	6.5%	24.5%	34.2%	30.1%	178
	Some college or associates degree	8.7%	10.1%	12.2%	21.8%	47.1%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	3.6%	8.9%	18.7%	22.0%	46.8%	476
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	3.4%	4.7%	17.7%	28.7%	45.5%	474
	50000 to 99999	5.1%	10.4%	16.9%	27.2%	40.5%	454
	100000 or more	13.8%	15.2%	22.3%	19.0%	29.6%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.0%	10.7%	22.2%	28.7%	29.4%	392
	Urban	5.0%	7.8%	17.2%	25.6%	44.5%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
h. Protecting wildlife habitat

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.0%	4.5%	12.6%	29.0%	51.9%	1119
	Male	3.4%	6.0%	15.2%	35.2%	40.2%	639
	Female	0.6%	3.0%	10.1%	22.4%	63.9%	474
	Other	0.0%	5.8%	5.8%	76.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	0.0%	4.8%	9.0%	24.6%	61.6%	109
	35-44	2.4%	6.8%	16.1%	23.0%	51.7%	132
	45-64	2.8%	4.0%	14.3%	33.6%	45.2%	417
	65 and over	3.4%	3.2%	12.9%	32.5%	48.1%	461
Race	White	2.1%	4.6%	13.3%	29.1%	51.0%	1053
	American Indian	2.5%	0.6%	6.4%	9.2%	81.4%	48
	Other	0.0%	5.7%	5.7%	37.9%	50.7%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	2.6%	3.3%	11.7%	38.3%	44.1%	178
	Some college or associates degree	2.1%	4.9%	14.9%	22.8%	55.3%	469
	Bachelors degree or more	0.9%	5.6%	10.8%	25.0%	57.7%	472
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.4%	2.0%	9.3%	31.1%	55.2%	474
	50000 to 99999	1.3%	5.1%	12.5%	27.8%	53.4%	446
	100000 or more	2.1%	10.0%	21.4%	25.3%	41.3%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.4%	8.6%	15.6%	29.8%	43.6%	391
	Urban	1.8%	3.2%	11.7%	28.7%	54.5%	728

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
i. Knowing that in the future I will have the option to visit a primitive or wilderness area of my choice

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	7.0%	7.4%	14.4%	25.6%	45.6%	1133
	Male	9.4%	7.9%	18.8%	24.8%	39.1%	647
	Female	4.7%	6.9%	10.1%	26.1%	52.2%	480
	Other	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	71.1%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	4.1%	4.6%	12.1%	22.6%	56.6%	109
	35-44	7.2%	11.2%	13.4%	18.4%	49.7%	131
	45-64	8.2%	6.2%	16.1%	30.3%	39.3%	425
	65 and over	9.6%	10.9%	15.7%	27.6%	36.2%	468
Race	White	6.9%	7.1%	14.6%	25.7%	45.7%	1067
	American Indian	3.1%	0.6%	2.9%	30.4%	63.1%	48
	Other	11.3%	17.0%	17.0%	20.7%	34.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	6.2%	8.7%	15.2%	28.7%	41.2%	179
	Some college or associates degree	10.4%	6.5%	14.2%	24.4%	44.5%	479
	Bachelors degree or more	3.2%	6.9%	13.6%	23.0%	53.2%	475
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	5.5%	7.4%	13.8%	29.6%	43.8%	476
	50000 to 99999	8.2%	6.2%	13.9%	18.7%	53.0%	455
	100000 or more	9.2%	9.2%	16.8%	26.4%	38.3%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	8.3%	7.7%	20.0%	21.2%	42.8%	394
	Urban	6.6%	7.3%	12.6%	27.0%	46.5%	739

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
j. Providing spiritual inspiration

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	24.5%	18.2%	22.1%	19.0%	16.3%	1125
	Male	31.3%	20.0%	22.5%	14.0%	12.2%	644
	Female	17.8%	16.5%	21.8%	24.1%	19.9%	475
	Other	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	16.9%	13.4%	23.7%	24.8%	21.2%	109
	35-44	34.8%	16.0%	20.2%	10.5%	18.5%	131
	45-64	28.8%	20.2%	21.7%	17.9%	11.4%	422
	65 and over	21.3%	24.1%	21.6%	18.1%	15.0%	463
Race	White	25.1%	18.5%	21.7%	19.6%	15.1%	1060
	American Indian	20.2%	2.9%	22.0%	14.9%	40.0%	47
	Other	17.0%	22.4%	28.3%	11.3%	21.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	26.2%	20.8%	20.5%	16.6%	15.9%	178
	Some college or associates degree	28.0%	17.4%	19.0%	22.5%	13.1%	474
	Bachelors degree or more	17.0%	15.9%	28.6%	17.2%	21.3%	473
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	20.6%	16.0%	23.0%	22.8%	17.6%	473
	50000 to 99999	23.2%	21.2%	24.4%	14.4%	16.9%	452
	100000 or more	36.6%	19.0%	15.9%	16.6%	11.9%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	25.3%	20.0%	21.7%	13.4%	19.6%	392
	Urban	24.2%	17.7%	22.2%	20.7%	15.2%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
k. Knowing that future generations will have primitive or wilderness areas

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.9%	7.2%	12.0%	23.4%	52.4%	1129
	Male	6.7%	7.7%	15.9%	23.9%	45.7%	647
	Female	3.1%	6.8%	8.0%	23.1%	58.9%	476
	Other	5.8%	0.0%	5.8%	5.8%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	3.1%	3.0%	9.8%	19.4%	64.7%	108
	35-44	2.3%	12.6%	7.2%	22.7%	55.2%	130
	45-64	7.1%	6.7%	15.3%	24.4%	46.4%	423
	65 and over	6.1%	10.5%	13.1%	28.4%	41.9%	468
Race	White	5.0%	7.5%	12.0%	23.7%	51.8%	1063
	American Indian	2.5%	1.1%	13.2%	8.0%	75.1%	48
	Other	5.7%	5.7%	11.1%	28.3%	49.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.2%	8.7%	14.1%	27.6%	45.4%	179
	Some college or associates degree	7.3%	7.7%	10.9%	20.2%	53.9%	477
	Bachelors degree or more	2.6%	4.6%	10.5%	22.3%	60.0%	473
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.6%	5.5%	12.3%	24.1%	55.5%	475
	50000 to 99999	8.5%	7.0%	8.8%	20.3%	55.5%	453
	100000 or more	5.4%	12.0%	16.2%	26.9%	39.5%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.3%	8.8%	20.3%	23.0%	42.6%	393
	Urban	4.8%	6.7%	9.3%	23.6%	55.5%	736

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 I. Just knowing that primitive or wilderness areas exist

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	7.3%	4.8%	18.2%	27.1%	42.5%	1128
	Male	9.8%	6.4%	22.8%	26.2%	34.8%	647
	Female	4.7%	3.3%	13.7%	27.8%	50.5%	475
	Other	5.8%	0.0%	11.6%	71.1%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	4.1%	1.0%	20.5%	27.5%	46.9%	108
	35-44	9.7%	8.7%	14.8%	20.0%	46.8%	131
	45-64	10.3%	5.0%	16.3%	29.6%	38.8%	423
	65 and over	5.3%	7.5%	20.4%	27.6%	39.1%	466
Race	White	7.2%	4.5%	18.5%	27.7%	42.0%	1062
	American Indian	2.5%	2.2%	12.1%	10.9%	72.3%	48
	Other	11.3%	11.3%	16.8%	26.6%	34.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.9%	5.5%	17.1%	31.0%	41.6%	177
	Some college or associates degree	9.3%	3.2%	19.9%	23.4%	44.3%	479
	Bachelors degree or more	7.7%	6.3%	17.4%	27.3%	41.3%	472
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.3%	4.6%	18.7%	30.7%	43.7%	473
	50000 to 99999	11.4%	3.2%	17.7%	23.0%	44.7%	453
	100000 or more	13.2%	7.9%	18.1%	24.8%	36.1%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.4%	8.4%	20.3%	27.3%	34.6%	391
	Urban	6.6%	3.7%	17.6%	27.1%	45.0%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
m. Providing scenic beauty

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.3%	5.8%	17.0%	34.5%	40.3%	1123
	Male	3.0%	7.8%	19.9%	36.2%	33.1%	640
	Female	1.6%	3.9%	14.3%	33.1%	47.2%	477
	Other	5.8%	5.8%	0.0%	5.8%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	0.1%	4.8%	13.0%	34.9%	47.3%	107
	35-44	4.8%	8.6%	24.7%	27.3%	34.7%	132
	45-64	2.8%	6.6%	15.0%	38.8%	36.8%	421
	65 and over	3.1%	4.1%	20.9%	32.2%	39.7%	463
Race	White	2.5%	5.2%	17.7%	35.9%	38.6%	1058
	American Indian	0.6%	13.3%	6.9%	6.0%	73.3%	47
	Other	0.0%	11.3%	11.3%	28.3%	49.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	2.9%	5.2%	16.9%	35.2%	39.8%	179
	Some college or associates degree	2.4%	6.5%	17.9%	30.5%	42.7%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	1.4%	5.6%	15.9%	39.5%	37.6%	469
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.1%	4.1%	16.2%	36.8%	40.8%	474
	50000 to 99999	3.0%	5.1%	17.2%	35.0%	39.7%	449
	100000 or more	1.6%	11.5%	18.8%	27.9%	40.1%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.3%	5.6%	26.1%	27.9%	39.0%	390
	Urban	2.6%	5.9%	14.2%	36.6%	40.7%	733

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
n. Preserving natural areas for scientific study

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	8.3%	13.5%	20.6%	25.1%	32.5%	1128
	Male	11.8%	19.8%	23.1%	20.4%	25.0%	646
	Female	4.8%	6.6%	18.2%	30.1%	40.3%	476
	Other	5.8%	88.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	5.1%	8.8%	19.8%	25.4%	40.9%	109
	35-44	11.0%	13.5%	22.5%	20.0%	33.1%	131
	45-64	9.4%	17.0%	21.1%	24.6%	27.9%	424
	65 and over	9.3%	14.9%	19.4%	29.4%	27.0%	464
Race	White	8.6%	13.5%	20.5%	26.7%	30.6%	1063
	American Indian	3.1%	8.7%	17.7%	13.8%	56.7%	47
	Other	5.7%	15.3%	22.6%	5.7%	50.7%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	8.6%	17.0%	21.6%	29.0%	23.8%	180
	Some college or associates degree	10.5%	11.1%	21.9%	19.3%	37.2%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	4.6%	12.1%	17.2%	28.1%	38.0%	473
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	4.8%	9.8%	20.4%	29.3%	35.7%	474
	50000 to 99999	9.4%	18.8%	20.9%	21.3%	29.6%	452
	100000 or more	15.2%	14.5%	20.5%	20.8%	29.0%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.7%	21.9%	22.5%	23.1%	24.8%	391
	Urban	8.4%	10.8%	20.0%	25.8%	34.9%	737

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
o. Other (Please specify below)

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	0.2%	0.2%	4.0%	10.0%	85.7%	116
	Male	0.3%	0.0%	5.8%	14.0%	79.9%	76
	Female	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%	4.0%	94.4%	39
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Age	18-34	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	9.4%	81.2%	12
	35-44	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	98.2%	13
	45-64	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	82.1%	47
	65 and over	1.4%	0.0%	4.2%	9.8%	84.6%	44
Race	White	0.2%	0.2%	4.6%	7.7%	87.2%	103
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	11
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	58.7%	41.3%	<5
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	22.9%	77.1%	12
	Some college or associates degree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	90.0%	53
	Bachelors degree or more	0.5%	0.5%	9.9%	4.6%	84.5%	51
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.0%	0.7%	1.2%	16.3%	81.8%	44
	50000 to 99999	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	15.7%	83.1%	45
	100000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	91.0%	27
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.7%	0.0%	12.3%	19.1%	67.9%	53
	Urban	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	6.2%	92.9%	63

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. a. Closing underused campgrounds

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	20.8%	28.0%	31.3%	17.1%	2.8%	1131
	Male	24.9%	31.0%	24.1%	17.4%	2.6%	648
	Female	16.8%	25.1%	38.2%	16.9%	3.0%	477
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	88.4%	11.6%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	15.2%	24.8%	38.7%	20.2%	1.0%	109
	35-44	27.4%	33.9%	24.3%	14.2%	0.2%	133
	45-64	26.0%	24.9%	28.8%	17.1%	3.2%	426
	65 and over	15.3%	33.6%	29.7%	14.6%	6.8%	463
Race	White	20.1%	29.7%	31.2%	17.3%	1.7%	1065
	American Indian	44.5%	18.2%	25.8%	2.6%	9.0%	48
	Other	17.0%	5.7%	37.7%	22.6%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	24.9%	32.5%	26.7%	11.9%	4.0%	182
	Some college or associates degree	22.5%	24.8%	30.9%	19.5%	2.4%	475
	Bachelors degree or more	12.5%	26.1%	38.6%	21.1%	1.7%	474
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	16.6%	32.9%	30.0%	16.6%	3.9%	472
	50000 to 99999	24.4%	23.5%	35.3%	15.2%	1.6%	456
	100000 or more	25.5%	22.4%	28.4%	21.7%	2.0%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	25.4%	31.5%	29.8%	11.7%	1.6%	389
	Urban	19.3%	26.9%	31.8%	18.8%	3.2%	742

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. b. Requiring permits to recreate in very popular areas

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	23.4%	20.4%	19.5%	28.9%	7.9%	1136
	Male	28.7%	21.0%	18.0%	25.8%	6.5%	655
	Female	18.0%	19.7%	20.9%	32.1%	9.3%	476
	Other	0.0%	40.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5
Age	18-34	19.8%	22.9%	19.1%	31.7%	6.5%	108
	35-44	26.8%	19.4%	20.0%	25.6%	8.2%	133
	45-64	30.5%	19.3%	18.3%	24.4%	7.5%	426
	65 and over	14.3%	19.1%	21.6%	34.7%	10.3%	469
Race	White	22.6%	20.6%	20.5%	29.5%	6.8%	1070
	American Indian	44.2%	27.0%	3.6%	22.9%	2.3%	49
	Other	23.6%	11.8%	11.8%	23.4%	29.5%	17
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	27.6%	19.6%	22.8%	23.3%	6.7%	181
	Some college or associates degree	26.1%	23.0%	14.3%	27.6%	8.9%	477
	Bachelors degree or more	13.4%	17.6%	22.3%	38.7%	8.0%	478
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	18.9%	19.1%	21.4%	31.7%	8.9%	476
	50000 to 99999	26.9%	24.6%	17.4%	25.8%	5.3%	457
	100000 or more	29.0%	16.5%	18.1%	26.9%	9.5%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	24.2%	22.5%	21.4%	25.0%	7.0%	392
	Urban	23.1%	19.7%	18.9%	30.1%	8.1%	744

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. c. Introducing parking fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	29.9%	30.1%	20.0%	17.1%	2.9%	1125
	Male	35.7%	27.9%	17.4%	16.7%	2.2%	646
	Female	23.5%	32.5%	22.9%	17.6%	3.6%	473
	Other	76.8%	5.8%	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	27.9%	36.7%	16.2%	16.9%	2.3%	108
	35-44	43.1%	18.7%	18.3%	16.7%	3.2%	131
	45-64	29.4%	28.6%	23.0%	15.8%	3.2%	427
	65 and over	23.6%	31.0%	22.1%	20.3%	3.1%	459
Race	White	30.0%	30.0%	20.9%	17.0%	2.1%	1061
	American Indian	31.8%	35.4%	21.5%	10.2%	1.0%	46
	Other	26.6%	28.1%	5.7%	22.6%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	31.6%	26.4%	25.6%	15.3%	1.0%	178
	Some college or associates degree	33.6%	29.6%	16.6%	16.6%	3.6%	472
	Bachelors degree or more	22.2%	35.8%	17.3%	20.2%	4.5%	475
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	28.3%	31.1%	18.3%	18.3%	3.9%	468
	50000 to 99999	30.5%	32.3%	22.9%	12.8%	1.5%	454
	100000 or more	32.9%	23.8%	19.6%	21.0%	2.6%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	31.1%	30.4%	19.8%	16.8%	1.9%	390
	Urban	29.5%	30.0%	20.1%	17.2%	3.2%	735

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. d. Introducing camping fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	21.9%	20.9%	21.4%	32.4%	3.4%	1125
	Male	28.7%	18.9%	19.5%	30.7%	2.2%	643
	Female	15.2%	23.1%	22.9%	34.2%	4.6%	476
	Other	0.0%	5.8%	82.6%	11.6%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	18.5%	23.7%	22.3%	33.6%	2.0%	108
	35-44	29.3%	24.9%	13.1%	27.9%	4.8%	132
	45-64	26.0%	17.8%	21.1%	32.5%	2.6%	423
	65 and over	14.5%	19.2%	26.8%	33.7%	5.8%	462
Race	White	22.3%	20.6%	22.1%	32.3%	2.6%	1060
	American Indian	27.8%	26.5%	21.4%	23.1%	1.1%	47
	Other	11.3%	22.4%	9.6%	39.6%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	26.1%	17.7%	25.2%	29.2%	1.8%	177
	Some college or associates degree	23.2%	23.2%	16.6%	33.4%	3.6%	477
	Bachelors degree or more	14.2%	22.1%	23.1%	35.4%	5.2%	471
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	19.2%	25.0%	19.0%	32.7%	4.1%	475
	50000 to 99999	26.0%	16.7%	24.2%	31.1%	1.9%	451
	100000 or more	22.4%	17.3%	23.0%	33.5%	3.9%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	23.5%	20.3%	21.6%	31.3%	3.3%	388
	Urban	21.4%	21.1%	21.3%	32.7%	3.4%	737

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. e. Allowing more outfitters and guides

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	17.9%	20.7%	31.6%	23.7%	6.1%	1135
	Male	24.4%	23.8%	24.9%	20.1%	6.8%	651
	Female	11.5%	17.2%	38.4%	27.4%	5.5%	478
	Other	5.8%	82.6%	5.8%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	16.9%	17.7%	31.7%	26.5%	7.2%	106
	35-44	12.7%	22.7%	27.4%	29.1%	8.0%	133
	45-64	20.8%	21.7%	28.9%	22.7%	6.0%	428
	65 and over	18.1%	21.8%	39.4%	17.3%	3.5%	468
Race	White	17.4%	22.0%	32.6%	22.2%	5.8%	1071
	American Indian	41.8%	9.7%	15.0%	26.7%	6.8%	47
	Other	12.0%	4.2%	23.8%	48.0%	12.0%	17
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	22.2%	22.6%	29.5%	17.9%	7.8%	180
	Some college or associates degree	16.8%	16.0%	32.2%	29.2%	5.8%	478
	Bachelors degree or more	13.4%	24.7%	33.7%	23.8%	4.4%	477
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	17.0%	19.1%	35.3%	20.7%	7.9%	475
	50000 to 99999	19.7%	21.2%	31.2%	22.9%	5.1%	456
	100000 or more	17.2%	23.8%	22.9%	32.5%	3.5%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	24.8%	31.3%	27.2%	12.7%	4.0%	395
	Urban	15.7%	17.4%	33.0%	27.1%	6.8%	740

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. f. Maintaining underused trails less frequently

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.4%	16.5%	33.3%	40.8%	5.1%	1141
	Male	6.1%	19.2%	31.6%	37.2%	5.9%	654
	Female	2.7%	13.8%	34.6%	44.6%	4.2%	481
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	4.0%	13.1%	32.5%	46.5%	4.0%	110
	35-44	4.9%	23.6%	27.6%	35.7%	8.3%	132
	45-64	4.3%	17.6%	31.5%	41.1%	5.5%	431
	65 and over	4.9%	14.5%	42.0%	34.9%	3.7%	468
Race	White	4.5%	16.9%	33.7%	39.8%	5.1%	1075
	American Indian	0.5%	15.1%	42.1%	40.2%	2.1%	48
	Other	5.7%	11.1%	21.0%	56.6%	5.7%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	7.8%	16.8%	34.5%	37.5%	3.4%	181
	Some college or associates degree	2.4%	14.4%	36.4%	39.2%	7.6%	482
	Bachelors degree or more	2.5%	19.0%	27.2%	47.5%	3.7%	478
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	5.1%	14.0%	37.0%	40.6%	3.2%	479
	50000 to 99999	3.6%	18.7%	33.0%	40.0%	4.7%	459
	100000 or more	3.8%	19.3%	24.1%	42.4%	10.4%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.9%	17.5%	36.8%	33.3%	2.5%	395
	Urban	2.7%	16.2%	32.2%	43.1%	5.9%	746

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. g. Increasing thinning of forests near communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	10.9%	14.5%	18.0%	30.3%	26.3%	1140
	Male	11.3%	9.1%	13.8%	33.4%	32.5%	655
	Female	10.1%	20.0%	22.5%	27.3%	20.1%	479
	Other	82.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	20.6%	15.9%	23.5%	19.5%	20.5%	110
	35-44	13.1%	20.2%	15.2%	31.9%	19.6%	133
	45-64	5.9%	13.2%	15.4%	32.8%	32.6%	429
	65 and over	3.0%	10.1%	16.1%	41.4%	29.3%	468
Race	White	10.3%	14.7%	18.4%	31.0%	25.6%	1073
	American Indian	20.7%	22.8%	10.2%	14.0%	32.2%	49
	Other	15.3%	5.7%	17.0%	28.1%	34.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	5.3%	12.2%	13.0%	36.7%	32.8%	182
	Some college or associates degree	15.1%	19.0%	16.4%	25.3%	24.3%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	12.6%	11.0%	27.5%	28.6%	20.2%	478
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	11.0%	16.3%	16.9%	29.7%	26.1%	480
	50000 to 99999	11.7%	15.4%	14.5%	31.6%	26.8%	458
	100000 or more	9.2%	8.2%	26.9%	29.6%	26.1%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.1%	10.0%	15.5%	36.0%	33.3%	397
	Urban	12.7%	15.9%	18.8%	28.5%	24.1%	743

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. h. Harvesting dead trees following natural wildfire, beetle kill, or other natural disturbance

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.0%	3.1%	8.7%	35.4%	50.8%	1146
	Male	1.9%	4.0%	7.0%	31.9%	55.2%	658
	Female	2.1%	2.1%	10.6%	38.7%	46.6%	482
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.8%	23.2%	6
Age	18-34	3.0%	4.7%	13.1%	37.5%	41.7%	110
	35-44	0.3%	5.6%	7.1%	30.8%	56.2%	133
	45-64	2.9%	0.5%	7.0%	36.5%	53.1%	430
	65 and over	0.3%	3.1%	6.1%	33.6%	56.9%	473
Race	White	1.9%	3.0%	8.2%	36.0%	50.9%	1079
	American Indian	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	65.6%	49
	Other	0.0%	5.7%	22.4%	32.3%	39.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.7%	1.2%	5.1%	33.2%	58.8%	182
	Some college or associates degree	1.0%	3.5%	7.7%	34.8%	52.9%	484
	Bachelors degree or more	4.0%	5.0%	15.3%	39.3%	36.5%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.4%	2.5%	8.1%	38.2%	49.7%	481
	50000 to 99999	3.3%	2.1%	6.8%	36.6%	51.2%	461
	100000 or more	1.6%	6.0%	13.4%	25.9%	53.1%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.6%	1.5%	4.5%	34.4%	57.9%	396
	Urban	2.1%	3.5%	10.0%	35.7%	48.6%	750

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. i. Using prescribed fires for fire prevention near communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.1%	4.9%	18.0%	44.2%	28.8%	1142
	Male	4.7%	7.5%	17.0%	41.6%	29.2%	654
	Female	3.5%	2.4%	19.0%	46.6%	28.5%	482
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	76.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	1.0%	6.2%	20.8%	39.5%	32.6%	110
	35-44	6.1%	0.8%	14.3%	48.3%	30.6%	133
	45-64	6.5%	5.6%	16.2%	46.5%	25.2%	430
	65 and over	3.3%	4.8%	19.3%	44.5%	28.1%	469
Race	White	4.0%	5.3%	17.7%	44.8%	28.2%	1075
	American Indian	13.2%	1.8%	18.0%	28.6%	38.5%	49
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	22.6%	43.4%	34.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.5%	3.0%	15.8%	48.1%	28.6%	182
	Some college or associates degree	5.1%	5.7%	18.0%	40.7%	30.5%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	2.1%	6.6%	20.9%	43.7%	26.7%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.8%	3.9%	20.1%	45.4%	27.7%	480
	50000 to 99999	5.3%	4.3%	16.0%	45.9%	28.5%	458
	100000 or more	5.7%	8.5%	15.5%	38.2%	32.1%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.7%	5.8%	11.1%	46.5%	31.8%	394
	Urban	3.9%	4.6%	20.1%	43.5%	27.9%	748

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. j. Using prescribed fires for maintaining forest health in areas farther away from communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	3.6%	5.7%	15.9%	47.2%	27.6%	1145
	Male	4.5%	6.2%	16.4%	41.5%	31.4%	657
	Female	2.8%	5.2%	15.4%	52.7%	23.9%	482
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	82.6%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	2.0%	6.7%	13.4%	47.6%	30.4%	110
	35-44	1.9%	6.2%	12.9%	47.3%	31.7%	133
	45-64	6.0%	2.9%	18.7%	47.2%	25.2%	431
	65 and over	3.4%	8.5%	17.1%	46.5%	24.5%	471
Race	White	3.8%	5.3%	16.3%	47.9%	26.6%	1078
	American Indian	4.3%	14.6%	29.0%	15.7%	36.4%	49
	Other	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	54.9%	39.4%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	3.9%	4.0%	15.5%	53.1%	23.4%	183
	Some college or associates degree	3.8%	6.6%	14.7%	42.2%	32.8%	481
	Bachelors degree or more	3.0%	6.7%	18.2%	46.0%	26.1%	481
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	3.4%	5.7%	15.0%	50.4%	25.5%	481
	50000 to 99999	2.8%	4.1%	15.3%	49.0%	28.7%	460
	100000 or more	5.8%	8.1%	19.1%	35.8%	31.2%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.6%	7.0%	10.8%	49.5%	28.1%	397
	Urban	3.4%	5.3%	17.5%	46.4%	27.5%	748

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. k. Allowing natural wildfires to burn if they dont threaten peoples lives or property

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	12.6%	17.3%	17.3%	33.9%	18.8%	1147
	Male	14.3%	22.2%	12.9%	28.8%	21.8%	659
	Female	10.5%	12.5%	21.9%	39.3%	15.8%	482
	Other	76.8%	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	8.7%	12.2%	15.3%	35.9%	28.0%	110
	35-44	7.9%	19.0%	18.9%	34.4%	19.9%	133
	45-64	17.1%	17.0%	15.2%	35.4%	15.3%	431
	65 and over	14.4%	24.6%	22.9%	28.2%	9.9%	473
Race	White	11.8%	17.8%	17.4%	34.2%	18.7%	1080
	American Indian	20.4%	5.4%	23.4%	17.4%	33.4%	49
	Other	21.0%	17.0%	11.3%	39.4%	11.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	11.9%	27.5%	18.5%	27.8%	14.2%	183
	Some college or associates degree	18.3%	13.2%	17.6%	29.4%	21.4%	484
	Bachelors degree or more	5.3%	8.9%	15.1%	49.1%	21.6%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	9.8%	20.8%	17.7%	33.9%	17.7%	483
	50000 to 99999	17.0%	16.5%	16.5%	27.9%	22.0%	460
	100000 or more	12.5%	9.7%	17.6%	43.8%	16.4%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	16.9%	20.2%	18.0%	26.5%	18.4%	397
	Urban	11.3%	16.5%	17.1%	36.2%	18.9%	750

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? a. Wildlife encounter (e.g. bear, lion, snake, etc.)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	54.0%	37.8%	8.2%	1144
	Male	62.8%	33.2%	4.0%	658
	Female	44.9%	42.7%	12.4%	480
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	61.9%	34.1%	4.0%	109
	35-44	56.5%	36.5%	7.0%	133
	45-64	51.3%	41.1%	7.6%	431
	65 and over	45.0%	38.7%	16.3%	471
Race	White	55.2%	37.2%	7.6%	1077
	American Indian	46.9%	34.1%	19.0%	49
	Other	37.7%	51.0%	11.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	50.2%	36.3%	13.5%	182
	Some college or associates degree	58.2%	37.2%	4.6%	482
	Bachelors degree or more	53.4%	40.9%	5.7%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	52.5%	38.6%	8.8%	479
	50000 to 99999	53.7%	40.1%	6.2%	461
	100000 or more	58.3%	32.0%	9.7%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	59.1%	28.1%	12.8%	394
	Urban	52.5%	40.8%	6.7%	750

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? b. Bad weather

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	68.1%	26.6%	5.3%	1138
	Male	73.5%	24.0%	2.5%	656
	Female	62.3%	29.5%	8.1%	476
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	66.9%	29.1%	4.0%	110
	35-44	73.1%	21.8%	5.1%	131
	45-64	72.9%	22.5%	4.6%	430
	65 and over	57.9%	33.4%	8.7%	467
Race	White	69.1%	26.5%	4.4%	1074
	American Indian	70.6%	28.8%	0.5%	46
	Other	49.0%	28.3%	22.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	63.6%	28.2%	8.2%	180
	Some college or associates degree	74.2%	21.8%	4.0%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	65.4%	31.6%	3.0%	478
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	60.3%	32.2%	7.5%	475
	50000 to 99999	73.5%	22.8%	3.7%	459
	100000 or more	78.9%	18.8%	2.3%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	68.6%	25.6%	5.9%	390
	Urban	67.9%	27.0%	5.1%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? c. May get lost

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	61.6%	30.3%	8.2%	1143
	Male	72.5%	23.2%	4.2%	658
	Female	50.1%	37.7%	12.2%	479
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	61.4%	30.5%	8.2%	110
	35-44	63.8%	31.6%	4.7%	132
	45-64	64.3%	27.8%	7.9%	429
	65 and over	55.5%	33.3%	11.2%	472
Race	White	62.2%	30.2%	7.6%	1076
	American Indian	62.9%	27.1%	10.0%	49
	Other	49.0%	34.0%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	55.9%	32.6%	11.5%	181
	Some college or associates degree	67.9%	27.1%	5.0%	481
	Bachelors degree or more	60.3%	31.7%	8.0%	481
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	50.6%	36.9%	12.5%	478
	50000 to 99999	70.3%	25.6%	4.1%	461
	100000 or more	75.1%	21.1%	3.8%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	68.8%	20.6%	10.6%	394
	Urban	59.3%	33.3%	7.4%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? d. Poor road conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	42.1%	45.5%	12.4%	1142
	Male	50.0%	40.3%	9.7%	657
	Female	33.7%	51.0%	15.3%	479
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	50.1%	41.5%	8.4%	110
	35-44	43.5%	51.0%	5.5%	133
	45-64	43.4%	41.7%	14.8%	428
	65 and over	26.2%	54.0%	19.7%	471
Race	White	42.5%	45.4%	12.1%	1075
	American Indian	37.0%	48.8%	14.2%	49
	Other	37.7%	45.3%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	33.2%	49.2%	17.6%	180
	Some college or associates degree	44.0%	43.6%	12.4%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	51.7%	43.0%	5.3%	482
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	35.1%	49.4%	15.4%	480
	50000 to 99999	46.2%	45.0%	8.8%	459
	100000 or more	53.1%	36.2%	10.7%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	38.8%	44.7%	16.6%	394
	Urban	43.1%	45.7%	11.2%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? e. Poor trail conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	48.0%	40.8%	11.2%	1141
	Male	53.1%	39.2%	7.6%	655
	Female	42.4%	42.7%	14.9%	480
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	62.7%	28.6%	8.7%	109
	35-44	48.9%	45.8%	5.3%	133
	45-64	44.8%	44.5%	10.6%	430
	65 and over	30.0%	49.6%	20.4%	469
Race	White	49.7%	39.9%	10.5%	1074
	American Indian	37.8%	41.2%	21.0%	49
	Other	26.6%	56.4%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	36.6%	48.1%	15.3%	179
	Some college or associates degree	54.5%	35.3%	10.1%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	54.3%	38.7%	7.0%	482
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	41.2%	42.3%	16.5%	479
	50000 to 99999	53.9%	39.8%	6.3%	458
	100000 or more	55.8%	38.7%	5.6%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	40.4%	47.5%	12.1%	395
	Urban	50.3%	38.7%	10.9%	746

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? f. Run out of gas or supplies in remote area

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	58.0%	30.0%	12.0%	1142
	Male	67.2%	26.2%	6.6%	657
	Female	48.4%	34.1%	17.5%	479
	Other	94.2%	0.0%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	57.3%	31.9%	10.7%	109
	35-44	62.8%	32.8%	4.4%	133
	45-64	60.9%	25.2%	13.9%	430
	65 and over	50.4%	33.4%	16.2%	470
Race	White	58.9%	29.7%	11.4%	1075
	American Indian	56.7%	23.5%	19.8%	49
	Other	43.4%	39.6%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	52.3%	29.6%	18.1%	179
	Some college or associates degree	60.5%	31.5%	7.9%	483
	Bachelors degree or more	62.0%	28.5%	9.5%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	49.4%	33.4%	17.2%	478
	50000 to 99999	65.1%	27.2%	7.7%	460
	100000 or more	68.2%	26.1%	5.7%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	61.4%	26.3%	12.4%	394
	Urban	56.9%	31.2%	11.9%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? g. Lack of cell phone coverage

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	54.3%	31.0%	14.7%	1142
	Male	62.0%	27.9%	10.1%	655
	Female	46.2%	34.3%	19.4%	481
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	52.3%	34.5%	13.3%	109
	35-44	70.1%	19.2%	10.8%	133
	45-64	57.0%	29.7%	13.3%	431
	65 and over	41.0%	36.8%	22.3%	469
Race	White	55.0%	31.7%	13.4%	1075
	American Indian	61.4%	18.3%	20.3%	49
	Other	37.9%	28.1%	34.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	48.0%	30.8%	21.2%	181
	Some college or associates degree	58.4%	30.1%	11.5%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	57.0%	32.7%	10.3%	481
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	47.4%	33.4%	19.2%	479
	50000 to 99999	59.9%	28.6%	11.5%	459
	100000 or more	62.9%	28.7%	8.3%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	61.2%	22.2%	16.6%	393
	Urban	52.1%	33.7%	14.1%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? h. Needing emergency services

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	36.1%	47.4%	16.5%	1144
	Male	41.2%	46.5%	12.3%	657
	Female	30.6%	48.5%	20.9%	481
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	43.4%	45.0%	11.6%	110
	35-44	49.3%	39.1%	11.7%	133
	45-64	30.5%	51.7%	17.8%	431
	65 and over	24.7%	49.9%	25.4%	470
Race	White	37.6%	47.2%	15.2%	1077
	American Indian	19.2%	47.9%	32.9%	49
	Other	21.0%	50.7%	28.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	23.3%	52.6%	24.0%	182
	Some college or associates degree	43.0%	42.3%	14.7%	483
	Bachelors degree or more	43.9%	47.4%	8.7%	479
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	25.8%	51.3%	23.0%	481
	50000 to 99999	43.6%	46.1%	10.3%	460
	100000 or more	50.3%	39.5%	10.2%	203
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	35.1%	48.9%	15.9%	395
	Urban	36.4%	46.9%	16.7%	749

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? i. Forest fire

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	46.3%	35.8%	18.0%	1147
	Male	54.1%	32.2%	13.7%	659
	Female	38.2%	39.4%	22.4%	482
	Other	76.8%	23.2%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	60.9%	33.1%	5.9%	110
	35-44	54.1%	40.5%	5.4%	133
	45-64	41.7%	32.1%	26.1%	431
	65 and over	25.8%	42.5%	31.7%	473
Race	White	47.2%	35.7%	17.1%	1080
	American Indian	34.6%	32.9%	32.5%	49
	Other	37.9%	39.4%	22.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	35.0%	36.6%	28.4%	182
	Some college or associates degree	51.9%	32.6%	15.5%	483
	Bachelors degree or more	54.0%	39.2%	6.8%	482
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	40.3%	37.6%	22.1%	482
	50000 to 99999	49.3%	34.7%	15.9%	461
	100000 or more	56.7%	32.8%	10.5%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	46.1%	30.9%	23.0%	396
	Urban	46.3%	37.2%	16.4%	751

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? j. Poor air quality

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	51.0%	30.5%	18.5%	1134
	Male	55.2%	28.2%	16.7%	652
	Female	46.6%	33.0%	20.4%	476
	Other	82.6%	11.6%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	61.8%	29.2%	9.0%	110
	35-44	63.0%	22.6%	14.4%	132
	45-64	46.1%	31.3%	22.5%	426
	65 and over	33.5%	37.2%	29.3%	466
Race	White	51.8%	30.6%	17.6%	1071
	American Indian	50.6%	23.6%	25.8%	45
	Other	37.9%	33.7%	28.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	42.6%	33.4%	24.0%	180
	Some college or associates degree	56.3%	23.8%	19.9%	477
	Bachelors degree or more	55.2%	36.2%	8.6%	477
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	42.7%	34.8%	22.5%	475
	50000 to 99999	53.7%	30.8%	15.5%	459
	100000 or more	68.3%	18.8%	12.9%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	51.2%	27.9%	20.9%	393
	Urban	51.0%	31.3%	17.7%	741

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? k. Shooting (not at a range)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	56.0%	29.2%	14.8%	1144
	Male	70.5%	23.5%	6.0%	657
	Female	41.0%	35.0%	23.9%	481
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	65.2%	23.3%	11.5%	110
	35-44	71.9%	21.9%	6.2%	133
	45-64	51.8%	33.8%	14.4%	429
	65 and over	37.3%	35.5%	27.2%	472
Race	White	56.6%	28.9%	14.5%	1078
	American Indian	41.7%	27.7%	30.6%	48
	Other	54.7%	34.0%	11.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	50.0%	31.5%	18.5%	182
	Some college or associates degree	61.9%	26.6%	11.5%	483
	Bachelors degree or more	55.9%	29.6%	14.5%	479
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	48.2%	32.4%	19.4%	482
	50000 to 99999	61.6%	28.3%	10.1%	458
	100000 or more	66.9%	22.1%	11.0%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	64.3%	20.1%	15.6%	396
	Urban	53.5%	31.9%	14.6%	748

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? I. Crime

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	48.4%	32.1%	19.5%	1138
	Male	52.3%	32.5%	15.2%	653
	Female	44.1%	32.0%	23.9%	479
	Other	94.2%	0.0%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	69.8%	24.0%	6.2%	110
	35-44	63.6%	27.4%	9.0%	132
	45-64	37.7%	37.1%	25.2%	426
	65 and over	22.2%	39.7%	38.1%	470
Race	White	49.7%	31.2%	19.1%	1071
	American Indian	30.2%	36.2%	33.6%	49
	Other	37.9%	45.1%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	37.3%	32.7%	30.0%	181
	Some college or associates degree	49.0%	33.9%	17.1%	478
	Bachelors degree or more	63.0%	28.8%	8.2%	479
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	45.1%	33.6%	21.3%	480
	50000 to 99999	49.2%	31.6%	19.1%	457
	100000 or more	55.5%	29.0%	15.5%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	41.9%	31.8%	26.3%	395
	Urban	50.4%	32.2%	17.4%	743

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? m. Other? (please specify below)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	0.0%	11.3%	88.7%	65
	Male	0.0%	1.3%	98.7%	43
	Female	0.0%	34.8%	65.2%	20
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Age	18-34	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6
	35-44	0.0%	45.1%	54.9%	5
	45-64	0.0%	4.0%	96.0%	29
	65 and over	0.0%	19.7%	80.3%	25
Race	White	0.0%	15.9%	84.1%	56
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	7
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
	Some college or associates degree	0.0%	19.6%	80.4%	33
	Bachelors degree or more	0.0%	6.1%	93.9%	28
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.0%	8.4%	91.6%	26
	50000 to 99999	0.0%	18.9%	81.1%	25
	100000 or more	0.0%	1.7%	98.3%	14
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.0%	9.9%	90.1%	30
	Urban	0.0%	11.7%	88.3%	35

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. a. People in my local community have been involved in decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	7.7%	17.7%	28.3%	26.3%	8.3%	11.7%	1143
	Male	10.3%	19.8%	28.7%	26.3%	6.8%	8.2%	656
	Female	5.1%	15.7%	28.0%	25.9%	9.9%	15.4%	481
	Other	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	82.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	3.5%	14.9%	32.2%	32.6%	3.2%	13.6%	110
	35-44	9.6%	24.6%	25.9%	18.1%	7.8%	13.9%	133
	45-64	11.5%	18.7%	28.5%	23.1%	10.8%	7.4%	429
	65 and over	6.3%	15.4%	23.7%	28.0%	12.1%	14.4%	471
Race	White	7.6%	18.4%	28.3%	25.6%	8.3%	11.8%	1076
	American Indian	4.4%	18.1%	28.4%	34.9%	11.6%	2.7%	49
	Other	11.3%	5.7%	28.3%	32.1%	5.7%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	8.1%	20.4%	27.3%	21.3%	11.6%	11.3%	183
	Some college or associates degree	9.1%	17.8%	30.0%	22.7%	8.4%	12.0%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	5.0%	13.8%	27.1%	38.6%	3.6%	11.9%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	4.5%	18.1%	29.2%	29.7%	8.4%	10.2%	481
	50000 to 99999	7.8%	17.6%	27.4%	25.5%	10.9%	10.7%	457
	100000 or more	15.7%	17.0%	27.4%	19.0%	3.6%	17.3%	205
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	11.1%	29.2%	23.2%	19.3%	9.3%	7.8%	399
	Urban	6.6%	14.2%	29.9%	28.4%	8.0%	12.9%	744

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. b. Land management decisions balance the concerns of most people in my community

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	7.9%	22.7%	28.8%	23.2%	5.5%	11.9%	1140
	Male	11.6%	24.8%	26.7%	24.8%	4.5%	7.6%	655
	Female	4.1%	20.6%	31.0%	21.7%	6.5%	16.0%	479
	Other	5.8%	17.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	71.1%	6
Age	18-34	3.8%	15.6%	32.7%	28.9%	3.0%	16.0%	110
	35-44	8.3%	28.0%	25.2%	20.6%	2.9%	15.0%	133
	45-64	10.2%	28.1%	28.5%	19.9%	6.9%	6.4%	428
	65 and over	9.8%	20.3%	26.0%	22.0%	9.1%	12.9%	469
Race	White	7.8%	23.1%	29.3%	23.7%	5.6%	10.5%	1073
	American Indian	5.4%	19.6%	34.7%	10.6%	11.5%	18.2%	49
	Other	11.3%	16.8%	17.0%	22.6%	0.0%	32.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	9.3%	21.0%	30.2%	19.8%	9.4%	10.4%	183
	Some college or associates degree	8.5%	23.5%	27.2%	24.3%	4.3%	12.1%	479
	Bachelors degree or more	4.9%	23.9%	29.1%	26.4%	1.8%	14.0%	478
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	5.6%	20.0%	32.6%	23.8%	7.0%	11.0%	478
	50000 to 99999	8.2%	24.9%	27.4%	23.9%	3.4%	12.1%	458
	100000 or more	13.1%	25.7%	21.4%	20.6%	5.2%	14.0%	204
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.7%	30.6%	22.1%	18.4%	8.9%	6.3%	397
	Urban	6.1%	20.2%	30.8%	24.7%	4.5%	13.7%	743

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. c. Land managers in my area do a good job communicating with the public

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	11.5%	25.0%	26.0%	22.7%	3.6%	11.1%	1142
	Male	15.3%	27.4%	23.3%	23.2%	3.1%	7.7%	656
	Female	7.8%	22.7%	28.8%	22.3%	4.3%	14.1%	480
	Other	5.8%	5.8%	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	71.1%	6
Age	18-34	7.2%	23.0%	27.8%	25.8%	5.0%	11.2%	110
	35-44	14.6%	26.7%	25.5%	16.9%	1.9%	14.3%	133
	45-64	15.2%	27.5%	23.8%	20.9%	2.7%	9.9%	428
	65 and over	9.6%	22.8%	27.2%	25.5%	4.6%	10.4%	471
Race	White	11.0%	26.0%	26.1%	23.1%	3.6%	10.2%	1075
	American Indian	27.0%	29.8%	11.0%	21.0%	1.0%	10.1%	49
	Other	11.3%	5.7%	33.7%	17.0%	5.7%	26.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	15.7%	22.8%	25.9%	23.8%	2.2%	9.7%	183
	Some college or associates degree	10.5%	27.3%	27.3%	19.0%	4.8%	11.1%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	7.2%	24.8%	24.2%	26.7%	4.1%	12.9%	479
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	9.0%	21.4%	28.9%	23.1%	5.5%	12.1%	480
	50000 to 99999	11.9%	26.2%	27.3%	25.7%	1.1%	7.8%	457
	100000 or more	17.3%	32.3%	16.5%	17.0%	3.1%	13.8%	205
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	14.2%	39.0%	17.3%	18.4%	5.5%	5.6%	399
	Urban	10.7%	20.7%	28.7%	24.1%	3.1%	12.8%	743

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. d. I trust local land managers to make proper decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	13.6%	20.1%	31.4%	23.0%	5.2%	6.6%	1143
	Male	19.3%	20.7%	30.0%	20.3%	4.5%	5.3%	656
	Female	7.5%	19.6%	32.9%	26.0%	6.0%	8.0%	481
	Other	76.8%	11.6%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	5.7%	18.9%	34.6%	28.4%	5.9%	6.5%	110
	35-44	15.4%	20.6%	32.3%	18.3%	3.4%	10.0%	133
	45-64	19.0%	20.9%	32.2%	18.0%	5.0%	5.0%	429
	65 and over	15.4%	20.3%	24.4%	26.8%	5.9%	7.2%	471
Race	White	13.0%	21.2%	32.1%	23.1%	5.0%	5.6%	1076
	American Indian	19.1%	14.2%	25.9%	12.0%	10.1%	18.7%	49
	Other	21.0%	5.4%	22.6%	28.3%	5.7%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	16.1%	21.1%	30.2%	20.0%	4.4%	8.2%	183
	Some college or associates degree	14.2%	17.4%	34.3%	23.8%	7.0%	3.3%	480
	Bachelors degree or more	9.4%	22.6%	28.7%	26.2%	3.8%	9.4%	480
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	7.9%	20.2%	33.6%	24.1%	6.0%	8.2%	480
	50000 to 99999	17.0%	21.9%	28.4%	24.8%	2.8%	5.1%	458
	100000 or more	22.7%	16.9%	30.6%	17.4%	7.2%	5.2%	205
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	17.2%	25.1%	28.3%	17.8%	6.9%	4.5%	399
	Urban	12.5%	18.5%	32.3%	24.6%	4.7%	7.3%	744

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. e. Land management decisions balance the concerns of both local communities and national interests

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	13.2%	21.2%	27.5%	22.0%	5.2%	11.0%	1141
	Male	19.2%	23.4%	23.8%	21.7%	4.2%	7.8%	655
	Female	7.1%	19.1%	30.9%	22.4%	6.2%	14.3%	480
	Other	5.8%	17.4%	76.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	7.5%	11.4%	32.4%	25.9%	6.8%	16.1%	110
	35-44	12.0%	27.0%	29.8%	14.8%	3.2%	13.1%	133
	45-64	20.5%	26.2%	24.4%	20.0%	3.2%	5.7%	427
	65 and over	10.5%	23.4%	23.4%	24.7%	7.4%	10.6%	471
Race	White	12.4%	22.0%	28.6%	22.0%	5.0%	10.0%	1074
	American Indian	27.5%	15.3%	7.4%	12.1%	10.0%	27.7%	49
	Other	17.0%	11.1%	21.0%	28.3%	5.7%	17.0%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	16.1%	22.5%	24.3%	23.3%	3.3%	10.5%	183
	Some college or associates degree	13.3%	19.6%	28.6%	19.8%	7.0%	11.8%	479
	Bachelors degree or more	8.8%	21.8%	30.3%	23.3%	5.3%	10.5%	479
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	10.6%	17.6%	28.0%	23.4%	6.4%	14.1%	479
	50000 to 99999	10.9%	22.4%	32.7%	23.4%	3.6%	7.0%	457
	100000 or more	23.4%	28.3%	17.8%	16.1%	4.7%	9.7%	205
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	17.8%	31.0%	25.4%	16.5%	4.6%	4.8%	398
	Urban	11.8%	18.2%	28.1%	23.7%	5.3%	12.9%	743

Q6. Have you ever visited your local federal public lands?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender	Total	91.4%	8.6%	1150
	Male	93.5%	6.5%	659
	Female	89.1%	10.9%	485
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	92.8%	7.2%	110
	35-44	93.8%	6.2%	133
	45-64	93.7%	6.3%	431
	65 and over	83.4%	16.6%	476
Race	White	92.2%	7.8%	1083
	American Indian	100.0%	0.0%	49
	Other	71.7%	28.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	86.6%	13.4%	182
	Some college or associates degree	94.7%	5.3%	485
	Bachelors degree or more	93.2%	6.8%	483
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	87.1%	12.9%	483
	50000 to 99999	94.4%	5.6%	462
	100000 or more	97.2%	2.8%	205
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	95.3%	4.7%	398
	Urban	90.1%	9.9%	752

Q7. Please tell us how many times you have visited your local federal public lands in the past 12 months? Do not count visits that include commuting through public lands and working in public lands.

		0 times	1 time	2 to 4 times	5 to 10 times	11 to 30 times	More than 30 times	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	5.6%	5.5%	25.0%	24.9%	19.3%	19.7%	1076
	Male	4.1%	3.8%	20.5%	24.3%	24.3%	22.9%	624
	Female	7.3%	7.3%	29.5%	25.7%	13.9%	16.3%	446
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	76.8%	11.6%	5.8%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	5.3%	4.3%	25.0%	25.4%	16.3%	23.7%	102
	35-44	4.7%	0.3%	10.4%	28.2%	23.8%	32.7%	127
	45-64	3.9%	6.4%	29.4%	24.9%	20.1%	15.2%	412
	65 and over	10.0%	9.9%	28.9%	21.7%	18.9%	10.6%	435
Race	White	5.7%	5.5%	24.4%	26.2%	18.8%	19.3%	1014
	American Indian	0.5%	10.5%	35.4%	14.0%	7.1%	32.6%	49
	Other	7.9%	0.0%	29.2%	7.9%	39.2%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	4.1%	8.9%	25.0%	22.0%	23.3%	16.7%	161
	Some college or associates degree	6.8%	3.2%	27.2%	25.2%	17.7%	19.9%	455
	Bachelors degree or more	5.8%	4.3%	21.9%	28.4%	16.3%	23.3%	460
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	7.9%	5.8%	26.7%	23.9%	19.9%	15.8%	438
	50000 to 99999	3.2%	7.2%	22.8%	28.1%	16.3%	22.3%	437
	100000 or more	4.2%	1.9%	24.8%	22.3%	22.5%	24.3%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.1%	9.0%	17.8%	17.8%	21.1%	29.3%	379
	Urban	5.8%	4.3%	27.4%	27.3%	18.7%	16.6%	697

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Hiking/walking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	14.3%	39.3%	34.0%	8.1%	4.2%	1070
	Male	13.2%	43.1%	33.8%	5.9%	4.0%	616
	Female	15.5%	35.5%	33.9%	10.5%	4.5%	448
	Other	5.8%	11.6%	82.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	14.9%	46.1%	32.6%	5.3%	1.1%	102
	35-44	22.2%	51.0%	21.3%	0.3%	5.1%	127
	45-64	14.0%	34.4%	37.0%	9.9%	4.7%	408
	65 and over	7.2%	27.7%	41.3%	15.9%	7.9%	433
Race	White	13.5%	39.9%	34.4%	8.0%	4.2%	1010
	American Indian	31.8%	35.5%	13.0%	19.1%	0.5%	47
	Other	15.8%	31.6%	44.7%	0.0%	7.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	11.3%	38.1%	30.6%	11.8%	8.2%	161
	Some college or associates degree	16.6%	36.7%	39.4%	5.1%	2.2%	454
	Bachelors degree or more	14.7%	44.9%	30.7%	7.7%	2.0%	455
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	13.8%	35.2%	36.3%	9.2%	5.5%	437
	50000 to 99999	15.6%	42.4%	30.4%	9.6%	2.0%	436
	100000 or more	13.3%	43.9%	34.6%	3.3%	5.0%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.7%	41.5%	27.7%	8.6%	8.4%	377
	Urban	14.4%	38.6%	36.1%	7.9%	2.9%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Horseback riding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.4%	3.0%	15.5%	20.2%	59.8%	1066
	Male	1.7%	1.5%	16.0%	24.0%	56.8%	613
	Female	1.2%	4.1%	15.1%	16.2%	63.4%	447
	Other	0.0%	76.8%	5.8%	5.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	0.0%	3.0%	14.2%	20.4%	62.4%	101
	35-44	4.7%	0.1%	14.5%	35.5%	45.2%	127
	45-64	1.4%	4.7%	18.4%	15.2%	60.2%	407
	65 and over	1.2%	2.4%	13.2%	16.3%	66.9%	431
Race	White	1.6%	2.6%	16.1%	20.1%	59.7%	1007
	American Indian	0.0%	1.4%	19.4%	18.1%	61.2%	46
	Other	0.0%	13.4%	0.0%	23.7%	62.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.2%	2.0%	20.0%	15.5%	61.4%	160
	Some college or associates degree	2.3%	3.2%	14.3%	24.7%	55.6%	455
	Bachelors degree or more	0.4%	4.3%	11.5%	19.6%	64.2%	451
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.0%	1.2%	13.7%	22.8%	61.3%	435
	50000 to 99999	2.1%	4.5%	20.0%	17.2%	56.1%	433
	100000 or more	1.5%	4.9%	12.4%	18.9%	62.4%	198
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.1%	2.5%	21.2%	16.6%	55.6%	373
	Urban	0.6%	3.2%	13.7%	21.3%	61.2%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Downhill skiing or snowboarding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.3%	6.7%	16.8%	17.9%	54.2%	1060
	Male	4.3%	8.5%	20.8%	17.2%	49.3%	607
	Female	4.0%	4.9%	12.7%	18.8%	59.7%	447
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	6.3%	12.6%	21.8%	15.9%	43.4%	101
	35-44	6.0%	3.8%	25.3%	26.2%	38.7%	127
	45-64	3.3%	5.4%	14.4%	16.6%	60.2%	402
	65 and over	1.6%	1.5%	5.7%	16.9%	74.3%	430
Race	White	4.1%	6.9%	17.2%	18.2%	53.6%	1002
	American Indian	9.6%	9.7%	5.7%	11.8%	63.2%	46
	Other	6.0%	0.0%	17.1%	17.1%	59.7%	12
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.3%	1.3%	17.0%	18.2%	63.2%	160
	Some college or associates degree	3.7%	8.8%	14.7%	20.5%	52.3%	446
	Bachelors degree or more	10.6%	10.7%	19.7%	13.8%	45.2%	454
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.7%	5.1%	13.4%	18.3%	60.4%	435
	50000 to 99999	4.6%	10.3%	21.1%	18.7%	45.3%	429
	100000 or more	7.7%	4.9%	18.0%	15.8%	53.7%	196
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.9%	4.8%	12.3%	20.6%	58.4%	371
	Urban	4.5%	7.3%	18.3%	17.1%	52.8%	689

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.6%	7.0%	18.3%	17.8%	54.2%	1078
	Male	2.1%	4.6%	20.8%	17.4%	55.0%	622
	Female	3.2%	9.6%	15.3%	18.3%	53.5%	450
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	76.8%	5.8%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	2.4%	9.5%	21.3%	17.0%	49.7%	101
	35-44	3.7%	4.3%	30.9%	19.1%	41.9%	127
	45-64	3.1%	7.3%	13.2%	20.5%	55.8%	411
	65 and over	1.3%	4.4%	12.6%	13.0%	68.7%	439
Race	White	2.9%	6.7%	19.3%	18.2%	53.0%	1017
	American Indian	0.0%	13.9%	1.0%	11.6%	73.5%	48
	Other	0.0%	7.9%	13.4%	15.5%	63.2%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.2%	4.1%	13.2%	13.1%	68.3%	161
	Some college or associates degree	2.1%	5.2%	22.7%	21.9%	48.1%	459
	Bachelors degree or more	5.3%	13.5%	18.6%	18.0%	44.7%	458
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.4%	5.4%	16.3%	14.9%	62.0%	443
	50000 to 99999	3.3%	10.7%	20.8%	19.5%	45.8%	436
	100000 or more	4.4%	4.9%	19.3%	21.8%	49.6%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.0%	6.7%	13.5%	14.9%	60.9%	377
	Urban	2.2%	7.1%	19.9%	18.8%	52.0%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Snowmobiling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.6%	5.8%	11.0%	19.7%	60.9%	1071
	Male	3.1%	7.5%	14.0%	17.3%	58.1%	617
	Female	1.6%	4.0%	7.9%	22.3%	64.3%	448
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	5.8%	5.8%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	4.0%	6.1%	8.8%	16.9%	64.2%	101
	35-44	0.0%	6.2%	21.8%	30.6%	41.4%	127
	45-64	3.4%	7.1%	10.3%	19.8%	59.4%	409
	65 and over	0.9%	2.4%	7.0%	15.1%	74.6%	434
Race	White	2.5%	5.5%	11.1%	20.1%	60.8%	1010
	American Indian	1.0%	10.0%	12.9%	22.2%	53.9%	48
	Other	5.5%	7.9%	7.9%	7.9%	70.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	3.1%	7.2%	13.2%	17.2%	59.3%	160
	Some college or associates degree	2.3%	6.1%	11.1%	22.1%	58.3%	454
	Bachelors degree or more	2.5%	3.4%	7.9%	19.3%	67.0%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.0%	3.2%	9.4%	18.6%	67.9%	440
	50000 to 99999	3.3%	9.4%	13.1%	16.9%	57.3%	434
	100000 or more	5.3%	6.1%	11.3%	26.6%	50.8%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.8%	5.6%	14.0%	18.4%	57.2%	373
	Urban	1.9%	5.8%	10.0%	20.1%	62.2%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - f. Cultural ceremonies

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.1%	0.3%	7.0%	17.1%	74.5%	1055
	Male	0.8%	0.2%	6.7%	14.5%	77.8%	605
	Female	0.8%	0.3%	7.5%	20.0%	71.3%	444
	Other	76.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	1.9%	0.0%	5.7%	13.2%	79.2%	100
	35-44	0.1%	0.0%	4.9%	20.5%	74.5%	125
	45-64	0.2%	0.5%	6.9%	20.6%	71.8%	402
	65 and over	2.1%	0.6%	11.3%	14.6%	71.4%	428
Race	White	0.5%	0.3%	7.0%	17.2%	75.1%	996
	American Indian	12.2%	1.1%	7.5%	8.2%	70.9%	46
	Other	5.5%	0.0%	7.9%	23.7%	62.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	2.0%	0.0%	10.1%	13.4%	74.4%	155
	Some college or associates degree	0.2%	0.3%	4.2%	20.2%	75.1%	449
	Bachelors degree or more	1.2%	0.5%	7.4%	17.4%	73.5%	451
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.9%	0.3%	9.7%	16.3%	72.8%	432
	50000 to 99999	1.9%	0.5%	6.6%	20.1%	70.9%	427
	100000 or more	0.2%	0.0%	1.6%	14.6%	83.6%	196
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.8%	0.6%	5.9%	14.6%	77.1%	370
	Urban	0.8%	0.2%	7.4%	17.9%	73.7%	685

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - g. Fishing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	12.9%	28.4%	29.3%	13.6%	15.8%	1079
	Male	14.8%	35.9%	29.6%	11.4%	8.3%	621
	Female	10.9%	20.1%	29.0%	16.1%	23.8%	452
	Other	0.0%	76.8%	11.6%	0.0%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	13.8%	24.2%	30.2%	14.5%	17.4%	102
	35-44	23.6%	24.2%	36.9%	7.2%	8.1%	127
	45-64	9.0%	34.5%	24.5%	16.1%	15.8%	409
	65 and over	9.8%	27.5%	30.6%	12.7%	19.3%	441
Race	White	12.1%	27.2%	30.5%	13.9%	16.2%	1019
	American Indian	18.6%	45.8%	20.0%	12.5%	3.1%	48
	Other	25.7%	40.0%	8.6%	8.6%	17.1%	12
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	12.7%	36.3%	26.9%	9.9%	14.2%	161
	Some college or associates degree	15.0%	24.3%	30.4%	17.7%	12.5%	461
	Bachelors degree or more	9.8%	24.3%	30.7%	12.5%	22.8%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	12.9%	24.8%	30.2%	15.6%	16.5%	443
	50000 to 99999	14.6%	30.1%	28.3%	10.2%	16.7%	435
	100000 or more	10.2%	34.0%	28.6%	14.5%	12.7%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	17.7%	35.4%	27.7%	5.7%	13.5%	380
	Urban	11.3%	26.2%	29.8%	16.2%	16.5%	699

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - h. Hunting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	12.5%	15.0%	23.9%	9.8%	38.7%	1069
	Male	16.6%	21.4%	28.1%	8.8%	25.2%	612
	Female	7.9%	8.5%	19.7%	10.9%	53.0%	451
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	15.0%	7.8%	25.6%	6.1%	45.5%	101
	35-44	20.1%	21.1%	22.8%	13.1%	22.9%	126
	45-64	10.8%	19.9%	22.0%	11.4%	35.9%	407
	65 and over	5.5%	13.3%	25.7%	10.3%	45.3%	435
Race	White	11.7%	15.4%	23.8%	10.1%	39.0%	1011
	American Indian	23.9%	13.9%	24.6%	12.2%	25.4%	46
	Other	23.2%	8.6%	25.4%	0.0%	42.9%	12
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	14.9%	20.6%	24.8%	8.2%	31.5%	159
	Some college or associates degree	10.7%	15.6%	27.4%	13.3%	33.0%	458
	Bachelors degree or more	12.1%	6.9%	17.5%	6.7%	56.8%	452
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	11.2%	10.1%	22.7%	9.8%	46.1%	439
	50000 to 99999	15.0%	19.6%	25.3%	8.3%	31.8%	435
	100000 or more	11.7%	19.2%	24.5%	12.1%	32.6%	195
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	19.1%	23.8%	26.4%	5.6%	25.1%	376
	Urban	10.4%	12.2%	23.1%	11.2%	43.2%	693

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - i. Creative arts (photography /drawing/ painting/

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.6%	13.4%	23.9%	15.4%	42.7%	1076
	Male	2.8%	11.9%	19.6%	14.2%	51.4%	619
	Female	6.4%	15.0%	28.6%	16.2%	33.8%	451
	Other	5.8%	5.8%	5.8%	71.1%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	7.6%	13.5%	21.4%	20.4%	37.2%	100
	35-44	4.6%	9.7%	31.9%	15.1%	38.7%	127
	45-64	3.2%	12.3%	25.8%	12.2%	46.5%	410
	65 and over	2.3%	18.4%	17.8%	13.4%	48.2%	439
Race	White	4.4%	13.6%	24.2%	14.9%	42.9%	1014
	American Indian	6.2%	14.5%	25.3%	20.3%	33.7%	49
	Other	7.9%	7.6%	15.8%	21.3%	47.4%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.3%	14.3%	25.1%	11.7%	47.6%	161
	Some college or associates degree	6.5%	11.3%	25.2%	13.0%	43.9%	459
	Bachelors degree or more	6.0%	15.2%	20.4%	23.9%	34.5%	456
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	5.1%	14.6%	23.3%	14.3%	42.7%	442
	50000 to 99999	6.0%	10.6%	27.0%	16.9%	39.5%	435
	100000 or more	1.2%	14.9%	20.3%	15.5%	48.1%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	5.5%	13.8%	16.8%	14.9%	49.0%	376
	Urban	4.3%	13.2%	26.2%	15.6%	40.7%	700

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - j. Developed camping (at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.5%	21.0%	45.7%	14.9%	16.8%	1078
	Male	2.3%	20.6%	46.7%	13.8%	16.6%	620
	Female	0.8%	21.1%	45.0%	16.2%	17.0%	452
	Other	0.0%	71.1%	5.8%	11.6%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	1.1%	27.5%	45.1%	14.0%	12.3%	101
	35-44	3.1%	12.7%	49.1%	16.2%	19.0%	126
	45-64	1.1%	20.8%	48.5%	13.2%	16.5%	413
	65 and over	2.0%	17.1%	38.9%	18.9%	23.1%	438
Race	White	1.6%	20.0%	47.4%	14.9%	15.9%	1016
	American Indian	1.0%	35.5%	38.0%	13.8%	11.6%	49
	Other	0.0%	29.2%	15.8%	15.8%	39.2%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.4%	22.6%	42.8%	12.1%	21.1%	159
	Some college or associates degree	2.4%	18.3%	47.8%	15.1%	16.5%	459
	Bachelors degree or more	0.4%	23.0%	46.6%	18.4%	11.7%	460
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.4%	20.1%	42.3%	17.4%	17.9%	441
	50000 to 99999	0.8%	21.1%	52.0%	12.3%	13.8%	437
	100000 or more	0.9%	22.9%	43.7%	13.5%	19.0%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.1%	29.0%	34.8%	18.2%	16.9%	375
	Urban	1.7%	18.5%	49.2%	13.9%	16.7%	703

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - k. Dispersed camping (not at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.7%	22.5%	35.1%	16.9%	20.8%	1074
	Male	4.8%	26.8%	37.0%	16.3%	15.1%	619
	Female	4.1%	18.1%	33.3%	17.6%	26.9%	449
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	5.8%	5.8%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	5.0%	26.5%	32.5%	17.1%	18.9%	101
	35-44	5.4%	25.3%	36.6%	18.9%	13.9%	126
	45-64	5.8%	24.1%	37.9%	15.3%	17.0%	413
	65 and over	1.6%	10.5%	32.8%	17.9%	37.3%	434
Race	White	4.4%	22.6%	36.1%	16.8%	20.0%	1014
	American Indian	11.6%	28.6%	32.8%	9.4%	17.6%	47
	Other	5.5%	15.8%	15.5%	23.7%	39.5%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	5.4%	22.1%	40.4%	10.0%	22.0%	156
	Some college or associates degree	3.2%	25.0%	33.7%	18.9%	19.2%	459
	Bachelors degree or more	6.1%	19.3%	30.5%	22.6%	21.6%	459
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.9%	18.6%	38.5%	16.5%	23.4%	438
	50000 to 99999	4.7%	28.2%	37.4%	11.5%	18.1%	435
	100000 or more	8.8%	22.3%	23.8%	26.1%	19.0%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.1%	24.5%	30.5%	13.8%	22.0%	376
	Urban	3.3%	21.9%	36.5%	17.9%	20.4%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - I. Viewing wildlife

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	18.0%	36.7%	31.1%	8.4%	5.8%	1078
	Male	19.0%	38.0%	32.1%	7.9%	3.0%	623
	Female	16.5%	35.5%	30.3%	8.8%	8.9%	449
	Other	76.8%	11.6%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	15.6%	32.7%	32.5%	13.9%	5.3%	102
	35-44	15.4%	46.0%	24.5%	5.7%	8.3%	124
	45-64	18.5%	40.0%	31.5%	4.6%	5.4%	413
	65 and over	23.2%	29.8%	33.3%	8.2%	5.5%	439
Race	White	16.4%	36.9%	32.4%	8.6%	5.6%	1017
	American Indian	44.9%	36.2%	16.5%	2.5%	0.0%	48
	Other	28.9%	31.6%	15.8%	7.9%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	18.6%	44.1%	24.7%	5.9%	6.8%	158
	Some college or associates degree	18.6%	34.2%	32.0%	9.4%	5.7%	461
	Bachelors degree or more	16.4%	31.0%	38.0%	9.9%	4.8%	459
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	17.3%	36.4%	28.1%	11.3%	6.9%	439
	50000 to 99999	19.0%	39.2%	30.6%	6.3%	4.8%	438
	100000 or more	18.0%	33.4%	38.7%	4.9%	5.0%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	25.6%	41.8%	20.4%	4.7%	7.5%	377
	Urban	15.6%	35.1%	34.6%	9.5%	5.3%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - m. Relaxing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	20.0%	39.3%	30.3%	6.5%	3.9%	1071
	Male	17.5%	40.9%	30.2%	9.5%	1.8%	616
	Female	22.2%	37.7%	30.6%	3.4%	6.1%	449
	Other	76.8%	17.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	19.8%	39.3%	28.3%	9.3%	3.2%	101
	35-44	17.6%	46.9%	25.8%	4.8%	5.0%	126
	45-64	21.0%	36.9%	34.0%	5.0%	3.1%	411
	65 and over	20.3%	37.6%	30.3%	6.0%	5.8%	433
Race	White	18.7%	39.1%	32.0%	6.6%	3.5%	1010
	American Indian	41.7%	43.0%	13.7%	1.5%	0.0%	48
	Other	29.2%	39.2%	7.9%	7.9%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	22.2%	40.2%	25.8%	6.1%	5.7%	158
	Some college or associates degree	20.1%	37.4%	32.6%	7.3%	2.5%	460
	Bachelors degree or more	16.9%	40.9%	32.7%	5.9%	3.7%	453
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	21.9%	34.4%	31.6%	8.0%	4.0%	440
	50000 to 99999	17.3%	47.1%	27.0%	5.9%	2.7%	432
	100000 or more	19.8%	37.9%	32.8%	4.0%	5.6%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	21.2%	43.1%	23.4%	5.5%	6.9%	377
	Urban	19.6%	38.0%	32.6%	6.9%	3.0%	694

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - n. Driving for pleasure

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	17.3%	34.5%	33.3%	8.2%	6.7%	1071
	Male	15.4%	33.4%	36.2%	11.7%	3.2%	615
	Female	19.0%	35.6%	30.4%	4.6%	10.3%	450
	Other	71.1%	23.2%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	16.8%	32.4%	30.5%	13.8%	6.5%	101
	35-44	16.5%	49.3%	24.9%	3.4%	5.8%	127
	45-64	15.6%	32.0%	40.4%	6.7%	5.2%	409
	65 and over	22.5%	30.3%	31.2%	5.5%	10.5%	434
Race	White	15.8%	35.5%	33.7%	8.9%	6.1%	1011
	American Indian	42.5%	21.1%	26.1%	1.0%	9.3%	47
	Other	29.2%	23.7%	31.3%	0.0%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	20.5%	36.8%	28.0%	6.1%	8.6%	158
	Some college or associates degree	20.4%	32.7%	33.7%	8.5%	4.7%	457
	Bachelors degree or more	8.7%	34.1%	39.5%	10.5%	7.2%	456
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	19.0%	30.6%	32.4%	10.0%	8.0%	439
	50000 to 99999	15.3%	38.9%	33.7%	7.0%	5.1%	432
	100000 or more	16.9%	36.5%	34.6%	6.0%	6.0%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	18.7%	38.0%	27.6%	5.6%	10.1%	377
	Urban	16.9%	33.3%	35.1%	9.1%	5.6%	694

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - o. Road bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.2%	5.6%	13.4%	21.3%	57.4%	1073
	Male	0.9%	8.1%	13.9%	21.9%	55.3%	617
	Female	3.6%	3.0%	12.6%	20.8%	60.0%	450
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	71.1%	5.8%	23.2%	6
Age	18-34	1.3%	5.6%	14.9%	29.5%	48.8%	101
	35-44	0.8%	7.8%	18.4%	23.8%	49.1%	126
	45-64	3.8%	5.7%	10.8%	16.3%	63.5%	411
	65 and over	2.0%	3.7%	11.7%	15.1%	67.5%	435
Race	White	2.3%	5.6%	13.1%	20.8%	58.2%	1013
	American Indian	2.0%	3.8%	20.2%	23.9%	50.1%	47
	Other	0.0%	7.9%	13.4%	31.3%	47.4%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.5%	2.6%	12.2%	17.8%	65.8%	161
	Some college or associates degree	3.4%	5.6%	12.4%	23.0%	55.7%	460
	Bachelors degree or more	1.4%	9.6%	16.5%	23.4%	49.1%	452
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.5%	4.1%	13.4%	23.8%	56.2%	441
	50000 to 99999	2.9%	5.7%	12.6%	23.0%	55.9%	433
	100000 or more	0.6%	8.9%	14.7%	13.1%	62.8%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.9%	4.7%	10.2%	20.0%	64.2%	375
	Urban	2.6%	5.9%	14.5%	21.7%	55.3%	698

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - p. Mountain bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.0%	3.9%	14.2%	18.9%	61.1%	1063
	Male	1.7%	5.9%	15.4%	20.7%	56.3%	613
	Female	2.3%	1.3%	13.0%	17.0%	66.4%	444
	Other	0.0%	71.1%	5.8%	5.8%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	2.4%	6.1%	17.0%	22.1%	52.4%	101
	35-44	1.3%	5.6%	21.8%	24.0%	47.3%	127
	45-64	2.0%	2.6%	10.1%	16.2%	69.0%	404
	65 and over	2.0%	1.0%	10.7%	14.0%	72.4%	431
Race	White	1.7%	3.8%	14.2%	19.6%	60.7%	1002
	American Indian	10.9%	3.8%	12.1%	13.4%	59.8%	48
	Other	0.0%	5.5%	15.8%	7.6%	71.1%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.6%	0.7%	12.7%	18.2%	67.8%	158
	Some college or associates degree	2.6%	4.5%	14.2%	19.2%	59.5%	452
	Bachelors degree or more	3.0%	7.0%	16.2%	19.2%	54.7%	453
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.6%	3.3%	12.3%	16.4%	65.4%	437
	50000 to 99999	2.0%	4.7%	15.6%	23.9%	53.8%	429
	100000 or more	0.8%	3.8%	16.2%	16.5%	62.6%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.3%	3.1%	13.1%	14.2%	67.3%	374
	Urban	1.9%	4.1%	14.6%	20.4%	59.0%	689

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - q. Firewood collecting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	5.6%	8.9%	25.4%	23.7%	36.3%	1076
	Male	5.2%	10.1%	29.3%	25.3%	30.0%	620
	Female	5.7%	7.6%	21.4%	22.2%	43.1%	450
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	0.8%	6.4%	19.9%	31.6%	41.3%	101
	35-44	9.4%	10.5%	29.9%	21.2%	28.9%	127
	45-64	9.8%	10.4%	27.8%	21.0%	31.0%	411
	65 and over	2.8%	8.8%	26.6%	17.8%	44.0%	437
Race	White	5.4%	9.5%	24.6%	24.5%	36.0%	1015
	American Indian	11.5%	3.6%	47.6%	14.6%	22.8%	48
	Other	5.5%	0.0%	23.7%	15.8%	55.0%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	6.0%	10.8%	32.7%	20.4%	30.1%	161
	Some college or associates degree	7.9%	8.9%	25.3%	24.8%	33.0%	458
	Bachelors degree or more	1.8%	6.3%	16.1%	26.4%	49.4%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	4.4%	8.4%	28.6%	22.4%	36.2%	443
	50000 to 99999	6.7%	11.3%	20.3%	26.9%	34.8%	434
	100000 or more	6.9%	6.0%	26.2%	21.9%	39.0%	199
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	9.3%	11.8%	27.8%	18.8%	32.4%	379
	Urban	4.5%	8.0%	24.7%	25.3%	37.6%	697

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - r. Foraging for berries, mushroom, etc.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	3.7%	8.8%	39.1%	17.5%	30.9%	1079
	Male	2.9%	7.5%	41.7%	17.9%	30.0%	621
	Female	4.1%	10.2%	36.5%	17.1%	31.9%	452
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	5.8%	11.6%	11.6%	6
Age	18-34	1.8%	10.7%	32.5%	21.9%	33.0%	101
	35-44	6.0%	8.2%	42.9%	13.8%	29.1%	127
	45-64	4.9%	7.9%	40.8%	15.4%	31.0%	413
	65 and over	3.0%	7.6%	43.6%	17.2%	28.6%	438
Race	White	3.0%	9.2%	38.3%	18.9%	30.5%	1017
	American Indian	19.9%	9.1%	57.3%	1.9%	11.7%	49
	Other	5.5%	0.0%	39.2%	0.0%	55.3%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	3.4%	8.7%	46.7%	15.0%	26.2%	161
	Some college or associates degree	4.5%	10.6%	36.9%	18.2%	29.7%	460
	Bachelors degree or more	3.0%	6.1%	32.4%	19.7%	38.8%	458
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.8%	8.9%	40.6%	18.7%	30.1%	443
	50000 to 99999	6.7%	10.6%	37.5%	17.8%	27.5%	436
	100000 or more	3.7%	5.7%	38.2%	14.4%	38.0%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.0%	11.6%	39.1%	20.7%	21.7%	377
	Urban	2.7%	7.9%	39.1%	16.5%	33.9%	702

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - s. Picnicking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	4.9%	21.8%	45.6%	14.9%	12.9%	1074
	Male	3.4%	21.0%	45.3%	17.4%	12.9%	617
	Female	5.9%	22.7%	46.1%	12.3%	13.0%	451
	Other	71.1%	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	17.4%	6
Age	18-34	3.0%	20.0%	39.3%	22.5%	15.4%	99
	35-44	4.5%	21.4%	50.8%	8.9%	14.4%	127
	45-64	7.4%	21.7%	48.9%	12.1%	9.7%	412
	65 and over	3.3%	25.1%	45.3%	12.4%	13.9%	436
Race	White	4.2%	20.4%	47.5%	15.0%	12.9%	1014
	American Indian	20.6%	25.5%	31.7%	11.6%	10.6%	47
	Other	5.5%	47.1%	15.8%	15.8%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	5.9%	24.0%	44.4%	11.1%	14.7%	159
	Some college or associates degree	3.8%	22.3%	44.2%	17.1%	12.7%	456
	Bachelors degree or more	5.1%	18.1%	49.3%	16.6%	11.0%	459
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	3.5%	27.1%	39.6%	15.6%	14.3%	442
	50000 to 99999	7.1%	14.3%	52.3%	13.2%	13.2%	431
	100000 or more	4.6%	21.2%	48.8%	15.7%	9.6%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	10.8%	28.4%	37.9%	11.9%	11.0%	373
	Urban	3.0%	19.6%	48.0%	15.8%	13.6%	701

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - t. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	35.4%	40.3%	24.1%	0.0%	0.2%	83
	Male	49.7%	33.6%	16.3%	0.0%	0.4%	47
	Female	15.2%	49.9%	34.9%	0.0%	0.0%	35
	Other	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age	18-34	34.2%	32.3%	33.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10
	35-44	31.0%	63.5%	5.5%	0.0%	0.0%	13
	45-64	39.5%	36.4%	24.1%	0.0%	0.0%	34
	65 and over	34.3%	28.6%	33.7%	0.0%	3.4%	26
Race	White	34.8%	37.2%	27.7%	0.0%	0.3%	73
	American Indian	22.1%	77.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8
	Other	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	39.7%	34.8%	25.5%	0.0%	0.0%	9
	Some college or associates degree	18.5%	46.9%	34.6%	0.0%	0.0%	34
	Bachelors degree or more	53.2%	37.4%	8.6%	0.0%	0.8%	40
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	30.5%	40.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.7%	31
	50000 to 99999	44.3%	34.9%	20.8%	0.0%	0.0%	36
	100000 or more	25.6%	51.1%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	27.0%	69.6%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	32
	Urban	38.4%	29.8%	31.5%	0.0%	0.3%	51

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Harvesting timber

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.8%	1.1%	7.9%	10.4%	78.7%	1075
	Male	2.9%	0.7%	8.5%	13.6%	74.3%	621
	Female	0.7%	1.1%	7.3%	7.0%	83.8%	448
	Other	0.0%	71.1%	5.8%	0.0%	23.2%	6
Age	18-34	0.0%	0.8%	3.9%	8.6%	86.7%	101
	35-44	2.8%	4.4%	7.8%	15.8%	69.1%	127
	45-64	3.7%	0.4%	10.4%	7.8%	77.8%	409
	65 and over	0.5%	0.4%	10.1%	13.8%	75.1%	438
Race	White	1.6%	0.9%	8.2%	10.4%	78.9%	1015
	American Indian	9.0%	1.0%	11.2%	3.9%	74.9%	47
	Other	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	15.8%	78.7%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	3.9%	0.0%	9.5%	11.4%	75.3%	161
	Some college or associates degree	1.3%	1.8%	8.5%	11.6%	76.7%	456
	Bachelors degree or more	0.0%	1.5%	5.0%	7.3%	86.3%	458
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.0%	0.2%	7.2%	10.4%	80.1%	438
	50000 to 99999	1.7%	2.1%	7.9%	11.1%	77.2%	437
	100000 or more	1.6%	1.5%	9.5%	9.3%	78.0%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	4.4%	0.5%	7.7%	13.4%	74.0%	378
	Urban	1.0%	1.3%	8.0%	9.4%	80.3%	697

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Mining

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	1.3%	0.2%	0.5%	5.7%	92.3%	1076
	Male	2.4%	0.4%	0.6%	6.4%	90.2%	620
	Female	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	4.6%	94.8%	450
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	71.1%	28.9%	6
Age	18-34	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	95.2%	101
	35-44	0.3%	0.2%	0.6%	1.5%	97.3%	127
	45-64	2.3%	0.3%	0.6%	10.1%	86.7%	410
	65 and over	1.0%	0.3%	1.2%	3.8%	93.6%	438
Race	White	1.0%	0.2%	0.5%	5.5%	92.7%	1016
	American Indian	9.0%	0.0%	0.5%	2.8%	87.6%	47
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.4%	86.6%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.4%	0.2%	0.0%	5.8%	92.6%	160
	Some college or associates degree	1.4%	0.4%	0.7%	5.5%	92.1%	456
	Bachelors degree or more	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	6.1%	92.0%	460
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	4.3%	94.0%	438
	50000 to 99999	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%	8.1%	90.9%	437
	100000 or more	3.5%	0.1%	0.7%	5.3%	90.4%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.7%	0.3%	0.9%	4.4%	92.6%	378
	Urban	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	6.2%	92.1%	698

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Oil/gas extraction

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	2.1%	96.8%	1077
	Male	0.8%	0.0%	0.9%	2.6%	95.6%	621
	Female	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	1.5%	98.1%	450
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	6
Age	18-34	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	94.9%	101
	35-44	0.9%	0.0%	0.1%	1.1%	97.9%	127
	45-64	0.1%	0.1%	1.0%	1.4%	97.5%	409
	65 and over	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.8%	98.0%	440
Race	White	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	2.2%	96.6%	1017
	American Indian	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.0%	47
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	99.4%	161
	Some college or associates degree	1.0%	0.1%	1.0%	1.4%	96.5%	457
	Bachelors degree or more	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	5.3%	93.9%	459
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	99.6%	439
	50000 to 99999	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	2.8%	96.6%	437
	100000 or more	2.2%	0.1%	1.6%	5.2%	90.9%	201
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	1.6%	0.1%	0.1%	2.9%	95.3%	377
	Urban	0.2%	0.0%	0.7%	1.8%	97.3%	700

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Outfitter/guiding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	0.5%	0.1%	2.4%	7.0%	90.0%	1075
	Male	0.8%	0.2%	1.9%	9.1%	88.0%	619
	Female	0.2%	0.0%	2.5%	4.8%	92.5%	450
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	71.1%	0.0%	28.9%	6
Age	18-34	1.0%	0.0%	5.0%	6.5%	87.6%	101
	35-44	0.6%	0.4%	2.6%	12.8%	83.6%	127
	45-64	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	5.5%	93.8%	409
	65 and over	0.1%	0.2%	1.8%	5.9%	92.0%	438
Race	White	0.5%	0.1%	2.0%	6.8%	90.5%	1015
	American Indian	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	10.7%	80.7%	47
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	7.9%	86.6%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	5.1%	94.7%	161
	Some college or associates degree	1.1%	0.2%	3.1%	8.5%	87.1%	457
	Bachelors degree or more	0.2%	0.2%	4.4%	7.2%	88.0%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.8%	0.1%	1.8%	7.1%	90.2%	438
	50000 to 99999	0.4%	0.2%	3.8%	7.0%	88.7%	437
	100000 or more	0.0%	0.1%	1.6%	6.6%	91.6%	200
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.1%	0.3%	3.9%	5.2%	90.6%	378
	Urban	0.6%	0.1%	1.9%	7.6%	89.8%	697

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	34.2%	37.6%	21.8%	6.4%	0.0%	35
	Male	44.2%	29.5%	23.3%	2.9%	0.0%	20
	Female	5.9%	62.1%	15.3%	16.6%	0.0%	14
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age	18-34	94.6%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	35-44	28.0%	48.1%	22.4%	1.4%	0.0%	6
	45-64	15.6%	46.6%	22.5%	15.3%	0.0%	16
	65 and over	25.2%	8.4%	42.1%	24.3%	0.0%	11
Race	White	34.6%	36.9%	22.1%	6.5%	0.0%	34
	American Indian	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	75.3%	20.6%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	<5
	Some college or associates degree	15.4%	70.9%	7.2%	6.5%	0.0%	16
	Bachelors degree or more	6.4%	3.2%	80.7%	9.7%	0.0%	15
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	51.8%	25.4%	10.6%	12.2%	0.0%	10
	50000 to 99999	32.2%	56.5%	8.6%	2.6%	0.0%	18
	100000 or more	12.5%	0.0%	79.1%	8.4%	0.0%	7
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	50.0%	28.9%	12.8%	8.3%	0.0%	20
	Urban	25.6%	42.3%	26.8%	5.3%	0.0%	15

Q10. Have there been activities that you wanted to do during your visit(s) to local federal public lands that you were not able to?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender	Total	21.2%	78.8%	1023
	Male	25.3%	74.7%	584
	Female	17.0%	83.0%	433
	Other	11.6%	88.4%	6
Age	18-34	16.9%	83.1%	99
	35-44	31.3%	68.7%	122
	45-64	21.7%	78.3%	396
	65 and over	18.9%	81.1%	406
Race	White	21.1%	78.9%	964
	American Indian	19.4%	80.6%	46
	Other	23.7%	76.3%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	25.0%	75.0%	151
	Some college or associates degree	21.1%	78.9%	433
	Bachelors degree or more	16.4%	83.6%	439
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	18.7%	81.3%	415
	50000 to 99999	25.5%	74.5%	416
	100000 or more	20.1%	79.9%	192
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	28.5%	71.5%	362
	Urban	18.8%	81.2%	661

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. a. I have access to areas of cultural/traditional significance

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	2.1%	3.1%	29.4%	39.6%	9.6%	16.1%	1057
	Male	2.5%	5.1%	31.3%	38.0%	8.0%	15.1%	610
	Female	1.7%	0.9%	27.5%	41.6%	11.0%	17.3%	441
	Other	5.8%	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	71.1%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	2.2%	2.1%	32.6%	41.3%	8.7%	13.0%	102
	35-44	0.6%	7.2%	28.7%	33.9%	5.5%	24.1%	125
	45-64	2.5%	1.8%	29.5%	40.6%	11.1%	14.5%	406
	65 and over	2.4%	3.8%	24.1%	39.6%	12.0%	18.2%	424
Race	White	2.1%	3.2%	29.2%	39.1%	10.1%	16.3%	996
	American Indian	4.2%	3.3%	13.9%	62.2%	3.4%	13.1%	48
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	47.1%	31.6%	5.5%	15.8%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.7%	3.7%	30.0%	37.3%	8.0%	19.3%	158
	Some college or associates degree	2.5%	4.0%	27.8%	38.6%	10.0%	17.1%	446
	Bachelors degree or more	1.9%	1.0%	31.0%	44.2%	11.3%	10.6%	453
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	2.2%	1.3%	28.0%	43.3%	9.0%	16.2%	429
	50000 to 99999	3.1%	7.1%	31.7%	32.8%	9.5%	15.9%	432
	100000 or more	0.4%	0.8%	29.0%	42.0%	11.5%	16.4%	196
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	2.6%	1.4%	29.9%	43.2%	6.5%	16.3%	372
	Urban	1.9%	3.6%	29.3%	38.5%	10.7%	16.1%	685

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. b. I have pleasant interactions with other visitors

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	0.9%	2.6%	18.9%	56.6%	17.0%	3.9%	1062
	Male	0.9%	3.5%	17.5%	58.7%	15.6%	3.7%	615
	Female	0.9%	1.7%	20.1%	54.6%	18.7%	4.1%	441
	Other	5.8%	0.0%	76.8%	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	0.1%	4.3%	15.7%	55.3%	22.5%	2.1%	102
	35-44	0.0%	2.4%	26.3%	57.6%	9.0%	4.6%	125
	45-64	1.3%	1.8%	18.2%	58.5%	16.3%	3.9%	411
	65 and over	2.5%	1.5%	20.1%	54.0%	15.7%	6.2%	424
Race	White	0.9%	2.8%	18.6%	57.1%	16.4%	4.2%	1001
	American Indian	1.8%	0.7%	16.5%	63.6%	15.7%	1.7%	48
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%	39.2%	31.6%	0.0%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	1.0%	0.9%	20.2%	56.1%	15.2%	6.6%	158
	Some college or associates degree	1.3%	4.0%	19.6%	56.1%	17.1%	1.9%	447
	Bachelors degree or more	0.3%	2.8%	16.4%	57.9%	19.4%	3.3%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	0.4%	1.2%	19.6%	58.8%	14.9%	5.1%	431
	50000 to 99999	1.1%	5.1%	17.8%	50.9%	21.6%	3.4%	433
	100000 or more	1.8%	1.9%	19.3%	60.6%	14.7%	1.7%	198
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.5%	1.3%	27.3%	50.9%	14.8%	5.2%	372
	Urban	1.1%	3.0%	16.3%	58.4%	17.8%	3.4%	690

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. c. I experience conflict with users using different modes of transportation

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	11.4%	32.9%	34.0%	11.3%	4.9%	5.5%	1061
	Male	11.4%	29.5%	35.2%	13.9%	5.1%	5.0%	614
	Female	11.4%	36.8%	32.5%	8.5%	4.7%	6.2%	441
	Other	0.0%	5.8%	76.8%	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	11.9%	40.1%	30.6%	10.9%	4.4%	2.2%	101
	35-44	4.3%	34.1%	35.0%	13.6%	4.3%	8.8%	125
	45-64	13.1%	29.3%	37.3%	10.1%	3.9%	6.2%	409
	65 and over	12.9%	26.6%	32.7%	12.3%	8.1%	7.4%	426
Race	White	11.0%	33.0%	34.6%	11.3%	5.2%	4.9%	1000
	American Indian	32.7%	24.0%	15.7%	6.5%	2.8%	18.3%	48
	Other	0.0%	39.5%	37.1%	15.5%	0.0%	7.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	13.2%	24.4%	35.5%	11.7%	6.9%	8.4%	159
	Some college or associates degree	12.5%	34.3%	36.2%	10.2%	4.4%	2.6%	447
	Bachelors degree or more	7.3%	42.2%	28.9%	12.4%	3.0%	6.2%	455
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	10.0%	32.9%	34.7%	8.7%	6.5%	7.2%	431
	50000 to 99999	13.5%	28.2%	35.0%	16.2%	4.4%	2.7%	433
	100000 or more	11.0%	40.2%	31.1%	9.3%	1.9%	6.4%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	7.4%	29.6%	33.7%	15.3%	7.1%	6.9%	372
	Urban	12.6%	34.0%	34.1%	10.0%	4.2%	5.1%	689

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. a. Rangers patrolling the area

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	21.0%	50.3%	10.0%	18.8%	1060
	Male	20.4%	54.9%	14.4%	10.3%	612
	Female	21.7%	45.1%	5.2%	28.0%	442
	Other	5.8%	82.6%	5.8%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	16.8%	59.9%	5.4%	17.9%	102
	35-44	16.1%	48.2%	16.6%	19.2%	125
	45-64	24.3%	46.6%	13.0%	16.1%	409
	65 and over	25.8%	42.2%	6.6%	25.4%	424
Race	White	20.8%	50.5%	9.8%	18.9%	999
	American Indian	14.2%	58.9%	15.4%	11.6%	48
	Other	31.3%	37.1%	7.9%	23.7%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	21.9%	48.3%	14.9%	14.9%	159
	Some college or associates degree	18.1%	51.7%	9.5%	20.7%	444
	Bachelors degree or more	24.0%	50.7%	4.1%	21.2%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	24.1%	46.6%	10.1%	19.2%	432
	50000 to 99999	16.1%	55.4%	6.5%	22.0%	432
	100000 or more	21.4%	50.5%	15.0%	13.0%	196
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	25.2%	41.4%	15.5%	17.9%	372
	Urban	19.6%	53.1%	8.2%	19.1%	688

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. b. Trails for all terrain vehicles

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	25.3%	41.2%	11.3%	22.2%	1061
	Male	34.2%	41.8%	11.0%	13.0%	616
	Female	15.8%	40.5%	11.6%	32.2%	439
	Other	5.8%	71.1%	17.4%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	17.9%	51.7%	8.7%	21.8%	102
	35-44	27.7%	38.2%	9.6%	24.6%	125
	45-64	32.7%	34.8%	11.8%	20.7%	407
	65 and over	22.0%	38.1%	16.2%	23.7%	427
Race	White	25.5%	41.8%	11.4%	21.3%	1000
	American Indian	22.2%	40.5%	12.8%	24.5%	48
	Other	23.7%	29.2%	7.6%	39.5%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	34.9%	38.4%	10.6%	16.1%	159
	Some college or associates degree	25.2%	46.0%	10.1%	18.6%	445
	Bachelors degree or more	12.9%	37.9%	14.0%	35.3%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	22.3%	45.5%	10.8%	21.3%	432
	50000 to 99999	28.1%	35.7%	11.9%	24.2%	432
	100000 or more	27.6%	40.1%	11.4%	20.9%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	27.0%	42.5%	12.9%	17.6%	372
	Urban	24.7%	40.8%	10.8%	23.6%	689

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. c. Areas open to snow machines

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	13.5%	43.2%	7.7%	35.6%	1062
	Male	18.9%	47.0%	7.4%	26.7%	614
	Female	7.8%	39.4%	8.1%	44.8%	442
	Other	5.8%	5.8%	11.6%	76.8%	6
Age	18-34	8.3%	43.3%	7.5%	40.9%	102
	35-44	18.1%	38.3%	6.3%	37.3%	125
	45-64	19.3%	43.3%	6.3%	31.1%	409
	65 and over	7.4%	46.8%	12.1%	33.7%	426
Race	White	13.3%	43.8%	7.9%	34.9%	1001
	American Indian	14.4%	51.0%	3.1%	31.5%	48
	Other	15.8%	23.7%	7.6%	52.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	16.9%	48.9%	4.2%	30.1%	159
	Some college or associates degree	16.3%	43.8%	6.0%	33.9%	447
	Bachelors degree or more	4.9%	34.9%	14.9%	45.3%	456
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	9.7%	48.2%	9.2%	32.9%	434
	50000 to 99999	18.6%	38.1%	5.7%	37.7%	430
	100000 or more	14.1%	39.9%	7.7%	38.4%	198
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	11.7%	52.5%	7.1%	28.7%	371
	Urban	14.1%	40.2%	7.9%	37.8%	691

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. d. Accessible (e.g., for wheelchairs) sites

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	24.4%	31.0%	0.7%	44.0%	1062
	Male	23.4%	35.6%	1.3%	39.8%	613
	Female	25.5%	26.4%	0.0%	48.1%	443
	Other	11.6%	0.0%	5.8%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	19.5%	25.8%	1.1%	53.5%	101
	35-44	26.5%	32.0%	0.1%	41.4%	124
	45-64	25.7%	31.9%	0.7%	41.8%	410
	65 and over	28.5%	37.3%	0.3%	33.9%	427
Race	White	23.6%	31.3%	0.7%	44.4%	1001
	American Indian	53.7%	21.8%	1.0%	23.5%	48
	Other	15.5%	31.6%	0.0%	52.9%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	29.4%	32.5%	0.0%	38.1%	157
	Some college or associates degree	23.7%	33.8%	0.6%	42.0%	447
	Bachelors degree or more	19.0%	24.9%	1.6%	54.4%	458
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	32.9%	27.6%	0.1%	39.5%	433
	50000 to 99999	20.3%	27.5%	1.3%	50.9%	431
	100000 or more	11.5%	44.1%	1.0%	43.4%	198
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	19.5%	36.3%	0.2%	44.0%	372
	Urban	26.0%	29.3%	0.8%	43.9%	690

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. e. Bathrooms

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	21.1%	65.8%	1.6%	11.5%	1054
	Male	18.8%	71.6%	3.2%	6.4%	610
	Female	23.7%	59.8%	0.0%	16.5%	438
	Other	0.0%	17.4%	5.8%	76.8%	6
Age	18-34	15.0%	71.6%	1.9%	11.5%	102
	35-44	18.8%	70.2%	0.3%	10.8%	124
	45-64	24.0%	63.9%	2.6%	9.6%	406
	65 and over	28.0%	55.8%	0.5%	15.7%	422
Race	White	20.1%	67.0%	1.8%	11.1%	993
	American Indian	41.0%	56.7%	1.0%	1.3%	48
	Other	23.7%	47.1%	0.0%	29.2%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	31.0%	59.2%	0.0%	9.8%	158
	Some college or associates degree	18.2%	68.8%	2.5%	10.6%	441
	Bachelors degree or more	12.6%	69.8%	2.6%	15.1%	455
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	23.5%	63.9%	0.2%	12.4%	430
	50000 to 99999	18.9%	68.5%	1.2%	11.3%	427
	100000 or more	18.9%	65.8%	5.7%	9.7%	197
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	26.5%	63.0%	0.3%	10.3%	371
	Urban	19.3%	66.7%	2.1%	11.9%	683

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. f. Trails for mountain bikers

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	6.8%	47.3%	4.9%	41.0%	1061
	Male	8.6%	54.6%	7.2%	29.6%	613
	Female	4.8%	39.8%	2.4%	52.9%	442
	Other	5.8%	11.6%	5.8%	76.8%	6
Age	18-34	5.6%	51.1%	3.3%	40.0%	102
	35-44	9.3%	49.7%	4.0%	36.9%	125
	45-64	7.6%	41.8%	5.1%	45.5%	409
	65 and over	5.4%	49.4%	8.0%	37.3%	425
Race	White	6.8%	45.4%	4.8%	43.0%	1000
	American Indian	15.0%	66.5%	3.6%	14.9%	48
	Other	0.0%	70.8%	7.9%	21.3%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	5.3%	50.2%	7.1%	37.4%	160
	Some college or associates degree	10.5%	44.9%	4.3%	40.3%	444
	Bachelors degree or more	3.3%	47.0%	2.9%	46.7%	457
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	6.2%	52.0%	4.9%	37.0%	433
	50000 to 99999	8.8%	42.7%	4.0%	44.4%	432
	100000 or more	5.0%	43.8%	6.3%	44.9%	196
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	3.6%	57.3%	3.7%	35.3%	371
	Urban	7.8%	44.0%	5.3%	42.8%	690

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. g. Travel information on forest websites to plan for trips

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	18.8%	55.1%	1.3%	24.8%	1055
	Male	18.8%	57.4%	1.9%	21.9%	608
	Female	18.9%	53.0%	0.7%	27.4%	441
	Other	0.0%	11.6%	5.8%	82.6%	6
Age	18-34	23.3%	57.9%	1.1%	17.6%	102
	35-44	17.9%	53.7%	0.3%	28.1%	123
	45-64	19.9%	50.8%	2.3%	27.0%	406
	65 and over	9.6%	59.7%	0.6%	30.1%	424
Race	White	19.5%	55.4%	0.7%	24.4%	995
	American Indian	22.2%	56.6%	10.1%	11.2%	47
	Other	0.0%	47.4%	7.9%	44.7%	13
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	17.5%	56.5%	1.2%	24.7%	158
	Some college or associates degree	17.8%	54.7%	1.9%	25.6%	444
	Bachelors degree or more	21.9%	53.9%	0.6%	23.6%	453
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	21.1%	54.0%	1.8%	23.1%	432
	50000 to 99999	16.5%	59.0%	0.3%	24.2%	428
	100000 or more	16.9%	51.7%	1.9%	29.5%	195
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	13.5%	58.8%	2.1%	25.5%	371
	Urban	20.5%	53.9%	1.1%	24.5%	684

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. h. Other (please specify below)

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	61.4%	2.2%	36.4%	0.0%	42
	Male	51.1%	0.0%	48.9%	0.0%	22
	Female	76.9%	5.4%	17.7%	0.0%	20
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Age	18-34	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	<5
	35-44	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	45-64	61.4%	0.0%	38.6%	0.0%	23
	65 and over	58.0%	15.4%	26.6%	0.0%	14
Race	White	60.4%	2.2%	37.4%	0.0%	39
	American Indian	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
	Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	<5
	Some college or associates degree	72.1%	3.1%	24.8%	0.0%	25
	Bachelors degree or more	39.1%	0.0%	60.9%	0.0%	16
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	82.1%	7.0%	10.9%	0.0%	14
	50000 to 99999	64.2%	0.0%	35.8%	0.0%	23
	100000 or more	17.8%	0.0%	82.2%	0.0%	5
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	80.9%	4.8%	14.3%	0.0%	20
	Urban	57.5%	1.6%	40.8%	0.0%	22

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. a. Designated wilderness (preserved wildlands)

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	30.1%	40.2%	15.5%	14.1%	1122
	Male	27.5%	43.0%	22.7%	6.8%	651
	Female	32.6%	37.5%	8.1%	21.8%	465
	Other	82.6%	11.6%	5.8%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	39.6%	38.1%	10.3%	12.0%	109
	35-44	39.4%	37.5%	13.8%	9.3%	131
	45-64	23.0%	43.5%	19.5%	13.9%	425
	65 and over	20.8%	39.8%	17.9%	21.5%	457
Race	White	29.6%	40.5%	16.2%	13.7%	1055
	American Indian	22.3%	61.7%	14.5%	1.5%	49
	Other	43.4%	22.6%	5.7%	28.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	17.7%	44.4%	20.0%	18.0%	179
	Some college or associates degree	33.7%	41.3%	15.4%	9.6%	467
	Bachelors degree or more	42.2%	33.0%	9.5%	15.3%	476
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	35.5%	36.1%	11.2%	17.2%	470
	50000 to 99999	23.6%	47.7%	16.9%	11.7%	450
	100000 or more	27.1%	38.6%	24.0%	10.2%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	21.6%	43.8%	25.3%	9.3%	388
	Urban	32.7%	39.2%	12.5%	15.6%	734

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. b. Wildfire suppression activities i.e. management to put out wildfires

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	33.7%	36.0%	5.7%	24.7%	1123
	Male	38.8%	40.8%	7.0%	13.3%	652
	Female	28.1%	31.1%	4.3%	36.6%	465
	Other	82.6%	11.6%	0.0%	5.8%	6
Age	18-34	28.0%	39.8%	8.1%	24.1%	108
	35-44	39.8%	31.5%	7.0%	21.8%	130
	45-64	38.5%	32.7%	5.2%	23.6%	427
	65 and over	29.6%	39.2%	1.7%	29.6%	458
Race	White	33.3%	36.3%	5.7%	24.6%	1056
	American Indian	36.3%	30.4%	12.3%	21.1%	49
	Other	37.9%	33.7%	0.0%	28.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	36.7%	34.5%	4.0%	24.9%	177
	Some college or associates degree	36.4%	38.2%	4.5%	20.9%	470
	Bachelors degree or more	25.7%	34.9%	9.7%	29.7%	476
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	37.4%	32.3%	4.5%	25.8%	469
	50000 to 99999	30.4%	40.8%	6.9%	22.0%	452
	100000 or more	29.9%	37.5%	6.5%	26.1%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	37.6%	40.2%	5.5%	16.6%	387
	Urban	32.5%	34.7%	5.7%	27.1%	736

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. c. Wildfire mitigation activities i.e. management to prevent wildfires

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
Gender	Total	48.6%	22.2%	2.0%	27.2%	1119
	Male	58.4%	24.3%	3.7%	13.6%	650
	Female	38.0%	20.1%	0.2%	41.6%	463
	Other	94.2%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	6
Age	18-34	46.7%	22.5%	3.0%	27.9%	108
	35-44	57.9%	21.2%	2.2%	18.7%	130
	45-64	51.4%	19.5%	1.8%	27.3%	426
	65 and over	39.6%	27.4%	0.6%	32.5%	455
Race	White	48.1%	22.7%	2.0%	27.1%	1052
	American Indian	67.6%	17.5%	3.8%	11.1%	49
	Other	43.4%	17.0%	0.0%	39.6%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	49.2%	25.8%	1.2%	23.9%	177
	Some college or associates degree	48.0%	21.6%	2.0%	28.5%	468
	Bachelors degree or more	48.6%	18.2%	3.1%	30.1%	474
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	50.5%	21.2%	0.9%	27.4%	467
	50000 to 99999	49.5%	22.6%	1.7%	26.2%	450
	100000 or more	42.4%	24.2%	5.1%	28.4%	202
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	58.6%	24.8%	1.7%	14.9%	387
	Urban	45.5%	21.4%	2.1%	31.0%	732

Q22. Would you or any member of your household prefer to receive information about public lands, services, etc. in languages other than English?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
Gender	Total	1.0%	99.0%	1089
	Male	0.2%	99.8%	626
	Female	1.8%	98.2%	457
	Other	5.8%	94.2%	6
Age	18-34	1.1%	98.9%	107
	35-44	0.0%	100.0%	129
	45-64	0.8%	99.2%	416
	65 and over	1.9%	98.1%	437
Race	White	0.7%	99.3%	1024
	American Indian	0.5%	99.5%	47
	Other	5.7%	94.3%	18
Education Attainment	HS diploma or less	0.9%	99.1%	179
	Some college or associates degree	1.7%	98.3%	444
	Bachelors degree or more	0.2%	99.8%	466
2016 HH Income	Less than 50000	1.4%	98.6%	457
	50000 to 99999	0.1%	99.9%	440
	100000 or more	1.3%	98.7%	192
OMB Urbanicity	Rural	0.1%	99.9%	371
	Urban	1.3%	98.7%	718

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
a. Protecting water quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.1%	1.4%	7.3%	30.7%	60.5%	349
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.4%	2.1%	32.1%	65.4%	160
CusterGallatin	1	1.4%	4.0%	12.7%	31.3%	50.7%	296
Flathead	1	4.0%	4.5%	1.3%	26.2%	64.0%	191
Grasslands	1	0.0%	12.3%	3.9%	39.3%	44.5%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.2%	1.6%	5.6%	28.1%	64.4%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.0%	0.8%	5.5%	25.1%	67.6%	367
Kootenai	1	4.3%	4.2%	0.6%	24.8%	66.1%	200
Lolo	1	3.2%	3.9%	1.7%	30.1%	61.1%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.1%	0.4%	5.7%	26.6%	66.2%	359

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
b. Providing recreation opportunities

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.4%	1.4%	16.8%	34.7%	44.7%	348
Bitterroot	1	0.9%	2.9%	20.7%	36.6%	38.9%	159
CusterGallatin	1	1.4%	0.7%	25.5%	25.3%	47.1%	299
Flathead	1	0.7%	6.1%	16.8%	35.2%	41.1%	190
Grasslands	1	5.7%	5.7%	25.7%	34.8%	28.0%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	2.3%	3.8%	15.0%	34.7%	44.2%	375
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.3%	2.8%	30.8%	36.0%	30.2%	366
Kootenai	1	0.4%	2.7%	17.7%	32.0%	47.1%	200
Lolo	1	0.6%	5.0%	20.2%	35.9%	38.2%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.5%	3.8%	28.5%	36.0%	31.1%	357

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
c. Protecting air quality

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.4%	4.0%	9.4%	31.9%	52.3%	348
Bitterroot	1	0.5%	1.5%	2.6%	36.3%	58.9%	158
CusterGallatin	1	2.0%	8.0%	14.7%	30.8%	44.6%	296
Flathead	1	3.1%	4.0%	7.4%	27.5%	58.0%	190
Grasslands	1	4.2%	12.5%	10.9%	34.2%	38.3%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.8%	3.2%	7.4%	29.7%	57.8%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.2%	1.0%	11.0%	29.4%	57.4%	362
Kootenai	1	3.1%	3.6%	11.1%	28.3%	54.0%	198
Lolo	1	2.5%	4.2%	6.6%	31.1%	55.7%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.4%	0.9%	5.2%	31.0%	61.4%	353

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
d. Providing income for the tourist industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	11.3%	16.0%	33.3%	28.7%	10.8%	346
Bitterroot	1	2.0%	13.9%	37.7%	29.6%	16.8%	159
CusterGallatin	1	11.2%	16.2%	43.1%	18.4%	11.1%	300
Flathead	1	9.8%	17.1%	34.1%	26.7%	12.4%	188
Grasslands	1	11.2%	4.6%	50.2%	28.6%	5.4%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	9.1%	17.7%	35.0%	28.7%	9.6%	373
IdahoPanhandle	1	7.2%	23.5%	42.1%	20.6%	6.6%	364
Kootenai	1	12.5%	12.6%	46.5%	17.2%	11.2%	198
Lolo	1	8.5%	15.2%	37.1%	24.5%	14.7%	247
NezPerceClearwater	1	3.4%	20.9%	40.3%	25.4%	10.0%	356

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 e. Providing income for the natural resource extraction industries (oil /gas /coal /minerals)

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	21.0%	17.1%	28.8%	20.4%	12.7%	348
Bitterroot	1	23.1%	19.2%	28.9%	17.1%	11.7%	160
CusterGallatin	1	19.7%	14.9%	25.3%	21.5%	18.6%	300
Flathead	1	22.0%	16.5%	22.8%	24.4%	14.3%	191
Grasslands	1	20.1%	21.4%	38.4%	7.9%	12.3%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	21.4%	17.0%	27.5%	23.7%	10.4%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	26.3%	17.5%	26.5%	19.6%	10.1%	364
Kootenai	1	28.7%	13.1%	24.1%	17.7%	16.4%	199
Lolo	1	21.1%	15.7%	25.1%	25.0%	13.1%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	26.9%	18.7%	28.3%	16.5%	9.6%	357

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 f. Providing income for the timber industry

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	9.1%	15.6%	29.4%	28.3%	17.7%	347
Bitterroot	1	9.5%	21.2%	28.2%	24.8%	16.2%	159
CusterGallatin	1	9.8%	11.0%	29.9%	26.1%	23.2%	298
Flathead	1	11.3%	15.5%	25.1%	27.3%	20.7%	191
Grasslands	1	13.7%	29.5%	45.4%	10.7%	0.6%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	7.7%	14.2%	30.9%	31.0%	16.1%	375
IdahoPanhandle	1	8.8%	19.5%	29.2%	19.7%	22.7%	362
Kootenai	1	13.9%	13.8%	22.0%	21.6%	28.8%	198
Lolo	1	11.7%	16.4%	22.1%	26.1%	23.7%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	8.2%	24.5%	30.7%	19.0%	17.6%	356

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
g. Protecting rare and endangered plant and animal species

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.8%	9.9%	18.2%	28.4%	41.8%	347
Bitterroot	1	1.6%	4.1%	16.9%	29.7%	47.8%	159
CusterGallatin	1	7.2%	12.1%	16.3%	30.3%	34.1%	299
Flathead	1	9.7%	3.3%	17.5%	25.1%	44.3%	191
Grasslands	1	6.0%	11.3%	13.5%	19.5%	49.7%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	4.2%	7.9%	13.4%	29.8%	44.6%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	4.2%	7.9%	22.8%	23.4%	41.7%	366
Kootenai	1	9.3%	5.9%	27.4%	20.1%	37.3%	200
Lolo	1	7.9%	3.0%	21.4%	27.9%	39.8%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	3.0%	4.4%	19.2%	29.1%	44.3%	358

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
h. Protecting wildlife habitat

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.7%	2.7%	13.8%	28.7%	53.1%	346
Bitterroot	1	0.6%	0.4%	9.2%	27.5%	62.3%	159
CusterGallatin	1	1.8%	9.3%	13.7%	29.2%	46.1%	296
Flathead	1	1.0%	4.2%	12.5%	29.2%	53.1%	190
Grasslands	1	5.8%	4.8%	6.4%	36.2%	46.9%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	2.5%	3.5%	10.4%	30.9%	52.6%	372
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.2%	1.3%	14.3%	29.3%	53.9%	362
Kootenai	1	0.4%	2.6%	20.4%	24.9%	51.6%	198
Lolo	1	0.2%	3.7%	12.0%	29.8%	54.4%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.3%	1.4%	10.0%	29.2%	58.1%	353

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 i. Knowing that in the future I will have the option to visit a primitive or wilderness area of my choice

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	7.6%	5.5%	15.9%	24.0%	46.9%	350
Bitterroot	1	4.1%	0.7%	14.3%	26.5%	54.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	7.1%	5.8%	15.8%	23.9%	47.4%	300
Flathead	1	5.2%	7.2%	13.6%	27.1%	46.9%	191
Grasslands	1	16.6%	4.7%	9.7%	35.7%	33.3%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	7.6%	4.5%	13.1%	28.2%	46.7%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	3.8%	8.4%	18.2%	23.7%	45.8%	366
Kootenai	1	5.2%	9.9%	15.3%	22.4%	47.3%	200
Lolo	1	5.9%	5.8%	13.5%	27.7%	47.2%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	5.5%	5.0%	15.4%	26.2%	47.9%	358

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?

j. Providing spiritual inspiration

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	19.9%	20.2%	24.5%	16.7%	18.7%	347
Bitterroot	1	13.6%	13.7%	27.6%	18.6%	26.5%	158
CusterGallatin	1	28.9%	19.3%	25.2%	18.4%	8.1%	299
Flathead	1	28.5%	10.0%	18.1%	23.7%	19.6%	189
Grasslands	1	29.6%	17.2%	27.8%	14.2%	11.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	18.7%	18.3%	24.1%	18.8%	20.0%	374
IdahoPanhandle	1	22.9%	20.3%	19.9%	19.3%	17.7%	362
Kootenai	1	33.0%	12.9%	19.3%	16.9%	17.9%	197
Lolo	1	26.4%	13.0%	20.2%	21.2%	19.3%	247
NezPerceClearwater	1	18.0%	14.6%	18.3%	24.4%	24.6%	355

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
k. Knowing that future generations will have primitive or wilderness areas

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	7.3%	4.6%	15.9%	23.9%	48.3%	347
Bitterroot	1	3.2%	1.6%	9.2%	20.3%	65.7%	159
CusterGallatin	1	7.9%	5.0%	16.6%	27.7%	42.7%	297
Flathead	1	4.5%	6.7%	12.3%	13.5%	63.1%	190
Grasslands	1	5.7%	9.1%	10.8%	26.0%	48.3%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	6.3%	4.2%	12.8%	25.0%	51.7%	375
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.4%	9.4%	8.4%	23.6%	57.1%	366
Kootenai	1	2.5%	9.1%	15.8%	19.3%	53.2%	199
Lolo	1	5.2%	6.0%	11.0%	17.6%	60.2%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.8%	8.1%	4.3%	26.2%	58.6%	358

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 I. Just knowing that primitive or wilderness areas exist

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	8.1%	3.8%	23.6%	25.5%	39.1%	349
Bitterroot	1	1.3%	1.5%	15.4%	30.2%	51.7%	159
CusterGallatin	1	13.0%	3.6%	18.7%	29.6%	35.0%	299
Flathead	1	10.2%	1.3%	16.6%	23.8%	48.2%	191
Grasslands	1	6.0%	7.6%	12.9%	37.4%	36.0%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	8.3%	3.4%	18.6%	29.3%	40.3%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.3%	6.1%	19.0%	23.6%	49.0%	364
Kootenai	1	8.7%	3.5%	22.2%	22.3%	43.3%	200
Lolo	1	8.8%	2.7%	17.7%	26.9%	44.0%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.5%	7.4%	15.4%	27.7%	48.0%	355

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
 m. Providing scenic beauty

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.5%	2.9%	16.5%	42.4%	36.7%	345
Bitterroot	1	0.1%	0.7%	17.8%	37.4%	43.9%	159
CusterGallatin	1	3.8%	4.2%	15.6%	43.7%	32.7%	296
Flathead	1	1.9%	4.4%	18.5%	32.6%	42.5%	189
Grasslands	1	0.6%	0.3%	16.5%	35.4%	47.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.6%	6.1%	12.5%	39.4%	40.4%	374
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.4%	9.2%	17.9%	30.4%	41.1%	363
Kootenai	1	2.2%	8.3%	23.6%	22.7%	43.2%	198
Lolo	1	1.7%	5.4%	17.7%	33.9%	41.3%	247
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.6%	6.0%	14.9%	34.5%	43.1%	354

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
n. Preserving natural areas for scientific study

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	10.1%	11.6%	24.3%	23.6%	30.4%	348
Bitterroot	1	1.6%	6.4%	22.7%	30.3%	39.1%	158
CusterGallatin	1	11.7%	18.7%	21.8%	24.4%	23.4%	299
Flathead	1	5.7%	14.8%	14.1%	26.5%	38.9%	190
Grasslands	1	10.0%	17.8%	23.4%	25.0%	23.8%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	9.4%	11.9%	21.3%	25.8%	31.6%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	5.1%	12.4%	17.9%	27.8%	36.8%	365
Kootenai	1	5.2%	16.2%	18.9%	17.2%	42.5%	197
Lolo	1	4.3%	16.9%	17.5%	24.4%	36.9%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	4.3%	10.3%	15.4%	29.6%	40.5%	357

Q2. How important do you believe each of the following is as a purpose of your local, federal public lands?
o. Other (Please specify below)

		Not at all important	Slightly important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.0%	0.6%	1.1%	3.8%	94.5%	41
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	2.0%	3.7%	9.4%	85.0%	23
CusterGallatin	1	0.6%	0.0%	10.9%	14.0%	74.5%	31
Flathead	1	0.0%	1.7%	1.6%	4.8%	91.8%	16
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	<5
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	20.4%	78.5%	40
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	3.0%	96.2%	29
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	98.8%	15
Lolo	1	0.0%	0.9%	1.7%	6.1%	91.3%	33
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.0%	0.8%	2.3%	4.6%	92.3%	35

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. a. Closing underused campgrounds

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	24.4%	29.5%	31.0%	13.8%	1.4%	348
Bitterroot	1	17.5%	25.8%	45.2%	8.9%	2.6%	160
CusterGallatin	1	29.3%	19.4%	30.1%	19.4%	1.8%	302
Flathead	1	19.1%	25.8%	46.0%	4.3%	4.8%	189
Grasslands	1	11.0%	22.4%	22.4%	38.2%	6.0%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	20.9%	32.9%	30.6%	14.0%	1.6%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	16.2%	27.7%	31.6%	21.1%	3.4%	367
Kootenai	1	25.1%	27.3%	38.8%	6.3%	2.5%	199
Lolo	1	20.6%	24.9%	44.8%	6.1%	3.5%	246
NezPerceClearwater	1	12.7%	31.8%	34.4%	18.7%	2.4%	358

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. b. Requiring permits to recreate in very popular areas

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	26.2%	21.1%	14.8%	29.9%	8.0%	349
Bitterroot	1	14.8%	24.8%	13.1%	32.9%	14.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	26.7%	19.6%	21.3%	23.5%	8.9%	305
Flathead	1	22.6%	21.6%	18.4%	26.9%	10.5%	191
Grasslands	1	5.7%	10.4%	47.0%	32.7%	4.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	23.1%	21.1%	18.8%	29.3%	7.7%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	20.0%	20.5%	15.8%	33.8%	9.9%	367
Kootenai	1	29.2%	17.4%	19.1%	21.2%	13.0%	200
Lolo	1	23.4%	24.4%	16.6%	23.5%	12.1%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	16.9%	23.0%	12.6%	38.0%	9.4%	357

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. c. Introducing parking fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	30.1%	28.8%	16.1%	22.1%	2.9%	341
Bitterroot	1	19.1%	39.2%	14.7%	21.4%	5.6%	156
CusterGallatin	1	39.8%	28.2%	17.3%	12.4%	2.3%	304
Flathead	1	22.6%	32.7%	19.9%	16.5%	8.2%	186
Grasslands	1	5.4%	30.9%	33.4%	29.2%	1.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	24.5%	30.4%	22.3%	19.3%	3.5%	372
IdahoPanhandle	1	31.3%	31.3%	18.0%	17.9%	1.4%	364
Kootenai	1	30.5%	27.1%	22.1%	14.1%	6.2%	198
Lolo	1	26.0%	30.9%	19.2%	16.9%	7.0%	245
NezPerceClearwater	1	26.8%	37.3%	14.5%	17.8%	3.6%	354

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. d. Introducing camping fees

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	23.2%	17.8%	21.5%	36.8%	0.7%	344
Bitterroot	1	17.5%	19.8%	17.1%	42.1%	3.5%	159
CusterGallatin	1	30.1%	19.9%	21.8%	25.7%	2.5%	298
Flathead	1	24.8%	19.5%	16.8%	33.0%	5.8%	189
Grasslands	1	1.5%	6.4%	37.7%	52.9%	1.5%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	21.0%	20.3%	22.6%	34.1%	2.0%	373
IdahoPanhandle	1	18.3%	25.6%	17.7%	34.3%	4.0%	366
Kootenai	1	30.0%	21.6%	20.0%	22.1%	6.4%	197
Lolo	1	24.9%	18.2%	17.3%	34.7%	5.0%	247
NezPerceClearwater	1	17.4%	24.1%	16.3%	37.9%	4.3%	361

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. e. Allowing more outfitters and guides

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	25.4%	24.3%	25.7%	18.8%	5.9%	346
Bitterroot	1	20.0%	30.8%	29.9%	18.3%	0.9%	159
CusterGallatin	1	22.8%	17.8%	29.0%	23.0%	7.4%	305
Flathead	1	20.8%	25.7%	28.0%	21.6%	3.9%	192
Grasslands	1	0.9%	21.3%	32.8%	44.3%	0.7%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	27.4%	22.4%	26.4%	18.4%	5.4%	376
IdahoPanhandle	1	9.1%	17.3%	38.8%	28.0%	6.8%	368
Kootenai	1	16.0%	22.8%	30.5%	22.9%	7.8%	202
Lolo	1	22.0%	24.6%	27.5%	20.5%	5.4%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	11.4%	23.8%	35.8%	23.6%	5.5%	355

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. f. Maintaining underused trails less frequently

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.1%	18.2%	29.2%	41.9%	5.6%	349
Bitterroot	1	1.5%	16.5%	39.2%	39.6%	3.2%	160
CusterGallatin	1	5.8%	17.3%	30.4%	39.7%	6.8%	306
Flathead	1	1.4%	11.8%	40.2%	40.7%	5.9%	191
Grasslands	1	4.8%	14.3%	30.7%	49.2%	1.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	5.6%	16.1%	34.1%	40.8%	3.5%	379
IdahoPanhandle	1	4.3%	18.4%	34.4%	40.3%	2.6%	368
Kootenai	1	5.7%	14.0%	34.4%	37.5%	8.3%	199
Lolo	1	3.4%	14.5%	37.2%	39.6%	5.3%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	3.4%	18.9%	32.9%	41.0%	3.9%	359

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. g. Increasing thinning of forests near communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	9.6%	11.5%	18.4%	30.5%	29.9%	347
Bitterroot	1	5.3%	11.3%	19.6%	35.9%	28.0%	160
CusterGallatin	1	10.7%	11.1%	21.2%	29.5%	27.5%	304
Flathead	1	6.3%	11.1%	15.0%	21.7%	45.9%	192
Grasslands	1	26.8%	31.5%	23.2%	15.5%	2.9%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	12.1%	14.3%	14.8%	28.2%	30.7%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	11.4%	17.6%	17.5%	34.0%	19.5%	369
Kootenai	1	10.0%	12.2%	17.9%	19.5%	40.4%	201
Lolo	1	6.1%	10.7%	13.4%	26.9%	42.9%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	9.2%	19.1%	15.0%	34.7%	22.0%	360

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. h. Harvesting dead trees following natural wildfire, beetle kill, or other natural disturbance

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.1%	0.7%	10.3%	31.9%	52.0%	351
Bitterroot	1	2.8%	0.0%	8.3%	50.5%	38.4%	161
CusterGallatin	1	2.5%	3.2%	11.2%	26.9%	56.2%	306
Flathead	1	2.0%	0.0%	8.3%	32.5%	57.2%	192
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	63.3%	22.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	3.6%	0.7%	8.7%	33.8%	53.1%	382
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.2%	6.7%	8.4%	40.1%	44.6%	370
Kootenai	1	2.3%	2.2%	12.1%	20.9%	62.4%	200
Lolo	1	1.9%	0.1%	7.2%	36.2%	54.6%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.2%	4.1%	10.4%	44.3%	41.0%	363

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. i. Using prescribed fires for fire prevention near communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.9%	6.5%	15.1%	42.5%	30.0%	349
Bitterroot	1	4.4%	5.8%	14.7%	42.3%	32.9%	160
CusterGallatin	1	6.4%	4.5%	20.6%	40.2%	28.3%	306
Flathead	1	7.1%	1.2%	15.3%	39.1%	37.4%	191
Grasslands	1	0.3%	15.3%	32.6%	34.1%	17.7%	47
HelenaLewisandClark	1	4.1%	4.1%	16.7%	47.5%	27.6%	379
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.8%	4.0%	16.6%	49.8%	26.8%	371
Kootenai	1	4.5%	3.3%	17.7%	33.4%	41.1%	202
Lolo	1	5.7%	5.8%	12.1%	37.5%	38.8%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.2%	5.0%	18.5%	45.0%	29.3%	361

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. j. Using prescribed fires for maintaining forest health in areas farther away from communities

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	6.5%	4.7%	15.1%	47.6%	26.0%	350
Bitterroot	1	3.4%	9.0%	12.5%	46.7%	28.4%	160
CusterGallatin	1	5.7%	5.5%	20.8%	42.2%	25.8%	306
Flathead	1	5.6%	4.8%	14.9%	45.0%	29.8%	192
Grasslands	1	0.3%	6.0%	27.5%	46.0%	20.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	5.1%	4.9%	12.9%	50.2%	26.9%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.8%	5.6%	12.6%	52.0%	28.0%	370
Kootenai	1	2.8%	3.5%	15.7%	38.1%	40.0%	202
Lolo	1	4.2%	6.2%	13.4%	44.0%	32.2%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.0%	7.0%	12.2%	53.6%	25.3%	360

Q3. Please rate your level of support or opposition for the following management options for managing local federal public lands. k. Allowing natural wildfires to burn if they dont threaten peoples lives or property

		Strongly oppose	Oppose	Neither oppose or support	Support	Strongly support	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	11.9%	15.9%	13.7%	34.8%	23.7%	351
Bitterroot	1	8.9%	18.0%	13.2%	29.0%	30.9%	161
CusterGallatin	1	11.5%	19.2%	18.6%	33.2%	17.5%	306
Flathead	1	12.7%	11.6%	20.0%	29.3%	26.4%	193
Grasslands	1	14.0%	18.3%	11.2%	49.9%	6.6%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	11.3%	15.6%	15.2%	36.8%	21.0%	382
IdahoPanhandle	1	12.8%	16.9%	18.2%	33.8%	18.4%	371
Kootenai	1	13.3%	13.8%	20.9%	20.1%	32.0%	201
Lolo	1	16.0%	12.3%	19.4%	25.5%	26.8%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	11.1%	19.1%	14.3%	34.3%	21.3%	362

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? a. Wildlife encounter (e.g. bear, lion, snake, etc.)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	55.8%	36.7%	7.5%	350
Bitterroot	1	55.0%	32.6%	12.4%	161
CusterGallatin	1	60.1%	32.0%	7.9%	306
Flathead	1	46.3%	41.7%	12.0%	193
Grasslands	1	39.2%	49.1%	11.7%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	52.8%	37.8%	9.4%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	54.4%	39.2%	6.3%	372
Kootenai	1	59.0%	33.7%	7.3%	202
Lolo	1	50.5%	39.2%	10.3%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	47.9%	42.6%	9.5%	363

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? b. Bad weather

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	70.9%	23.7%	5.4%	348
Bitterroot	1	70.9%	24.4%	4.7%	158
CusterGallatin	1	68.2%	26.2%	5.6%	304
Flathead	1	65.2%	30.4%	4.4%	191
Grasslands	1	62.7%	31.3%	6.0%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	65.5%	27.8%	6.7%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	69.1%	25.3%	5.6%	370
Kootenai	1	75.3%	19.7%	5.1%	201
Lolo	1	69.8%	24.9%	5.3%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	64.8%	30.5%	4.7%	361

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? c. May get lost

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	63.0%	30.0%	7.0%	351
Bitterroot	1	59.4%	28.7%	12.0%	161
CusterGallatin	1	75.2%	19.0%	5.8%	306
Flathead	1	60.5%	30.7%	8.8%	193
Grasslands	1	37.5%	55.3%	7.2%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	58.2%	33.3%	8.6%	379
IdahoPanhandle	1	60.2%	30.4%	9.4%	370
Kootenai	1	69.2%	25.2%	5.6%	200
Lolo	1	64.5%	26.7%	8.8%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	52.2%	35.5%	12.3%	363

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? d. Poor road conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	42.0%	45.4%	12.6%	351
Bitterroot	1	40.4%	44.4%	15.1%	162
CusterGallatin	1	51.0%	36.5%	12.5%	306
Flathead	1	39.0%	46.3%	14.7%	192
Grasslands	1	32.9%	53.9%	13.3%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	39.8%	48.8%	11.4%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	39.9%	46.4%	13.8%	370
Kootenai	1	42.5%	40.0%	17.4%	201
Lolo	1	42.9%	41.3%	15.9%	252
NezPerceClearwater	1	38.1%	49.2%	12.7%	363

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? e. Poor trail conditions

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	48.6%	40.4%	11.0%	349
Bitterroot	1	44.4%	41.8%	13.8%	161
CusterGallatin	1	58.3%	33.1%	8.6%	304
Flathead	1	40.9%	48.2%	10.9%	193
Grasslands	1	46.8%	30.3%	22.9%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	48.2%	40.4%	11.4%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	46.5%	42.9%	10.6%	369
Kootenai	1	41.9%	46.9%	11.2%	202
Lolo	1	43.6%	46.2%	10.2%	252
NezPerceClearwater	1	46.8%	40.0%	13.2%	361

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? f. Run out of gas or supplies in remote area

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	56.3%	32.0%	11.7%	350
Bitterroot	1	49.2%	37.6%	13.2%	161
CusterGallatin	1	69.3%	22.6%	8.2%	305
Flathead	1	59.1%	27.6%	13.4%	193
Grasslands	1	47.4%	28.0%	24.6%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	52.8%	32.2%	15.0%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	57.0%	32.6%	10.5%	370
Kootenai	1	67.8%	20.5%	11.7%	202
Lolo	1	59.7%	28.2%	12.0%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	46.5%	41.7%	11.8%	362

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? g. Lack of cell phone coverage

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	54.0%	29.5%	16.5%	349
Bitterroot	1	55.8%	24.0%	20.1%	159
CusterGallatin	1	64.0%	26.5%	9.6%	305
Flathead	1	53.8%	34.2%	12.0%	192
Grasslands	1	33.0%	49.3%	17.7%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	53.2%	32.1%	14.7%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	54.8%	31.1%	14.1%	372
Kootenai	1	63.0%	31.4%	5.7%	201
Lolo	1	57.5%	28.9%	13.6%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	50.5%	28.4%	21.1%	361

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? h. Needing emergency services

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	40.5%	41.3%	18.2%	351
Bitterroot	1	42.5%	44.2%	13.2%	161
CusterGallatin	1	39.0%	47.1%	13.8%	306
Flathead	1	43.1%	41.5%	15.5%	193
Grasslands	1	29.0%	42.6%	28.4%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	35.9%	43.0%	21.1%	380
IdahoPanhandle	1	32.8%	54.8%	12.4%	369
Kootenai	1	40.2%	49.2%	10.6%	202
Lolo	1	44.2%	41.5%	14.3%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	32.9%	52.8%	14.2%	361

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? i. Forest fire

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	46.1%	38.3%	15.7%	352
Bitterroot	1	44.3%	35.5%	20.2%	162
CusterGallatin	1	53.7%	29.7%	16.6%	306
Flathead	1	41.5%	34.9%	23.6%	193
Grasslands	1	59.6%	25.0%	15.5%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	41.5%	39.3%	19.2%	380
IdahoPanhandle	1	44.2%	40.1%	15.7%	372
Kootenai	1	45.2%	32.8%	22.0%	202
Lolo	1	42.2%	34.2%	23.6%	252
NezPerceClearwater	1	43.6%	38.8%	17.6%	364

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? j. Poor air quality

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	45.1%	37.3%	17.6%	350
Bitterroot	1	38.4%	43.0%	18.6%	162
CusterGallatin	1	62.7%	23.9%	13.4%	304
Flathead	1	47.4%	32.5%	20.1%	191
Grasslands	1	51.4%	23.9%	24.7%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	46.6%	33.4%	19.9%	377
IdahoPanhandle	1	47.9%	34.5%	17.6%	364
Kootenai	1	54.7%	22.7%	22.6%	198
Lolo	1	46.9%	30.9%	22.1%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	43.1%	39.0%	17.8%	360

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? k. Shooting (not at a range)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	55.8%	29.4%	14.8%	351
Bitterroot	1	49.3%	31.9%	18.8%	162
CusterGallatin	1	66.5%	21.7%	11.8%	306
Flathead	1	43.7%	38.2%	18.1%	192
Grasslands	1	48.0%	36.4%	15.6%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	51.7%	31.2%	17.1%	379
IdahoPanhandle	1	55.2%	30.8%	14.0%	371
Kootenai	1	57.5%	32.6%	9.9%	200
Lolo	1	49.4%	36.4%	14.2%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	54.2%	29.9%	15.9%	364

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? I. Crime

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	50.5%	32.9%	16.6%	349
Bitterroot	1	47.8%	31.2%	20.9%	161
CusterGallatin	1	52.9%	27.4%	19.7%	303
Flathead	1	48.7%	33.6%	17.6%	192
Grasslands	1	48.4%	27.3%	24.3%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	47.5%	35.0%	17.6%	378
IdahoPanhandle	1	46.3%	33.1%	20.6%	370
Kootenai	1	53.9%	26.9%	19.2%	200
Lolo	1	47.8%	33.7%	18.5%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	50.7%	29.0%	20.2%	362

Q4. Do you have any safety concerns about visiting local federal public lands? m. Other? (please specify below)

		Not at all concerned	Moderately concerned	Very concerned	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.0%	19.3%	80.7%	24
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	6.7%	93.3%	12
CusterGallatin	1	0.0%	1.8%	98.2%	19
Flathead	1	0.0%	3.4%	96.6%	9
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.0%	16.8%	83.2%	24
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.0%	4.9%	95.1%	19
Kootenai	1	0.0%	9.0%	91.0%	8
Lolo	1	0.0%	6.3%	93.7%	16
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.0%	7.2%	92.8%	18

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. a. People in my local community have been involved in decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	9.4%	13.0%	20.8%	36.0%	9.7%	11.1%	353
Bitterroot	1	6.3%	16.6%	22.1%	35.4%	8.2%	11.2%	162
CusterGallatin	1	11.2%	17.7%	30.9%	24.4%	8.0%	7.8%	307
Flathead	1	8.1%	21.2%	21.0%	26.7%	10.5%	12.5%	192
Grasslands	1	6.7%	4.2%	44.2%	22.3%	3.3%	19.4%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	6.2%	14.3%	24.2%	34.0%	11.1%	10.2%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	4.4%	21.1%	30.3%	23.0%	5.2%	16.0%	367
Kootenai	1	11.2%	22.3%	27.7%	19.1%	8.6%	11.0%	200
Lolo	1	9.8%	20.4%	22.0%	26.1%	9.7%	11.9%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	4.7%	20.3%	23.7%	28.5%	7.2%	15.7%	360

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. b. Land management decisions balance the concerns of most people in my community

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	9.5%	16.8%	30.4%	27.9%	7.2%	8.2%	352
Bitterroot	1	5.3%	22.5%	28.9%	30.5%	9.3%	3.6%	161
CusterGallatin	1	9.8%	20.7%	32.4%	21.7%	4.6%	10.8%	306
Flathead	1	5.2%	30.7%	27.4%	22.9%	8.8%	5.0%	192
Grasslands	1	5.8%	9.1%	30.8%	29.6%	0.7%	24.0%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	6.7%	21.5%	29.0%	25.4%	6.7%	10.6%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	7.1%	25.0%	25.0%	22.2%	4.7%	16.1%	367
Kootenai	1	8.0%	27.0%	30.7%	18.9%	7.1%	8.3%	199
Lolo	1	9.3%	25.6%	27.7%	21.8%	9.1%	6.6%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	8.2%	25.7%	20.9%	24.7%	6.1%	14.4%	360

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. c. Land managers in my area do a good job communicating with the public

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	11.5%	20.0%	23.8%	31.8%	2.3%	10.6%	353
Bitterroot	1	7.0%	24.3%	26.7%	27.4%	6.1%	8.6%	162
CusterGallatin	1	11.4%	24.2%	29.9%	21.8%	4.8%	7.9%	307
Flathead	1	15.1%	24.8%	27.3%	24.0%	2.7%	6.1%	191
Grasslands	1	6.6%	16.0%	36.7%	19.2%	0.0%	21.5%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	10.6%	21.6%	25.1%	28.3%	2.3%	12.2%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	9.0%	29.9%	21.5%	20.6%	4.7%	14.3%	367
Kootenai	1	15.7%	23.0%	31.1%	22.8%	2.8%	4.6%	198
Lolo	1	16.0%	23.0%	27.9%	21.3%	4.3%	7.7%	250
NezPerceClearwater	1	11.1%	25.1%	22.0%	24.0%	4.3%	13.5%	361

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. d. I trust local land managers to make proper decisions about land management

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	15.5%	16.6%	29.6%	25.8%	4.0%	8.6%	353
Bitterroot	1	9.1%	17.6%	34.9%	26.6%	6.2%	5.6%	162
CusterGallatin	1	14.9%	22.1%	31.5%	20.9%	4.8%	5.8%	307
Flathead	1	18.4%	14.5%	35.8%	22.6%	4.6%	4.1%	192
Grasslands	1	7.5%	13.8%	33.7%	28.8%	0.4%	15.7%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	12.3%	18.3%	32.9%	24.9%	3.8%	7.8%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	9.2%	22.8%	31.4%	23.6%	7.0%	6.0%	367
Kootenai	1	16.4%	23.1%	31.4%	18.6%	8.5%	2.0%	199
Lolo	1	18.7%	15.5%	32.9%	19.8%	8.4%	4.7%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	9.5%	20.4%	29.2%	25.1%	8.6%	7.3%	361

Q5. These questions ask for your opinion about management of local federal public lands. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree. e. Land management decisions balance the concerns of both local communities and national interests

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	14.0%	19.0%	29.0%	22.5%	5.3%	10.3%	353
Bitterroot	1	8.5%	19.7%	35.4%	22.4%	10.2%	3.8%	162
CusterGallatin	1	14.3%	23.6%	29.2%	20.6%	3.8%	8.6%	306
Flathead	1	20.8%	19.1%	23.7%	21.5%	6.1%	8.9%	192
Grasslands	1	6.1%	9.6%	26.3%	36.8%	5.1%	16.1%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	12.2%	20.1%	28.3%	22.8%	5.9%	10.7%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	11.2%	21.2%	26.7%	22.6%	5.4%	12.9%	367
Kootenai	1	18.1%	21.6%	28.2%	16.9%	2.3%	12.9%	199
Lolo	1	20.6%	17.8%	26.6%	18.5%	7.8%	8.7%	251
NezPerceClearwater	1	10.8%	18.1%	26.9%	23.9%	7.9%	12.4%	361

Q6. Have you ever visited your local federal public lands?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	95.5%	4.5%	352
Bitterroot	1	99.7%	0.3%	162
CusterGallatin	1	94.5%	5.5%	307
Flathead	1	95.8%	4.2%	193
Grasslands	1	86.0%	14.0%	49
HelenaLewisandClark	1	94.7%	5.3%	381
IdahoPanhandle	1	87.7%	12.3%	373
Kootenai	1	91.5%	8.5%	202
Lolo	1	94.7%	5.3%	252
NezPerceClearwater	1	88.7%	11.3%	365

Q7. Please tell us how many times you have visited your local federal public lands in the past 12 months? Do not count visits that include commuting through public lands and working in public lands.

		0 times	1 time	2 to 4 times	5 to 10 times	11 to 30 times	More than 30 times	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	3.6%	2.4%	24.4%	23.6%	20.3%	25.7%	341
Bitterroot	1	3.3%	3.6%	31.0%	16.2%	23.3%	22.6%	158
CusterGallatin	1	6.2%	3.7%	16.8%	23.5%	21.9%	27.9%	294
Flathead	1	3.6%	5.3%	33.1%	17.8%	18.5%	21.7%	186
Grasslands	1	8.0%	28.6%	42.6%	14.5%	0.0%	6.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	4.7%	3.8%	28.6%	23.7%	20.3%	19.0%	362
IdahoPanhandle	1	5.5%	4.5%	23.0%	29.1%	23.5%	14.4%	342
Kootenai	1	3.8%	4.3%	18.8%	28.0%	23.1%	22.1%	192
Lolo	1	3.2%	4.1%	29.4%	17.9%	20.2%	25.2%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	4.1%	5.6%	26.8%	27.3%	19.1%	17.1%	339

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Hiking/walking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	16.4%	49.1%	24.5%	6.5%	3.5%	337
Bitterroot	1	21.6%	38.6%	30.2%	6.7%	2.9%	157
CusterGallatin	1	15.1%	45.4%	28.8%	4.7%	5.9%	291
Flathead	1	22.0%	31.1%	35.6%	8.5%	2.9%	183
Grasslands	1	6.7%	12.3%	61.1%	15.6%	4.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	14.6%	45.9%	27.7%	7.7%	4.1%	358
IdahoPanhandle	1	12.2%	40.8%	34.3%	9.7%	3.0%	344
Kootenai	1	24.6%	36.4%	31.6%	4.5%	2.9%	192
Lolo	1	20.4%	34.9%	35.5%	6.9%	2.4%	240
NezPerceClearwater	1	13.8%	41.9%	32.4%	8.5%	3.4%	338

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Horseback riding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.0%	0.6%	17.4%	25.7%	55.3%	335
Bitterroot	1	1.1%	0.3%	18.7%	18.8%	61.1%	158
CusterGallatin	1	3.3%	3.4%	22.2%	25.4%	45.7%	287
Flathead	1	1.5%	4.2%	9.3%	15.8%	69.2%	184
Grasslands	1	0.0%	6.3%	11.6%	2.8%	79.2%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.8%	2.6%	18.4%	24.1%	54.1%	355
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.6%	1.0%	11.8%	18.6%	68.0%	343
Kootenai	1	1.4%	5.7%	9.7%	11.3%	71.9%	193
Lolo	1	0.7%	4.9%	11.1%	15.3%	67.9%	241
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.6%	0.4%	8.0%	21.2%	69.7%	337

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Downhill skiing or snowboarding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	6.2%	9.7%	25.2%	16.4%	42.5%	337
Bitterroot	1	4.0%	8.6%	12.5%	16.6%	58.3%	159
CusterGallatin	1	6.7%	6.5%	25.3%	18.2%	43.3%	289
Flathead	1	5.7%	8.7%	8.1%	12.4%	65.2%	182
Grasslands	1	0.0%	6.3%	7.1%	13.8%	72.7%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	5.5%	7.7%	24.4%	16.1%	46.3%	358
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.9%	4.6%	9.7%	20.5%	63.3%	340
Kootenai	1	9.6%	3.2%	11.1%	12.2%	63.9%	189
Lolo	1	4.3%	7.7%	9.0%	17.1%	61.8%	239
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.2%	8.5%	10.7%	19.1%	59.6%	336

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	3.4%	9.0%	22.2%	20.7%	44.8%	340
Bitterroot	1	4.4%	6.7%	22.0%	19.5%	47.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	3.8%	4.2%	19.6%	19.1%	53.3%	291
Flathead	1	3.0%	12.8%	25.1%	21.2%	37.8%	187
Grasslands	1	6.3%	0.4%	6.7%	7.4%	79.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.9%	8.9%	23.7%	18.4%	47.2%	362
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.5%	5.3%	15.0%	16.8%	62.4%	347
Kootenai	1	3.4%	14.7%	24.9%	18.0%	38.9%	193
Lolo	1	1.6%	12.6%	23.5%	19.6%	42.7%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.1%	6.2%	16.2%	19.5%	56.0%	342

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Snowmobiling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	3.9%	8.1%	9.4%	21.0%	57.7%	340
Bitterroot	1	2.7%	1.7%	14.6%	14.6%	66.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	4.7%	10.8%	14.8%	22.3%	47.4%	287
Flathead	1	0.3%	4.3%	10.9%	14.1%	70.3%	184
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	21.9%	76.6%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	3.1%	6.6%	10.2%	20.6%	59.5%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	3.2%	3.3%	9.4%	19.8%	64.3%	344
Kootenai	1	4.4%	5.5%	13.8%	15.7%	60.6%	189
Lolo	1	3.1%	3.5%	12.1%	14.5%	66.7%	241
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.4%	1.2%	8.1%	20.1%	68.2%	342

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - f. Cultural ceremonies

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.2%	0.4%	5.2%	16.8%	77.5%	335
Bitterroot	1	0.4%	0.6%	7.8%	11.2%	80.0%	159
CusterGallatin	1	0.1%	0.3%	6.6%	14.0%	79.0%	282
Flathead	1	2.3%	0.4%	4.8%	20.1%	72.3%	183
Grasslands	1	0.4%	0.0%	7.1%	15.8%	76.7%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.9%	0.3%	6.2%	18.5%	73.1%	350
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.2%	0.1%	9.0%	15.5%	74.3%	342
Kootenai	1	2.2%	0.4%	7.0%	18.4%	72.1%	192
Lolo	1	1.4%	0.5%	4.5%	18.4%	75.1%	241
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.3%	0.2%	7.6%	16.6%	75.3%	337

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - g. Fishing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	13.3%	33.3%	27.8%	12.0%	13.6%	340
Bitterroot	1	15.8%	32.2%	25.5%	17.4%	9.1%	159
CusterGallatin	1	16.0%	26.1%	29.8%	12.3%	15.7%	294
Flathead	1	11.9%	34.2%	27.2%	18.1%	8.7%	186
Grasslands	1	6.3%	14.7%	33.1%	14.6%	31.2%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	9.7%	34.9%	28.0%	13.2%	14.2%	361
IdahoPanhandle	1	11.2%	24.4%	29.9%	17.5%	17.0%	346
Kootenai	1	19.5%	28.7%	28.8%	8.0%	15.0%	193
Lolo	1	17.0%	32.3%	24.9%	17.1%	8.7%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	12.0%	23.5%	29.3%	21.4%	13.8%	343

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - h. Hunting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	11.0%	17.1%	23.7%	9.0%	39.3%	335
Bitterroot	1	13.2%	16.2%	16.1%	8.2%	46.3%	157
CusterGallatin	1	11.0%	15.9%	31.8%	9.8%	31.5%	292
Flathead	1	13.0%	16.6%	22.8%	8.5%	39.1%	182
Grasslands	1	6.3%	13.3%	13.2%	11.8%	55.4%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	11.9%	17.8%	25.0%	10.8%	34.6%	359
IdahoPanhandle	1	14.2%	13.2%	16.2%	10.2%	46.3%	344
Kootenai	1	22.3%	14.6%	31.6%	6.4%	25.1%	188
Lolo	1	17.0%	14.6%	21.7%	8.4%	38.4%	238
NezPerceClearwater	1	9.6%	12.6%	18.3%	8.6%	51.0%	339

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - i. Creative arts (photography /drawing/ painting/

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	3.0%	12.1%	26.9%	15.1%	42.9%	339
Bitterroot	1	3.5%	14.8%	25.1%	17.3%	39.3%	158
CusterGallatin	1	5.0%	8.3%	24.3%	16.8%	45.5%	290
Flathead	1	5.4%	12.6%	22.4%	9.7%	49.8%	187
Grasslands	1	6.3%	12.6%	27.7%	19.7%	33.8%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	3.8%	9.4%	28.6%	16.2%	42.1%	361
IdahoPanhandle	1	3.9%	18.5%	20.3%	14.3%	43.0%	345
Kootenai	1	6.7%	10.8%	18.3%	12.6%	51.7%	194
Lolo	1	3.6%	13.2%	19.9%	14.5%	48.9%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	3.7%	18.9%	21.9%	19.2%	36.4%	341

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - j. Developed camping (at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.2%	27.2%	49.6%	10.3%	10.7%	341
Bitterroot	1	0.8%	31.7%	50.5%	11.2%	5.7%	158
CusterGallatin	1	4.1%	17.9%	51.6%	14.2%	12.3%	292
Flathead	1	0.3%	24.8%	49.3%	14.6%	11.0%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	7.4%	45.9%	13.3%	33.4%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	2.1%	26.8%	47.9%	10.3%	12.8%	363
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.4%	16.5%	45.8%	16.9%	20.3%	345
Kootenai	1	0.7%	23.1%	37.3%	18.2%	20.6%	193
Lolo	1	0.7%	27.5%	44.9%	14.3%	12.7%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.4%	20.5%	49.5%	13.9%	15.7%	341

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - k. Dispersed camping (not at official campground)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	4.4%	33.3%	33.8%	14.1%	14.5%	338
Bitterroot	1	8.0%	22.5%	39.5%	13.3%	16.7%	158
CusterGallatin	1	5.8%	29.8%	34.4%	13.9%	16.1%	291
Flathead	1	3.3%	24.3%	41.8%	14.0%	16.6%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	9.6%	39.9%	50.6%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	2.8%	27.6%	37.0%	15.0%	17.6%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	4.6%	16.0%	39.0%	16.9%	23.5%	345
Kootenai	1	5.9%	26.6%	37.9%	15.8%	13.8%	194
Lolo	1	5.8%	24.4%	41.4%	14.8%	13.6%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	6.2%	14.7%	41.8%	15.3%	22.1%	338

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - I. Viewing wildlife

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	19.0%	36.7%	32.1%	6.1%	6.1%	340
Bitterroot	1	25.4%	32.4%	31.2%	8.2%	2.8%	159
CusterGallatin	1	16.6%	36.0%	34.7%	7.5%	5.2%	289
Flathead	1	24.0%	34.0%	30.3%	7.3%	4.4%	188
Grasslands	1	5.9%	20.4%	40.8%	19.3%	13.7%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	18.4%	33.9%	33.3%	8.1%	6.3%	363
IdahoPanhandle	1	19.8%	36.5%	30.2%	9.5%	3.9%	346
Kootenai	1	30.7%	35.1%	23.2%	3.7%	7.4%	194
Lolo	1	26.7%	35.1%	27.4%	7.2%	3.7%	245
NezPerceClearwater	1	16.5%	35.9%	34.1%	11.3%	2.1%	342

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - m. Relaxing

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	21.2%	41.6%	28.3%	4.2%	4.6%	339
Bitterroot	1	26.0%	36.4%	31.2%	1.1%	5.3%	158
CusterGallatin	1	17.5%	36.6%	35.5%	7.3%	3.1%	290
Flathead	1	27.2%	29.6%	32.1%	4.7%	6.4%	187
Grasslands	1	18.2%	15.9%	49.8%	8.4%	7.7%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	20.8%	41.0%	27.5%	5.8%	5.0%	362
IdahoPanhandle	1	19.6%	42.4%	28.9%	7.4%	1.7%	342
Kootenai	1	28.3%	36.2%	26.7%	3.7%	5.1%	190
Lolo	1	28.8%	30.2%	30.7%	4.9%	5.5%	242
NezPerceClearwater	1	19.8%	40.2%	32.0%	6.0%	2.0%	337

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - n. Driving for pleasure

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	17.9%	39.5%	24.1%	9.0%	9.5%	337
Bitterroot	1	22.8%	32.0%	24.6%	9.2%	11.3%	159
CusterGallatin	1	12.1%	37.1%	37.8%	7.6%	5.4%	287
Flathead	1	22.9%	24.4%	31.6%	10.4%	10.6%	188
Grasslands	1	18.1%	8.9%	59.9%	2.2%	11.0%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	16.8%	37.6%	28.5%	8.9%	8.2%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	16.6%	34.3%	37.6%	8.3%	3.1%	343
Kootenai	1	24.5%	30.6%	33.0%	5.5%	6.4%	194
Lolo	1	23.6%	27.5%	27.6%	11.8%	9.4%	245
NezPerceClearwater	1	18.2%	32.2%	34.4%	10.3%	5.0%	340

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - o. Road bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.0%	5.8%	15.4%	20.3%	56.5%	340
Bitterroot	1	3.4%	8.4%	12.7%	20.6%	54.9%	159
CusterGallatin	1	1.0%	2.6%	10.5%	17.2%	68.7%	290
Flathead	1	5.1%	6.4%	12.2%	18.2%	58.1%	187
Grasslands	1	6.3%	0.7%	13.6%	38.1%	41.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	2.7%	4.7%	16.7%	19.0%	56.8%	361
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.0%	8.4%	13.6%	20.4%	55.5%	344
Kootenai	1	3.7%	7.2%	15.3%	16.4%	57.4%	193
Lolo	1	3.2%	7.0%	12.1%	20.9%	56.8%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	3.1%	12.1%	11.4%	23.0%	50.4%	339

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - p. Mountain bicycling

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.3%	3.8%	21.9%	14.9%	57.1%	337
Bitterroot	1	3.6%	3.8%	22.2%	9.0%	61.5%	157
CusterGallatin	1	1.2%	2.4%	14.7%	15.4%	66.2%	289
Flathead	1	5.3%	3.7%	15.3%	11.1%	64.6%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	31.6%	67.1%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.9%	4.5%	19.1%	16.2%	58.3%	357
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.0%	4.7%	13.8%	24.5%	56.0%	342
Kootenai	1	5.8%	3.7%	12.1%	14.4%	63.9%	192
Lolo	1	3.3%	4.3%	16.0%	11.3%	65.1%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.1%	5.5%	16.7%	18.9%	56.8%	336

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - q. Firewood collecting

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.6%	9.4%	26.2%	22.1%	36.7%	340
Bitterroot	1	5.5%	7.5%	24.6%	23.8%	38.6%	160
CusterGallatin	1	2.6%	12.5%	27.0%	22.8%	35.1%	293
Flathead	1	7.3%	4.2%	22.4%	26.0%	40.1%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.4%	6.7%	13.7%	79.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	5.5%	7.2%	26.8%	26.4%	34.2%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	7.3%	10.4%	24.9%	25.6%	31.8%	345
Kootenai	1	13.6%	8.3%	24.5%	27.9%	25.7%	191
Lolo	1	8.5%	4.9%	25.1%	23.8%	37.7%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.0%	7.1%	21.7%	28.1%	41.1%	343

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - r. Foraging for berries, mushroom, etc.

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.3%	7.3%	38.6%	15.1%	36.7%	340
Bitterroot	1	5.4%	10.6%	42.1%	15.8%	26.0%	159
CusterGallatin	1	1.6%	5.9%	33.0%	19.2%	40.3%	291
Flathead	1	7.7%	5.6%	50.9%	16.6%	19.3%	188
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	7.8%	78.0%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	4.0%	5.1%	37.6%	17.7%	35.7%	362
IdahoPanhandle	1	5.6%	12.9%	42.8%	18.4%	20.4%	346
Kootenai	1	8.6%	14.8%	54.9%	10.2%	11.5%	193
Lolo	1	6.2%	8.6%	50.1%	16.1%	19.0%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	2.6%	11.6%	44.4%	20.2%	21.1%	342

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - s. Picnicking

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.2%	21.5%	48.3%	15.0%	10.0%	340
Bitterroot	1	8.7%	33.1%	33.5%	17.9%	6.8%	157
CusterGallatin	1	3.2%	18.4%	50.9%	13.7%	13.7%	292
Flathead	1	6.1%	22.5%	50.1%	14.6%	6.7%	187
Grasslands	1	0.0%	13.0%	41.6%	31.9%	13.5%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	7.2%	18.9%	48.4%	13.9%	11.5%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	4.0%	24.3%	41.8%	15.1%	14.8%	345
Kootenai	1	4.8%	28.0%	45.0%	14.9%	7.3%	194
Lolo	1	5.3%	29.0%	43.5%	16.1%	6.2%	242
NezPerceClearwater	1	4.3%	29.0%	37.5%	16.7%	12.4%	339

Q8. How often, if at all, do you participate in recreational activities on local federal public lands? Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - t. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	52.1%	27.4%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	31
Bitterroot	1	4.8%	67.2%	28.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13
CusterGallatin	1	58.7%	19.8%	21.5%	0.0%	0.0%	20
Flathead	1	27.6%	46.7%	25.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11
Grasslands	1	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
HelenaLewisandClark	1	52.6%	24.8%	22.6%	0.0%	0.0%	30
IdahoPanhandle	1	7.9%	39.1%	52.2%	0.0%	0.9%	25
Kootenai	1	38.8%	59.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	10
Lolo	1	24.2%	57.0%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	22
NezPerceClearwater	1	6.7%	47.2%	45.5%	0.0%	0.6%	31

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - a. Harvesting timber

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.3%	1.0%	8.4%	7.8%	81.5%	338
Bitterroot	1	2.9%	0.1%	6.8%	4.4%	85.8%	159
CusterGallatin	1	0.2%	1.2%	10.4%	8.9%	79.3%	290
Flathead	1	6.0%	0.4%	7.5%	6.6%	79.5%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	6.7%	87.0%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.6%	1.0%	5.9%	6.8%	84.8%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.7%	1.3%	6.4%	14.1%	75.5%	344
Kootenai	1	5.5%	0.8%	6.2%	10.4%	77.0%	192
Lolo	1	6.2%	1.5%	8.7%	6.5%	77.1%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.4%	0.2%	7.1%	10.8%	80.4%	340

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - b. Mining

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.7%	0.3%	0.6%	3.5%	92.8%	339
Bitterroot	1	2.6%	0.0%	0.8%	4.9%	91.7%	159
CusterGallatin	1	2.2%	0.2%	0.5%	5.5%	91.5%	291
Flathead	1	1.9%	0.0%	0.5%	6.4%	91.1%	187
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	93.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.5%	0.3%	0.7%	4.6%	92.9%	361
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	6.2%	93.5%	345
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	5.2%	94.4%	193
Lolo	1	1.6%	0.0%	0.6%	7.4%	90.4%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	6.3%	93.2%	341

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - c. Oil/gas extraction

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	0.8%	97.0%	338
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	98.8%	159
CusterGallatin	1	1.7%	0.0%	0.5%	3.6%	94.1%	291
Flathead	1	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	99.3%	187
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	93.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	98.9%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	98.4%	346
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	99.5%	193
Lolo	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.9%	98.9%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	98.2%	341

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - d. Outfitter/guiding

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	1.1%	0.1%	2.7%	6.3%	89.8%	337
Bitterroot	1	2.2%	0.0%	3.5%	4.3%	90.1%	159
CusterGallatin	1	0.5%	0.2%	3.2%	7.6%	88.5%	288
Flathead	1	2.0%	0.1%	2.2%	4.2%	91.4%	187
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	92.2%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.0%	0.1%	2.2%	6.0%	90.7%	359
IdahoPanhandle	1	0.0%	0.1%	1.5%	8.5%	89.9%	346
Kootenai	1	0.4%	0.0%	2.3%	3.1%	94.3%	193
Lolo	1	1.7%	0.1%	3.5%	4.4%	90.3%	244
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.0%	0.0%	1.6%	6.7%	90.8%	342

Q9. How often, if at all, do you participate in income generating activities on local federal public lands?
Please tell us how often you participate on local federal lands. - e. Other (please specify below)

		Very often = at least once a week	Often = at least once a month	Sometimes = at least once a year	Rarely = at least once in my lifetime	Never	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	44.7%	40.3%	8.7%	6.3%	0.0%	11
Bitterroot	1	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	5
CusterGallatin	1	70.2%	19.9%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	13
Flathead	1	0.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	<5
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
HelenaLewisandClark	1	37.8%	54.4%	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9
IdahoPanhandle	1	3.5%	39.8%	42.7%	13.9%	0.0%	12
Kootenai	1	0.0%	0.0%	90.6%	9.4%	0.0%	6
Lolo	1	0.0%	0.0%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	7
NezPerceClearwater	1	40.0%	8.6%	42.8%	8.6%	0.0%	11

Q10. Have there been activities that you wanted to do during your visit(s) to local federal public lands that you were not able to?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	19.2%	80.8%	327
Bitterroot	1	11.2%	88.8%	155
CusterGallatin	1	21.4%	78.6%	276
Flathead	1	25.4%	74.6%	179
Grasslands	1	1.1%	98.9%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	21.9%	78.1%	342
IdahoPanhandle	1	19.7%	80.3%	329
Kootenai	1	34.4%	65.6%	186
Lolo	1	24.8%	75.2%	237
NezPerceClearwater	1	15.6%	84.4%	323

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. a. I have access to areas of cultural/traditional significance

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	2.7%	2.7%	35.5%	34.4%	10.8%	13.9%	337
Bitterroot	1	0.6%	0.4%	38.6%	40.3%	8.8%	11.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	4.3%	3.1%	26.9%	38.4%	8.7%	18.6%	287
Flathead	1	0.3%	2.3%	34.4%	45.5%	4.7%	12.8%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.4%	35.3%	34.8%	1.2%	28.2%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	1.6%	2.6%	33.9%	39.4%	10.5%	12.0%	356
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.0%	4.0%	24.9%	42.6%	10.5%	16.1%	335
Kootenai	1	0.5%	1.7%	29.7%	44.6%	2.5%	21.1%	190
Lolo	1	0.4%	1.5%	35.0%	39.4%	7.7%	16.1%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.7%	1.8%	25.7%	45.9%	12.0%	13.0%	336

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. b. I have pleasant interactions with other visitors

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.4%	2.5%	14.7%	57.8%	21.4%	3.1%	340
Bitterroot	1	0.4%	0.3%	21.1%	54.1%	17.0%	7.2%	158
CusterGallatin	1	0.4%	0.5%	19.8%	59.8%	15.0%	4.4%	289
Flathead	1	0.5%	3.6%	22.5%	57.9%	11.6%	3.9%	186
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	21.0%	42.2%	19.4%	17.4%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.3%	2.9%	16.6%	60.1%	17.9%	2.2%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	2.2%	3.3%	21.1%	54.1%	16.1%	3.2%	337
Kootenai	1	0.5%	3.5%	21.8%	50.4%	18.2%	5.6%	190
Lolo	1	0.3%	2.7%	25.4%	52.4%	15.0%	4.2%	243
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.3%	1.4%	20.4%	56.2%	17.7%	3.1%	336

Q14. We would like to know how you feel about visiting local federal public lands. Please rate how much you think it describes your typical experience. c. I experience conflict with users using different modes of transportation

		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree or disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	14.7%	30.8%	26.2%	12.2%	9.3%	6.7%	338
Bitterroot	1	8.5%	38.8%	30.8%	10.2%	1.2%	10.4%	158
CusterGallatin	1	15.8%	28.3%	26.9%	11.1%	10.6%	7.3%	288
Flathead	1	12.0%	36.3%	27.9%	12.2%	3.2%	8.3%	185
Grasslands	1	6.2%	32.9%	42.8%	1.8%	0.0%	16.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	13.1%	31.2%	26.9%	14.2%	9.3%	5.2%	359
IdahoPanhandle	1	7.9%	34.5%	44.0%	10.1%	0.8%	2.7%	337
Kootenai	1	11.7%	32.1%	34.6%	14.9%	0.9%	5.8%	190
Lolo	1	10.1%	36.0%	33.2%	9.7%	2.9%	8.1%	242
NezPerceClearwater	1	6.1%	41.9%	38.1%	9.1%	1.0%	3.8%	335

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. a. Rangers patrolling the area

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	23.3%	51.0%	9.7%	16.0%	337
Bitterroot	1	24.7%	45.5%	11.2%	18.6%	158
CusterGallatin	1	23.3%	51.5%	11.4%	13.9%	289
Flathead	1	19.1%	42.4%	12.4%	26.1%	185
Grasslands	1	21.6%	35.5%	0.7%	42.2%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	20.5%	52.9%	8.8%	17.8%	359
IdahoPanhandle	1	21.3%	50.0%	10.6%	18.1%	335
Kootenai	1	21.6%	47.6%	11.4%	19.4%	189
Lolo	1	23.1%	41.9%	13.9%	21.0%	242
NezPerceClearwater	1	23.8%	48.1%	8.9%	19.2%	336

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. b. Trails for all terrain vehicles

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	32.5%	34.7%	13.4%	19.5%	338
Bitterroot	1	25.2%	40.9%	11.2%	22.8%	157
CusterGallatin	1	32.2%	38.8%	12.0%	16.9%	289
Flathead	1	27.3%	37.9%	9.4%	25.5%	185
Grasslands	1	11.9%	21.1%	1.4%	65.5%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	27.3%	38.9%	11.1%	22.7%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	15.6%	51.9%	13.7%	18.7%	338
Kootenai	1	19.1%	48.0%	13.3%	19.6%	190
Lolo	1	25.0%	43.0%	10.0%	22.0%	241
NezPerceClearwater	1	17.9%	48.8%	12.8%	20.5%	336

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. c. Areas open to snow machines

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	19.9%	38.2%	12.0%	29.8%	337
Bitterroot	1	6.2%	52.6%	16.9%	24.4%	157
CusterGallatin	1	18.9%	42.0%	7.6%	31.5%	290
Flathead	1	11.8%	38.3%	14.9%	35.0%	183
Grasslands	1	1.2%	34.4%	1.1%	63.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	16.5%	38.9%	10.9%	33.7%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	8.2%	51.1%	7.5%	33.1%	338
Kootenai	1	11.9%	46.4%	7.0%	34.7%	188
Lolo	1	12.4%	43.9%	13.4%	30.3%	240
NezPerceClearwater	1	6.2%	49.1%	12.4%	32.4%	336

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. d. Accessible (e.g., for wheelchairs) sites

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	28.7%	28.4%	1.4%	41.5%	337
Bitterroot	1	26.7%	27.0%	0.0%	46.3%	158
CusterGallatin	1	20.4%	33.7%	0.6%	45.3%	288
Flathead	1	27.8%	26.6%	0.1%	45.5%	185
Grasslands	1	33.4%	7.5%	0.4%	58.8%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	27.8%	26.9%	1.3%	44.1%	360
IdahoPanhandle	1	21.1%	36.2%	0.2%	42.5%	338
Kootenai	1	22.3%	34.9%	0.2%	42.6%	190
Lolo	1	28.8%	29.0%	0.1%	42.0%	242
NezPerceClearwater	1	21.4%	31.5%	0.1%	46.9%	336

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. e. Bathrooms

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	19.6%	70.6%	2.4%	7.4%	335
Bitterroot	1	19.2%	71.1%	0.1%	9.6%	156
CusterGallatin	1	22.4%	67.6%	1.9%	8.1%	287
Flathead	1	21.7%	61.9%	0.0%	16.3%	184
Grasslands	1	30.0%	48.8%	0.4%	20.8%	40
HelenaLewisandClark	1	22.8%	64.8%	1.1%	11.3%	359
IdahoPanhandle	1	16.9%	73.2%	1.2%	8.6%	334
Kootenai	1	16.2%	65.8%	0.1%	17.9%	190
Lolo	1	16.2%	67.3%	0.0%	16.5%	240
NezPerceClearwater	1	17.9%	72.8%	0.2%	9.1%	333

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. f. Trails for mountain bikers

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	5.4%	49.7%	7.3%	37.6%	337
Bitterroot	1	4.7%	56.2%	3.8%	35.2%	155
CusterGallatin	1	5.1%	45.1%	6.6%	43.2%	289
Flathead	1	8.7%	53.6%	3.2%	34.6%	184
Grasslands	1	0.9%	21.5%	7.4%	70.3%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	5.3%	54.2%	5.1%	35.3%	361
IdahoPanhandle	1	8.7%	45.1%	3.0%	43.1%	338
Kootenai	1	9.3%	57.3%	3.6%	29.8%	189
Lolo	1	7.9%	55.7%	3.4%	33.0%	240
NezPerceClearwater	1	8.1%	48.4%	3.3%	40.2%	335

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. g. Travel information on forest websites to plan for trips

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	20.5%	56.4%	2.3%	20.8%	334
Bitterroot	1	19.9%	58.3%	2.7%	19.0%	157
CusterGallatin	1	21.1%	49.8%	0.3%	28.8%	289
Flathead	1	20.4%	54.6%	2.5%	22.5%	182
Grasslands	1	6.3%	55.0%	6.7%	32.0%	41
HelenaLewisandClark	1	19.7%	58.2%	1.2%	20.9%	354
IdahoPanhandle	1	20.7%	52.6%	0.3%	26.4%	337
Kootenai	1	15.1%	50.9%	0.6%	33.4%	189
Lolo	1	17.4%	56.2%	2.1%	24.3%	240
NezPerceClearwater	1	24.7%	56.9%	0.2%	18.2%	335

Q15. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are TOO FEW, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MANY, or DONT KNOW. h. Other (please specify below)

		Too few	Adequate amount	Too many	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	27.0%	0.0%	73.0%	0.0%	16
Bitterroot	1	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	6
CusterGallatin	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8
Flathead	1	58.7%	9.4%	31.9%	0.0%	10
Grasslands	1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	<5
HelenaLewisandClark	1	37.4%	5.0%	57.5%	0.0%	15
IdahoPanhandle	1	87.2%	1.7%	11.1%	0.0%	14
Kootenai	1	52.6%	8.4%	39.0%	0.0%	10
Lolo	1	60.5%	7.3%	32.2%	0.0%	13
NezPerceClearwater	1	45.3%	5.7%	49.0%	0.0%	12

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. a. Designated wilderness (preserved wildlands)

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	35.1%	36.3%	19.6%	8.9%	347
Bitterroot	1	31.1%	47.5%	9.5%	11.9%	160
CusterGallatin	1	25.0%	36.5%	21.7%	16.8%	301
Flathead	1	31.3%	43.4%	14.0%	11.3%	189
Grasslands	1	40.7%	29.7%	10.1%	19.5%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	38.6%	37.4%	15.1%	8.9%	371
IdahoPanhandle	1	26.3%	46.3%	11.7%	15.7%	363
Kootenai	1	30.9%	43.2%	14.5%	11.4%	195
Lolo	1	32.1%	42.1%	15.0%	10.8%	247
NezPerceClearwater	1	29.6%	43.6%	10.5%	16.3%	358

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. b. Wildfire suppression activities i.e. management to put out wildfires

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	33.2%	40.5%	8.3%	18.0%	346
Bitterroot	1	25.7%	47.4%	11.6%	15.2%	159
CusterGallatin	1	31.1%	43.2%	5.0%	20.8%	300
Flathead	1	39.6%	26.9%	11.1%	22.5%	191
Grasslands	1	17.9%	31.9%	0.0%	50.3%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	37.9%	33.1%	9.4%	19.6%	374
IdahoPanhandle	1	32.4%	37.2%	2.6%	27.8%	364
Kootenai	1	37.5%	32.5%	7.9%	22.1%	197
Lolo	1	38.9%	30.7%	11.2%	19.2%	249
NezPerceClearwater	1	34.7%	36.4%	5.4%	23.5%	359

Q16. For each of the following, please indicate if you feel that there are NOT ENOUGH, an ADEQUATE AMOUNT, TOO MUCH, or DONT KNOW. c. Wildfire mitigation activities i.e. management to prevent wildfires

		Not enough	Adequate amount	Too much	Don't know	Total Unweighted Count
		Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	Row N %	
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	55.7%	22.8%	3.3%	18.1%	345
Bitterroot	1	48.7%	31.3%	3.7%	16.4%	159
CusterGallatin	1	50.5%	27.5%	2.6%	19.4%	300
Flathead	1	63.4%	12.4%	1.1%	23.1%	190
Grasslands	1	11.5%	25.5%	0.0%	63.0%	48
HelenaLewisandClark	1	57.8%	21.1%	1.6%	19.6%	372
IdahoPanhandle	1	42.6%	23.1%	1.4%	33.0%	362
Kootenai	1	54.9%	21.3%	1.3%	22.5%	195
Lolo	1	61.6%	14.7%	2.6%	21.1%	248
NezPerceClearwater	1	44.2%	21.1%	1.7%	33.1%	358

Q22. Would you or any member of your household prefer to receive information about public lands, services, etc. in languages other than English?

		Yes	No	Total
		Row N %	Row N %	Unweighted Count
BeaverheadDearlodge	1	0.9%	99.1%	338
Bitterroot	1	0.0%	100.0%	154
CusterGallatin	1	1.0%	99.0%	295
Flathead	1	0.1%	99.9%	181
Grasslands	1	5.5%	94.5%	47
HelenaLewisandClark	1	0.8%	99.2%	365
IdahoPanhandle	1	1.0%	99.0%	351
Kootenai	1	0.1%	99.9%	188
Lolo	1	0.1%	99.9%	237
NezPerceClearwater	1	1.1%	98.9%	347

Open-Ended Questions

Open-ended Responses

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	889	77.1	77.1	77.1
-99	53	4.6	4.6	81.8
Access existing roads.	0	.0	.0	81.8
Access roads.	0	.0	.0	81.8
Access to lakes and hiking.	1	.1	.1	81.9
Access to trailheads that have been previously accessible by vehicle.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Access.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Activity for hunting & fishing.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Art in the Park.	0	.0	.0	81.9
At least twice per year we have had to change routes or dates or locations of pack trips due to fire activity. Last year we cancelled the trip altogether to participate in in the fire fighting efforts.	0	.0	.0	82.0
ATV on roads that are gated off. Motorcycles can go around and they are the ones tearing up the hillsides, we stay on the road.	0	.0	.0	82.0
ATV or motorcycle riding.	0	.0	.0	82.0
ATV riding.	4	.4	.4	82.4
ATV roads.	0	.0	.0	82.4
ATV trails.	0	.0	.0	82.4
ATV traveling.	2	.1	.1	82.5
ATV, four-wheeling.	0	.0	.0	82.5
ATV.	6	.5	.5	83.0
ATV/four-wheeling.	0	.0	.0	83.0
Backcountry camping.	0	.0	.0	83.1
Backpack.	0	.0	.0	83.1
Bathroom. Sit. Hike safely.	0	.0	.0	83.1
Bear hunting.	0	.0	.0	83.1

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Being able to drive to view and see public lands.	0	.0	.0	83.1
Berry Picking.	0	.0	.0	83.2
Biking.	0	.0	.0	83.2
Bird watching.	0	.0	.0	83.2
Birdwatching Ridgefield Wildlife Refuge.	0	.0	.0	83.2
Boat.	0	.0	.0	83.2
Boy scouts.	0	.0	.0	83.3
Burning, camping.	3	.3	.3	83.6
Camp more at clean area and outhouse (unreadable) have the worst.	3	.3	.3	83.9
camp.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Campfire information with Ranger.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Campfire, fishing.	3	.3	.3	84.2
Campfire.	1	.1	.1	84.3
Campfires and pickup deadfall.	0	.0	.0	84.3
Campfires.	3	.3	.3	84.6
Campgrounds for groups and single cars.	1	.1	.1	84.7
camping and campfires.	0	.0	.0	84.7
Camping and hiking further.	0	.0	.0	84.7
Camping at lake Colchuck.	3	.3	.3	85.0
Camping at Rocky Lake.	1	.1	.1	85.2
Camping, fishing.	0	.0	.0	85.2
Camping, hiking.	0	.0	.0	85.2
Camping, hunting, fishing, 4x4ing on roads that are open, firewood cutting, viewing.	0	.0	.0	85.2
Camping, staying in a-frame cabin.	0	.0	.0	85.2
Camping.	26	2.2	2.2	87.5
Clean up dead and dying timber and brush to prevent forest fire.	1	.0	.0	87.5

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Clear mountain trails with chainsaw and mountain bike in wilderness areas.	0	.0	.0	87.5
Collecting arrowheads.	0	.0	.0	87.5
Crystal Park.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Cutting firewood	1	.1	.1	87.6
Cutting firewood, Grazing livestock, reducing fire risk.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Dirt biking.	3	.3	.3	87.9
Dispersed camping.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Drive behind locked gates.	3	.3	.3	88.3
Drive down a road.	3	.3	.3	88.6
Drive in and hunt from trailhead.	0	.0	.0	88.6
Drive on roads that are now closed.	2	.2	.2	88.7
Drive roads.	1	.1	.1	88.8
Drive.	0	.0	.0	88.8
Driving for pleasure, hunting, hiking, picking huckleberries.	3	.3	.3	89.1
Driving mountain roads.	0	.0	.0	89.1
Driving on forest roads.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Driving on Jeep trails.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Driving road or trail.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Escaping negativity that comes from being an oppressed people.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Explore old trails and roads.	2	.1	.1	89.4
Exploring by vehicle.	0	.0	.0	89.4
Exploring, Sunday ride, camping.	0	.0	.0	89.4
Family camping.	0	.0	.0	89.4
Fenced out of public lands.	0	.0	.0	89.4
Firewood cutting, fishing, hunting, recreation.	3	.3	.3	89.7
Firewood cutting.	7	.6	.6	90.4

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Fishing access, can't camp along shores of lake.	0	.0	.0	90.4
Fishing, camping.	0	.0	.0	90.4
Fishing, hiking, bird watching, wildflower searching, mushroom.	0	.0	.0	90.4
Fishing.	4	.3	.3	90.8
Four-wheeling on roads and trails.	0	.0	.0	90.8
Four-wheeling.	2	.2	.2	90.9
Get into areas.	0	.0	.0	91.0
Going into old logging areas with my truck to sight see and look for berries.	0	.0	.0	91.0
Going to Glacier NP.	0	.0	.0	91.0
Gold extraction with hand tools. ATV access.	0	.0	.0	91.0
Grouse hunting.	0	.0	.0	91.1
Harvesting firewood.	0	.0	.0	91.1
Harvesting fish.	0	.0	.0	91.1
Have a dog with me.	0	.0	.0	91.1
Having a fire but that is 100% understandable with the fire season we had. Same goes for ATV and UTV activities.	0	.0	.0	91.1
Hike and camp in public parks.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hike and fish more.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hike or walk trails.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hiking and fishing undisturbed.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hiking during hunting season.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hiking with my dog on trails on leash.	0	.0	.0	91.2
Hiking with my dog.	0	.0	.0	91.3
Hiking with my family including young children.	3	.3	.3	91.6
Hiking, backpacking, trail running, mountain biking, lake swimming.	0	.0	.0	91.6

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Hiking, Biking, Hunting.	0	.0	.0	91.6
Hiking, biking.	0	.0	.0	91.6
Hiking, camping.	4	.3	.3	92.0
Hiking, packing donkeys, hunting.	0	.0	.0	92.0
Hiking, relaxing, foraging, camping.	0	.0	.0	92.0
Hiking, running.	0	.0	.0	92.0
Hiking.	6	.5	.5	92.5
Horse riding and swimming.	3	.3	.3	92.8
Horseback riding.	0	.0	.0	92.9
Hunt.	1	.1	.1	92.9
Hunting & hiking.	0	.0	.0	92.9
Hunting access, shooting, ATV's , walking dog.	0	.0	.0	92.9
Hunting and exploring.	0	.0	.0	93.0
Hunting camp, cutting firewood.	0	.0	.0	93.0
Hunting using a four wheeler to access areas.	3	.3	.3	93.3
Hunting, berries picking, snowmobile, etc.	0	.0	.0	93.3
Hunting, camping, hiking, fishing.	3	.3	.3	93.6
Hunting.	2	.2	.2	93.8
Hunting/camping.	0	.0	.0	93.8
hunting/hiking/foraging.	0	.0	.0	93.8
Ice fishing.	1	.0	.0	93.9
Let our children learn how to ride motorcycles and ATVs.	3	.3	.3	94.2
McDonald Pass area.	0	.0	.0	94.2
Metal detect, gold prospect.	0	.0	.0	94.2
Motor activities and shooting, target.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Motorcycle trail riding.	3	.3	.3	94.6
Motorcycling & four wheeling.	0	.0	.0	94.6

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Motorized access to Forest Service roads.	0	.0	.0	94.6
Motorized Traveling, & Logging.	3	.3	.3	94.9
Motorized use in certain areas or certain trails.	3	.3	.3	95.2
Mountain bike in wilderness area.	0	.0	.0	95.2
Mountain bike. Floating rivers.	0	.0	.0	95.3
Mountain biking and dirt biking into mountain lakes to fish.	3	.3	.3	95.6
Mountain biking in wilderness.	0	.0	.0	95.6
Mountain biking, hiking, camping.	0	.0	.0	95.6
Mountain biking.	0	.0	.0	95.6
Mountain Biking.	0	.0	.0	95.7
Move cows and getting wood.	0	.0	.0	95.7
National Parks.	0	.0	.0	95.7
Not enough ATV, UTV, motorcycle recreational areas or trails , so now they are taking over main roads, lakes, creeks, and campgrounds.	3	.3	.3	96.0
Off road motorcycle riding.	0	.0	.0	96.0
Off-roading.	3	.2	.2	96.2
Our BLM lands within agricultural areas as wheat and cattle.	0	.0	.0	96.3
Picnics, lake shores, trails.	0	.0	.0	96.3
Poison wolves.	0	.0	.0	96.3
Primitive camping.	0	.0	.0	96.3
Prospecting.	0	.0	.0	96.4
Public access decreasing; seeing more no trespass signs.	0	.0	.0	96.4
Recovering arrowheads.	0	.0	.0	96.4

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Reduce fire hazard and make land more to follow natural succession.	0	.0	.0	96.4
Ride dirt bikes, ATVs and snowmobiles.	1	.1	.1	96.5
Ride four-wheeler on forest roads.	1	.1	.1	96.6
Ride four-wheelers where I want.	0	.0	.0	96.7
Ride my motorcycle or four-wheeler.	3	.3	.3	97.0
Ride UTV.	3	.3	.3	97.3
Riding ATV on or hunting on Game department land.	1	.0	.0	97.3
Riding my side by side.	0	.0	.0	97.3
Roads I like.	0	.0	.0	97.3
Rock hounding.	0	.0	.0	97.4
Scheduled activities or events.	0	.0	.0	97.4
Shooting.	7	.6	.6	98.0
Sleeping at dispersed camping site.	3	.3	.3	98.3
Snowmobile in Federally Protected Areas.	0	.0	.0	98.3
Snowmobile, ATV, walking, fishing, hunting.	0	.0	.0	98.3
snowmobile.	1	.1	.1	98.4
Snowmobiling, motorbike riding, vehicle driving.	1	.0	.0	98.4
Swimming.	1	.1	.1	98.5
Trail riding , dirt biking, four-wheeler, ATV.	3	.3	.3	98.8
Trail riding, bicycle.	0	.0	.0	98.8
Trail riding.	3	.3	.3	99.1
Travel into forest freely.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Travel on existing roadways.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Travel roads or getting to primitive campgrounds.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Travel.	0	.0	.0	99.2

Q11. What is the activity? Please describe the activity below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Traveling in areas with closed access to the public. Many places open in the past but closed currently.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Unable to travel areas with locked gates.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Use branch from a dead tree for a picnic fire.	0	.0	.0	99.3
Use internet via cell service.	0	.0	.0	99.3
Use my power to (go) out again.	0	.0	.0	99.3
Use of unmaintained roads.	3	.3	.3	99.6
Using forest service roads for winter recreation.	0	.0	.0	99.6
View at lookouts.	0	.0	.0	99.7
Viewing areas.	1	.1	.1	99.8
Visit archaeological places of interest.	0	.0	.0	99.8
Visit new landmarks, DNR, campsites.	0	.0	.0	99.8
visiting a piece of land.	0	.0	.0	99.8
Walking exercise for myself and letting my dog off-leash on BLM land that is within 15 miles from town and therefore convenient. It is also a pleasurable contact with open space, views, sagebrush--nature in general.	0	.0	.0	99.9
Walking, hiking, fishing.	0	.0	.0	99.9
Walking.	0	.0	.0	99.9
White water rafting.	1	.1	.1	100.0
Wood harvesting, post and pole, firewood, mining, etc.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Zip line.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Total	1152	100.0	100.0	

Activity 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access, unspecified	3	.3	1.6	1.6
	Access roads	23	2.0	10.7	12.4
	ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	51	4.4	24.1	36.5
	Mountain biking, bicycling access	8	.7	4.0	40.5
	Arrowhead collecting	0	.0	.2	40.7
	Backpacking	0	.0	.1	40.8
	Bathroom use	4	.3	1.8	42.5
	Birdwatching	0	.0	.2	42.7
	Campfires	7	.6	3.4	46.2
	Camping	37	3.2	17.4	63.6
	Dog accompanied activities, dogs off-leash	1	.1	.3	63.9
	Firewood cutting, harvest wood	12	1.0	5.6	69.5
	Fishing	10	.9	4.7	74.2
	Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	0	.0	.1	74.3
	Hiking, walking	16	1.4	7.8	82.1
	Horseback riding, packing	4	.3	1.9	84.0
	Hunting	12	1.0	5.7	89.6
	Logging, commercial	1	.1	.3	89.9
	Picnic	0	.0	.2	90.1
	Poison wolves	0	.0	.1	90.2
	Primitive, backpack, dispersed camping	4	.4	1.9	92.2
	Rafting, floating, boating	1	.1	.5	92.7
	Rock-hounding, metal detecting, prospecting	1	.1	.3	93.0
	Scheduled events, talks, activities	1	.1	.3	93.3
	Shooting	7	.6	3.3	96.6
	Snowmobile	2	.1	.8	97.4
	Swimming	1	.1	.3	97.7
	Visit specific area, such as an archaeological site, McDonald Pass, landmarks	3	.2	1.4	99.1
	Zipline	0	.0	.1	99.2
	Boy Scouts	0	.0	.1	99.3

Activity 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Picking up deadfall, dead and dying timber	1	.1	.4	99.7
Chainsaw in Wilderness	0	.0	.1	99.8
Cellphone use	0	.0	.1	99.9
Escape negativity of being an oppressed people	0	.0	.1	100.0
Total	210	18.2	100.0	
Missing -99	942	81.8		
Total	1152	100.0		

Activity 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Access, unspecified	3	.3	7.2	7.2
ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	8	.7	15.5	22.7
Mountain biking, bicycling access	1	.1	1.4	24.0
Backpacking	0	.0	.4	24.4
Camping	12	1.1	25.6	50.0
Firewood cutting, harvest wood	0	.0	.6	50.6
Fishing	5	.4	9.6	60.2
Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	1	.1	2.5	62.7
Hiking, walking	6	.5	12.5	75.1
Horseback riding, packing	0	.0	.4	75.6
Hunting	5	.4	9.5	85.1
Logging, commercial	3	.3	7.2	92.3
Primitive, backpack, dispersed camping	0	.0	.4	92.7
Rafting, floating, boating	0	.0	.8	93.5
Shooting	0	.0	.8	94.3
Snowmobile	1	.1	2.6	96.9
Visit specific area, such as an archaeological site, McDonald Pass, landmarks	0	.0	.4	97.3
Picking up deadfall, dead and dying timber	0	.0	.6	97.9

Activity 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Grazing livestock	1	.1	1.2	99.2
	Commune with nature, escape from people	0	.0	.4	99.6
	Mining	0	.0	.4	100.0
	Total	49	4.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	1103	95.8		
Total		1152	100.0		

Activity 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATV, Motorcycle, off-road, etc access	0	.0	1.1	1.1
	Mountain biking, bicycling access	0	.0	1.1	2.3
	Birdwatching	0	.0	1.1	3.4
	Camping	1	.1	4.5	7.9
	Firewood cutting, harvest wood	0	.0	1.1	9.0
	Fishing	4	.3	22.0	31.0
	Foraging berries, mushrooms, etc	0	.0	1.6	32.6
	Hiking, walking	7	.6	40.6	73.2
	Hunting	4	.4	24.3	97.5
	Snowmobile	0	.0	2.5	100.0
	Total	18	1.5	100.0	
Missing	-99	1134	98.5		
Total		1152	100.0		

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	889	77.1	77.1	77.1
-99	54	4.7	4.7	81.8
(unreadable)	0	.0	.0	81.8
(unreadable) scheduled.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Able to.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Access point areas blocked by private land owners.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Access to federal land eliminated when private property changed hands, new owner denied access, previously allowed.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Against Montana law to keep arrowheads found on BLM land.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Against regulation.	1	.0	.0	82.0
Against the law.	0	.0	.0	82.0
Against the rules to have a dog.	0	.0	.0	82.1
Apparently the Forest Service was checking tree damage around campground all summer long.	3	.3	.3	82.4
Area closed to rock hounding.	0	.0	.0	82.4
Area closed to wood cutting.	1	.1	.1	82.4
Area closed.	0	.0	.0	82.5
Area closed/restricted by Feds!	0	.0	.0	82.5
Areas closed for no known reason.	0	.0	.0	82.5
ATV riding is limited to certain days of the month and only certain months of the year. Recently purchased land by the Game department had no hunting when purchased from the landowner. The game department still has no hunting on this land. Not open to public hunting.	1	.0	.0	82.5

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Bad roads, not maintained, trails not maintained or ruined by outfitters.	0	.0	.0	82.6
Because the (expletive) government rat put up a locked gate.	0	.0	.0	82.6
Being able to get in without help, outhouse (unreadable) have the worst	3	.3	.3	82.9
Blockades on existing roads.	0	.0	.0	82.9
Blocked roads, barriers to wildland and forest, older folks locked out when they can't hike.	0	.0	.0	82.9
Blocked roads, USFS restrictions.	0	.0	.0	82.9
Boat launch closed.	0	.0	.0	83.0
Campground was closed.	0	.0	.0	83.0
campground was full for season.	3	.3	.3	83.3
Campgrounds closed.	0	.0	.0	83.3
Campsites not available, overcrowding.	0	.0	.0	83.3
Can't always afford permits.	3	.3	.3	83.6
Can't walk at all anymore.	0	.0	.0	83.7
Changes in trail regulations.	0	.0	.0	83.7
Close gates and I can't walk as well as I did when I was younger.	0	.0	.0	83.7
Closed area to horseback riding.	0	.0	.0	83.7
Closed areas to motorized use; closed roads with berms and gates.	1	.0	.0	83.8
Closed because of fires and dense smoke.	1	.1	.1	83.9
Closed because of fires, timber harvesting, or horrible smoke conditions.	0	.0	.0	83.9

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Closed gates and trails.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Closed gates by out-of-state owner.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Closed gates.	1	.1	.1	84.0
Closed off to vehicles.	0	.0	.0	84.0
Closed or no trails open.	3	.3	.3	84.3
Closed roads, regulations.	0	.0	.0	84.3
Closed roads.	4	.3	.3	84.6
Closed to people & Hunting.	0	.0	.0	84.7
Closed to these activities.	0	.0	.0	84.7
Closed.	1	.1	.1	84.7
Closure because of extreme fire danger.	0	.0	.0	84.7
Could not cross what is now private land to get to federal public land.	0	.0	.0	84.8
Couldn't be taken to her favorite spot because of age, not being able to walk without help, and not being able to drive where she had for 92 years. Big rocks in the road or cables across the road. It is the public's land, don't lock us out!	0	.0	.0	84.8
Designated campgrounds were full.	3	.3	.3	85.1
Different road are closed, then some open, no way to get from one to the other.	0	.0	.0	85.1
Difficult to find camping spots, USFS shut/closed access to past good spots.	0	.0	.0	85.1
Discouraged.	0	.0	.0	85.2
Don't designate enough motorized trails on public lands, tired of liberal (expletive).	0	.0	.0	85.2
Don't have license and legal crap.	3	.3	.3	85.5
Drunk and rude campers.	0	.0	.0	85.5

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Dry conditions.	3	.3	.3	85.8
Due to areas that are "wilderness study".	3	.3	.3	86.1
Everything is closed.	3	.3	.3	86.4
Federal restrictions.	0	.0	.0	86.4
Fenced out of public lands.	0	.0	.0	86.4
Fire danger high, fishing contaminated waters.	3	.3	.3	86.7
Fire danger high, sparks from stones, horse shoes, cars, etc.	3	.3	.3	87.0
Fire Danger.	3	.3	.3	87.3
Fire hazard.	0	.0	.0	87.4
Fire in area closed trails down.	2	.2	.2	87.5
Fire restrictions, this is a good thing when needed.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Fire restrictions.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Fire, total lack of effort to put it out fast, let it burn idiots.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Fires closed.	3	.3	.3	87.9
Fires were too bad.	1	.1	.1	88.0
Fires, either active or inactive that had scorched our area.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Forest fires, smoke hazard.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Forest Service closes the road.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Forest Service did not allow.	3	.3	.3	88.3
Forest Service has the roads closed.	0	.0	.0	88.3
Forest Service restrictions.	0	.0	.0	88.4
Four-wheeled walker doesn't maneuver over rocks and other terrain very well, not physically able to make the walk on the paths that were provided.	0	.0	.0	88.4
Full campgrounds.	0	.0	.0	88.4

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Gates are closed during hunting season and to be opened on December 15th. This year none of the gates on the entire Salmon National Forest were opened on January 13th, only one gate had been opened, and that one after multiple phone calls to complain about it.	0	.0	.0	88.4
Gates are locked, open the gates, the public will maintain.	3	.3	.3	88.7
Gates closed.	0	.0	.0	88.8
Gates locked to vehicle travel.	0	.0	.0	88.8
Gates locked.	3	.3	.3	89.1
Gates up.	0	.0	.0	89.1
Gates were closed.	0	.0	.0	89.1
Gates, closed roads, roads and trails prohibit motorized use.	0	.0	.0	89.1
Gates, reclaimed roads, Kelly humps, ditches.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Gates.	1	.1	.1	89.2
Gating off roads that I was able to travel most of my life until the Forest Service started gating off roads. Very Disappointed in the management of our Forest Service. My family owned a local logging company for years. It was a family run business and there were no longer any timber sales offered to bid on our local forest, and eventually forced my family out of business.	3	.3	.3	89.5
Had to work when on.	0	.0	.0	89.5
Horseshoe lake completely out of control, crime, drinking, drugs.	0	.0	.0	89.6
Ice was not good.	1	.0	.0	89.6
It isn't allowed in Wilderness Designated Areas.	0	.0	.0	89.6

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
It was closed to the public.	0	.0	.0	89.6
Keep gating and closing all roads.	3	.3	.3	89.9
Lack of funds.	0	.0	.0	90.0
Lack of money, gas, time.	0	.0	.0	90.0
Last few years of uncontrolled burning have lessened quality of life here, both from a health standpoint and use of our public land.	0	.0	.0	90.0
Limited restrictions, physical disabilities, can only access wheeled allowed areas.	0	.0	.0	90.0
Locating public land signs.	0	.0	.0	90.1
Logging, road closure.	3	.3	.3	90.4
Many BLM areas shutdown to shooting for recreation or practice.	3	.3	.3	90.7
Most of roads in my area closed to ATV.	3	.3	.3	91.0
Most roads are gated off, overgrown or actually destroyed.	0	.0	.0	91.0
Motorized access has been greatly reduced in recent years. We need more balanced use through organized efforts such as Citizens for Balanced Use. It is understood that motorized recreation may have a greater impact on the trails and those of us who participate in such activities are willing to help with trail maintenance and/or the costs associated as long as they are reasonable. Separate trail systems may be an option.	3	.3	.3	91.3
Motorized use is over-restricted.	3	.3	.3	91.6

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Mounds are constructed across dirt paths, roads, and trails making land inaccessible.	0	.0	.0	91.6
Need more disabled trails for older generation and veterans. Easier access to drive to special places for more handicapped disabled folks away from crowded campsites, river access sites, etc. User friendly trails wheelchair accessible for those folks to enjoy nature.	0	.0	.0	91.7
Never been an area made or designated specifically for the recreation of motor vehicles.	3	.3	.3	92.0
Newly closed areas.	0	.0	.0	92.0
No access areas, Corp of Engineers won't allow camping and poor access.	0	.0	.0	92.0
No access to fishing areas.	0	.0	.0	92.0
No access.	5	.4	.4	92.4
No cell service, towers.	0	.0	.0	92.5
No Discovery Pass! Have to purchase a Discovery Pass to view what god has provided for everyone to enjoy. No state or federal entity owns god's resources.	0	.0	.0	92.5
No dogs allowed in parks, etc., Yellowstone.	0	.0	.0	92.5
No guns allowed.	3	.3	.3	92.8
no locations.	0	.0	.0	92.8
No longer able to camp there.	3	.3	.3	93.1
No outhouse. No picnic tables. No or limited walking trails.	0	.0	.0	93.1
No transportation there and I have difficulty walking for 5 years.	0	.0	.0	93.2

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
None scheduled.	0	.0	.0	93.2
None.	0	.0	.0	93.2
Not allowed in certain regions.	0	.0	.0	93.2
Not allowed on land.	0	.0	.0	93.3
Not enough campsites on Kooconusa Reservoir.	3	.3	.3	93.6
Not enough passes, not able to get on during lottery; understand why there are a limited amount of passes, but still limits the activity each year.	3	.3	.3	93.9
Not much access for wheelchair for family member.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Not permitted to take personal watercraft into riverine sections, but OK with reasons.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Not sufficient access for handicap.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Now allowed due to no "approved" fire pits, not during fire season/restrictions.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Opportunity/availability of dispersed camp sites have become too restricted in some places, often because agency personnel try to prevent resource damage, such as weed infestations. Dispersed camping should be encouraged and facilitated by agencies with education and management.	0	.0	.0	94.0
Other people's howling, barking dogs, people are very irresponsible with their dogs on public lands.	3	.3	.3	94.3
Outdated laws, traditions.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Parasites in water.	1	.1	.1	94.4

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Park ranger said we could not use dead wood for our picnic fire.	0	.0	.0	94.5
People who were not present left their campers in most of the available spots.	0	.0	.0	94.5
Poor air quality during late summer.	0	.0	.0	94.5
Poor Handicap access, parking and trail surfaces.	0	.0	.0	94.5
price.	1	.1	.1	94.6
Private land owner locked road to trailhead and locked two others also.	0	.0	.0	94.6
Prohibited in areas I wanted to go.	0	.0	.0	94.6
Prohibited.	1	.1	.1	94.7
Proposed wilderness area.	0	.0	.0	94.7
Ranchers have public land blocked.	0	.0	.0	94.7
Regulations prohibit travel.	0	.0	.0	94.7
Remote.	0	.0	.0	94.7
Restricted access.	0	.0	.0	94.8
Road and trail closures.	0	.0	.0	94.8
Road blocked.	0	.0	.0	94.8
road closed.	0	.0	.0	94.8
Road closed.	0	.0	.0	94.8
Road closures, fire activity.	0	.0	.0	94.9
Road Closures.	0	.0	.0	94.9
Road conditions, (unreadable) poor wildlife hunting such as small game and deer access, ATV access.	0	.0	.0	94.9
Road or trail closed for no reason/Grizzly Bears.	0	.0	.0	94.9
Roads and trails blocked off.	2	.1	.1	95.0
Roads and trails gated.	2	.1	.1	95.2

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Roads and trails were closed due to fallen dead trees, standing dead tree hazards.	3	.3	.3	95.5
Roads are gated off.	3	.3	.3	95.8
Roads being neglected or closed when I've been driving them all my life.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Roads closed without notification or explanation.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Roads closed, no maintenance, prevented access with four-wheel vehicle.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Roads closed.	0	.0	.0	95.9
Roads shut down more and more every year due to fires.	0	.0	.0	95.9
Roads were closed.	1	.1	.1	96.0
Roads were gated and locked.	2	.2	.2	96.2
Roads were obliterated by USFS.	0	.0	.0	96.2
Scumbags and dangerous people in woods making noise and abusing their dogs.	0	.0	.0	96.2
Sixteen day limit and unable to get waiver or permit to stay longer. Won't let you go off road for wood.	0	.0	.0	96.2
Smoke from fires.	0	.0	.0	96.2
Someone was shooting, so I had to leave.	0	.0	.0	96.2
State fishing regulations.	0	.0	.0	96.3
Tank traps did not allow my 4 wheeler/truck to pass through to harvest wood for heat.	0	.0	.0	96.3

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
That land is off limits during late spring, summer and fall because it is grazing permit land for cattle and the gates are closed to public use. I can only use it during the cold, snowy winter months when walking is often difficult for an elderly person.	0	.0	.0	96.3
There is no free land; Whites have claimed, labeled, and appropriated everything.	0	.0	.0	96.3
They (roads) are closed.	0	.0	.0	96.3
They closed the area for motorized travel.	3	.3	.3	96.7
They've all been pretty much shut down or poorly maintained.	0	.0	.0	96.7
Time factor, never enough time.	3	.3	.3	97.0
Told by forest ranger that it was illegal.	1	.1	.1	97.1
Too crowded with out of country tourists.	0	.0	.0	97.1
Too crowded.	1	.1	.1	97.2
Too dry, no one's fault but mother nature.	3	.3	.3	97.5
Too many access closures for no good reason.	0	.0	.0	97.5
Too many closed gates.	3	.3	.3	97.8
Too many gated areas.	0	.0	.0	97.8
Too many gates.	0	.0	.0	97.9
Too many motorized motorcycles and trail bikes.	0	.0	.0	97.9
Too many people and too much noise from bikes and four wheelers.	0	.0	.0	97.9
Too many people, no dogs on leashes, terrible, no fun. Designated paid parking in the middle of nowhere.	0	.0	.0	97.9

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Too many road and trail closures.	1	.1	.1	98.0
Too many tourists and cars.	0	.0	.0	98.1
Too many tourists.	0	.0	.0	98.1
Too much deadfall on ground due to forests being choked out, then natural occurring beetle kill destroyed Lodgepole and it just lays without efficient use.	0	.0	.0	98.1
Too much smoke from abusive use of fire in the name of allowing fire to run its natural course. The Forest Service was adding fire with drip torches with no regard for any prescriptive parameters and air quality. They created a stand replacing fire and use this ability they are given to produce overtime, and training opportunities for themselves. This has become a regular operation for the forest service. There should be set prescriptive parameters and thresholds for air quality as well as concern for fire effects. These fires should have a good effect not just a moonscape for their overtime production and trumped up qualifications.	0	.0	.0	98.1
Too old.	0	.0	.0	98.1
Trail only open to bikes July 15 - October 15.	0	.0	.0	98.2
Trails and roads are gated closed and I can't walk very far without needing to sit down until my leg pain subsides or my feet regain normal feeling.	3	.3	.3	98.4

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Trails closed for no reason.	1	.1	.1	98.5
Trails closed.	3	.3	.3	98.8
Trails have been closed to motorized vehicles and camping areas closed.	0	.0	.0	98.9
Trails keep being closed.	0	.0	.0	98.9
Trails were logged in, could not get through.	0	.0	.0	98.9
Trails were too overgrown.	0	.0	.0	98.9
Unmaintained/ poorly maintained trail.	3	.3	.3	99.2
USFS closed them all in our region.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Usually trail closures because of fire but often fire was tens of miles away. Prevalence of trapping in our national forests dissuades a lot of recreationists who own dogs.	0	.0	.0	99.3
Very limited areas.	3	.2	.2	99.5
W.S.A. rules.	0	.0	.0	99.5
Wacko environmentalist stopped logging, anti-grazing, anti- people use, anti-mining, anti-hunting, anti-anything on forest.	0	.0	.0	99.5
Washington has too few federal public lands, over privatized.	1	.1	.1	99.6
Wilderness Restrictions.	0	.0	.0	99.6
Working elsewhere, not near activity, lack of public knowledge, advertising.	0	.0	.0	99.6
working, finding time and means.	0	.0	.0	99.6
Would not allow children to ride in the fields or trails, but only on roads and then the motorcycles had to be street legal. It's dumb and makes no sense. Those kids aren't hurting anything.	3	.3	.3	99.9

Q12. Why were you unable to participate? Please tell us below.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yellowstone NP has limited off-road paths.	0	.0	.0	100.0
You gated some of the roads.	0	.0	.0	100.0
You no longer properly maintain roads other than main forest roads. You erase perfectly good logging roads. Your (expletive) gates keep the owners, we the people, out of OUR public lands. Get out of our state lands. You have screwed them up for way too long.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Total	1152	100.0	100.0	

Reason 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	road, trail gated, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access not specified, just access ATV, etc use number 32	32	2.8	15.6	15.6
	road, trail gated, locked by private land owners	1	.1	.6	16.2
	Activity not scheduled, not available, ex: campfire talks and zip-lines	1	.1	.3	16.4
	Area closed by ranchers that graze livestock on public land	1	.1	.3	16.7
	Closed, no specifics	1	.1	.6	17.3
	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	8	.7	3.8	21.1
	Did not have permit, pass, lottery pass, etc	4	.3	1.8	22.9
	Hazardous conditions other than fire, ex: thin ice, Mother Nature	4	.4	1.9	24.9
	Illegal or dangerous activities by other people, drinking, crime, drugs, etc	1	.1	.4	25.2

Reason 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Illegal, regulations prohibit activity, area closed to specified activity	17	1.5	8.4	33.6
Lack of handicap access	2	.1	.8	34.4
Lack of timber sales	0	.0	.2	34.6
No cell service	0	.0	.1	34.6
No outhouse, or not cleaned	0	.0	.1	34.7
Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	3	.3	1.7	36.4
Not enough public lands	1	.1	.3	36.8
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	11	1.0	5.4	42.2
Overcrowding in general	6	.5	2.7	44.9
Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions	9	.8	4.2	49.1
Poor air quality	1	.1	.3	49.4
Price, cant afford, lack of money, gas	5	.4	2.3	51.6
Too many ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders	0	.0	.1	51.7
Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	5	.4	2.4	54.2
Water contaminated, parasites	1	.1	.7	54.9
Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, wildfire restrictions	24	2.1	11.5	66.4
Lack of information, locating public land, activity schedules	0	.0	.1	66.5
Logging closure	3	.3	1.7	68.2
Unable to escape white culture	0	.0	.1	68.3
Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four-wheel, dirt bike	66	5.7	31.7	100.0
Total	209	18.1	100.0	
Missing -99	943	81.9		
Total	1152	100.0		

Reason 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	road, trail gated, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access not specified, just access ATV, etc use number 32	4	.4	7.1	7.1
	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	0	.0	.3	7.5

Reason 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Did not have permit, pass, lottery pass, etc	7	.6	12.2	19.7
Illegal, regulations prohibit activity, area closed to specified activity	25	2.1	41.9	61.6
Lack of handicap access	4	.3	6.3	67.9
Lack of timber sales	3	.3	6.0	73.8
No outhouse, or not cleaned	3	.3	6.0	79.8
Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	0	.0	.3	80.1
Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions	1	.1	1.3	81.4
Poor air quality	1	.1	1.0	82.4
Poor hunting	0	.0	.3	82.7
Too many ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders	0	.0	.8	83.5
Trapping, trap locations safety concerns	0	.0	.3	83.9
Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	4	.4	7.4	91.3
Water contaminated, parasites	3	.3	6.0	97.2
Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, wildfire restrictions	0	.0	.7	98.0
Lack of information, locating public land, activity schedules	0	.0	.3	98.3
Logging closure	0	.0	.3	98.6
No facilities, other than outhouses, ex: picnic tables	0	.0	.3	99.0
Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four-wheel, dirt bike	1	.1	1.0	100.0
Total	59	5.1	100.0	
Missing	-99	1093	94.9	
Total	1152	100.0		

Reason 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Campground closed, Forest Service cabins closed	0	.0	11.9	11.9
	Poor air quality	0	.0	11.9	23.8
	Price, cant afford, lack of money, gas	0	.0	11.9	35.8
	Unmaintained roads, trails, deadfall across trails	0	.0	23.8	59.6
	Closed roads or trails specific to ATV, Four-wheel, dirt bike	1	.1	40.4	100.0
	Total	2	.1	100.0	
Missing	-99	1150	99.9		
Total		1152	100.0		

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid		130	11.3	11.3	11.3
	-99	724	62.8	62.8	74.1
	Age limitations.	0	.0	.0	74.1
	All closed roads.	0	.0	.0	74.1
	All Flathead / too many tourists.	0	.0	.0	74.2
	All monitored campgrounds / too much crowding, need reservations and can't just stop by and stay.	1	.1	.1	74.2
	All my area (Council ID) / hunting season scares me; the forest is so full of people driving reckless and shooting at anything.	0	.0	.0	74.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
All of our public lands / It used to be that we were met by friendly forest rangers. Now they look like a bunch of SWAT crews driving around in vehicles marked "law enforcement".	0	.0	.0	74.2
all of our surrounding areas / Continuous road and trail closures, I spend a lot less time.	1	.1	.1	74.3
All parks / never visit during busy seasons.	3	.3	.3	74.7
All public lands / Hate to see other people disrespect the land!	0	.0	.0	74.7
All rivers / trails located along swimming, fishing holes, need more trails elsewhere.	3	.3	.3	75.0
All sites places from West to East coast / Families are mixed with singles. It's hard to relax with large groups of families, kids aren't controlled well in every camping site and park we've visited.	3	.3	.3	75.2
Any / disabled.	0	.0	.0	75.3
Any Wilderness / trails not maintained.	0	.0	.0	75.3
Anything run nationally / cause local/federal is an illusion. Bears and special interest groups, who worship at the alter of 'primitive,' have taken over. Done fighting, they can have it.	0	.0	.0	75.3
Anywhere during the first week of hunting season / Too crowded and too many idiots.	1	.1	.1	75.4

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Areas that have become inaccessible due to private land ownership blocking access.	0	.0	.0	75.4
As a senior living in a senior community I am not able to get out and enjoy federal public lands. Hopefully, we will have a scheduled trip to Yellowstone by bus in the near future.	0	.0	.0	75.4
Avalanche Creek, Glacier NP / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	75.4
Bangtails, Crazies, Northside Absarokas /access issues.	0	.0	.0	75.5
Beartooth Wilderness / Shut all the roads down.	0	.0	.0	75.5
Beartooths / too much crowding.	3	.3	.3	75.8
Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest / restricted or closed areas.	0	.0	.0	75.8
Because of my age 79 years.	2	.1	.1	75.9
Big Belt Mountains / over populated with hunters during hunting season, also, closed lots of roads which limits areas hunters can go to.	3	.3	.3	76.3
Big Hole / too much closed to ATV.	0	.0	.0	76.3
Big Horn Mountains / Restricted, fewer campsites.	0	.0	.0	76.3
Big Meadow Lake / closed free camping, make pay, too many people on weekends, no brook trout. Sullivan Lake / too expensive camping, pay boat launch.	3	.3	.3	76.6

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Big Meadow Lake / Perch were killed off, so no longer catch fish.	3	.3	.3	76.9
Biggs Crick and Gates Park in the Bob / fire damage.	0	.0	.0	76.9
Bitterroot River / too crowded during summer hatch.	0	.0	.0	76.9
Bitterroot River / too much crowding and noise.	1	.1	.1	77.0
Blackfoot river / too many floaters & restrictive fishing regulations. I like to catch and eat.	0	.0	.0	77.1
Blossom Lake / they poisoned the lake. Georgetown Lake / campgrounds full.	0	.0	.0	77.1
Blue Mountain Trailhead / much too crowded these days and a source of conflict for people who are participating in various sanctioned activities. Especially at the trailhead itself.	0	.0	.0	77.1
Bob Marshall / 40000 acres of weeds after too hot of fire in wilderness that will be Fireweed for a long time and no grass and poor habitat.	0	.0	.0	77.1
Bob Marshall Wilderness / am older, horseback less often.	0	.0	.0	77.2
Bob Marshall Wilderness / Too crowded and dangerous during big game season. So I don't go during that time.	0	.0	.0	77.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Boiling River Yellowstone NP / signs indicate no alcohol allowed, but this is routinely ignored, once a spiritual experience, it has become more like spring break in FL as I imagine it. I have not been to BR in 5 years for this reason, but know that I can go early mornings to avoid this problem. Still, it saddens me.	0	.0	.0	77.2
Bridgers Hyalite Lake / Too many motorized hunters, hard to get camping site on weekends in summer. Gallatin Canyon / very crowded on weekends.	0	.0	.0	77.2
Browning / meth addicted "NDN'S". CutBank / white folk	2	.2	.2	77.4
Buffalo Hump / conflict between private and federal lands.	0	.0	.0	77.4
Camp grounds all over the state / PACKED!! Good for tourism but crappy for locals that want to camp too.	0	.0	.0	77.5
Camp off season or during week to avoid people who do not respect the land.	0	.0	.0	77.5
Campbell's Pond/Interior road poorly maintained.	0	.0	.0	77.5
Canyon Ferry / too much crowding on weekends, visit on weekdays.	3	.3	.3	77.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Canyon Ferry Hellgate / started charging money blocked off a section for group use only, my family used that part all our lives and they took it away. Holter lake / Started charging \$5 a day just to take kids swimming and we were not even in campground, just parked on the road and still charged, will never go there again.	0	.0	.0	77.8
CDA River / too crowded, full of drunks. Fernan lake / very crowded and green algae.	3	.3	.3	78.1
Changed campground to picnic area and removed campsites completely, took out road.	3	.3	.3	78.4
Cirque of the Towers / NOLS participants leave their feces everywhere.	0	.0	.0	78.4
Clearwater and NezPerce N.F. / ungulates decimated by wolves.	0	.0	.0	78.5
Clearwater and Salmon Rivers / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	78.5
Clearwater and Snake confluence / less fish.	3	.3	.3	78.8
Closer-in Mountain lakes / easy access, less than 2-3 miles, are becoming crowded, relatively.	0	.0	.0	78.8
Cloud Peak Wilderness Area / too crowded and over use.	0	.0	.0	78.8
CMR / too many rule changes.	3	.3	.3	79.1
Columbia River / cost too much for passes, \$65.00 fishing and parking for a 15 year old.	0	.0	.0	79.1

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Cooney Dam and other places / overcrowding on weekends.	1	.1	.1	79.2
Corbly Gulch Trailhead & Cottonwood Trailhead / too many trail bikes and motorcycles.	0	.0	.0	79.2
Craig and Wolf Creek Missouri River / too crowded in summer!	0	.0	.0	79.2
Craig Mountain / Land transfer, tribal shut down, Fish & Game.	0	.0	.0	79.2
Crane Mountain / speeding, trash, people shouting.	0	.0	.0	79.3
Crane Mountain Bigfork MT / roads closed, motorcycles on trails making trails unwalkable. Flathead Lake / few campgrounds.	0	.0	.0	79.3
Crater Lake and Crystal Falls /	0	.0	.0	79.3
Crazy mountains / limited access due to landowners closing once public use trails, not just here, but other places as well.	0	.0	.0	79.3
Crazy Mountains / private posting.	0	.0	.0	79.3
Crystal Lake /	1	.1	.1	79.4
Crystal lake / logging? Little Belts / no available campsites on summer weekends.	3	.3	.3	79.7
Crystal Lake campground / always closed or work needing to be done on it, projects take forever.	0	.0	.0	79.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Crystal Lake Snowy Mountains / crowded on weekend. South & Middle Forks Judith River Little Belts / too crowded and too many four-wheelers and motorcycles roaming all over.	0	.0	.0	79.7
Custer Forest / dead trees.	0	.0	.0	79.7
Dailey lake / too many people on weekends and holidays. Mill Creek / too many people on weekends and holidays.	1	.0	.0	79.8
Dams on the Columbia / too old.	3	.2	.2	80.0
Darby Canyon / Too many hikers mid-summer. Grand Teton & Yellowstone / Too hard to park a car in summer. Teton River banks between Bates & Packsaddle / too many people shooting squirrels or targets.	0	.0	.0	80.0
Deadman creek and Sherman Pass / areas and resources that I used growing up in the area that are now closed off. Since when does one group have the authority to just determine that the area is closed? Tell me this, when did the state or federal groups buy the earth and have authority to sell it or close it off at will.	0	.0	.0	80.1
Delmo Lake / too many ATV's and dirt bikes, constant noise from them.	0	.0	.0	80.1
Des Lacs lake / Not allowed to use motorized boats and jet ski.	0	.0	.0	80.1

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Diamond City / logging practices a mess.	1	.1	.1	80.2
Dillon MT / wolves have altered the elk hunting drastically.	0	.0	.0	80.2
Do most of our visiting during week to avoid crowds.	0	.0	.0	80.2
Douglas Creek / pond was drained, ruined the fishing hole. National Parks / too many foreigners, overcrowding.	0	.0	.0	80.2
Dreary ID / destroyed reclaimed BLM camp sites.	0	.0	.0	80.2
East Boulder River / Stillwater Mine went in and took over area.	0	.0	.0	80.3
East Pioneers / due to the wilderness study you can no longer use dirt bikes to visit mountain lakes. They are too far for a day trip to hike in on the weekend and I am unable to bring my father and young children because they do not have the physical capabilities to hike long distances in the mountains.	3	.3	.3	80.6
Elkhorn Range / The roads have been closed that I used to drive on.	0	.0	.0	80.6
Emery bay / too crowded.	1	.1	.1	80.7
Established campgrounds / too busy, prefer back country where there is no one else.	0	.0	.0	80.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Everywhere / Too crowded especially during good weather camping seasons, unable to get reserved camping spot because they are booked months or years ahead of time.	0	.0	.0	80.7
Fairy lake, Bozeman / way too busy, drunk college kids, littering, takes away from the beauty and they destroy the road. Hyalite, Bozeman / way too busy, drunk college kids, littering, takes away from the beauty and they destroy the road. Unsafe road conditions with too many cars, speeding, polluting, shooting close to the road and trails.	3	.3	.3	81.0
Farragut State Park - More horse corrals for overnight camping would be great. It's not safe to put more than one horse in each corral as they are quite small. Thank you!	1	.1	.1	81.1
Favorite bass lake / Weeds choked out one of my favorite Bass lakes.	3	.3	.3	81.4
Flathead Tributaries / commercial rafting.	0	.0	.0	81.4
Forest areas getting edged with commercial and residential establishments, declining trend though.	0	.0	.0	81.4
Forest Service cabins / everyone has discovered this great way to visit the great outdoors!	0	.0	.0	81.5
Fort Laramie NHS, Scott's Bluff NM, Trails Center Casper WY / no longer live in area.	0	.0	.0	81.5

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Frog Pond Basin, too many 4 wheelers, no longer wildlife present.	0	.0	.0	81.5
Gallatin County / too much crowding everywhere.	3	.3	.3	81.8
Georgetown Lake / overpopulated, privatized.	0	.0	.0	81.8
Georgetown lake / too many people and fees to camp there.	0	.0	.0	81.9
getting old	0	.0	.0	81.9
Giford Campground / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	81.9
Glacier / too congested, no place to park or camp.	3	.3	.3	82.2
Glacier / too crowded.	0	.0	.0	82.2
Glacier and Yellowstone NP / too many people.	0	.0	.0	82.2
Glacier NP / backpacking is over-regulated so I only day hike there.	0	.0	.0	82.3
Glacier NP / Cannot afford entry fee.	0	.0	.0	82.3
Glacier NP / crowding.	0	.0	.0	82.3
Glacier NP / Going to the Sun parking.	0	.0	.0	82.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Glacier NP / increasingly chagrined by amount of visitors in Glacier and now seek out other opportunities to explore that part of Rockies. The last time I visited the park wasn't as bad, but one year in September I was so fed up with the parking situation at Logan Pass that I honestly left the park committed to not coming back. Obviously, there's a risk in bringing a car, but without a systematic way to let people in and out of the parking areas there's really nothing to do except circle and wait endlessly for something to open up in order to enjoy certain areas of the park. The fact that I have to plan to race to the places I have interest in, knowing full well I may not be able to see another park feature because of crowding makes me less and less interested in visiting these areas. I had an opportunity to visit Zion, which has public transportation and no cars allowed, and the experience was much better. Despite using a bus to get around, and being confined to a particular schedule, I was at least able to see all the things I wanted to with just a little discomfort.	1	.1	.1	82.4
Glacier NP / summer traffic. North Fork (Flathead) / Floating traffic, car traffic.	0	.0	.0	82.4
Glacier NP / too crowded during peak season.	3	.3	.3	82.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Glacier NP / too crowded, couldn't go where I wanted.	0	.0	.0	82.7
Glacier NP / Too many people, no campsites available, we used to go a couple times a month, now we go maybe 2-3 times a season.	1	.1	.1	82.8
Glacier NP / too many people, polluted, noisy. Yellowstone NP / too many people, polluted, noisy.	0	.0	.0	82.8
Glacier NP / too much crowding during summer so we visit off-season.	1	.0	.0	82.9
Glacier NP / too much traffic.	0	.0	.0	82.9
Glacier Park /either not enough time while there to see everything or too many people.	3	.3	.3	83.2
Glacier Park and Seeley Lake / We tend to avoid these area because of too many people.	3	.3	.3	83.5
Glacier Park/Yellowstone Park / I try to visit these parks in Spring and in Fall but hesitate to try to visit during the peak summer season, too many people and kids running wild!	0	.0	.0	83.5
Glendo / set campsites, blocked off the beach and now there is none.	3	.2	.2	83.7
Goat Flats Pintlar Wilderness / too many people.	0	.0	.0	83.8
Gold Bug Hotsprings. 30 cars in the parking lot, room for 20 people max in the springs.	0	.0	.0	83.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Gold Creek / wolves have killed off most of the moose.	0	.0	.0	83.8
Gold Creek Elkhorns / no permits.	0	.0	.0	83.8
Grand Teton National Park / I minimize visits in summer due to crowds.	0	.0	.0	83.8
Grande Ronde River / on weekends camping area is filled up by one big group of people that don't leave all summer, so I go down river to avoid their crowd of people and dogs. Asotin shooting range / it is full all the time so I go early to get in.	1	.1	.1	83.9
Green Bay primitive campground / too much drinking and wild behavior on weekends during Summer, so I visit on weekdays.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Green Lake Red Lodge / in September not a soul in all camping sites, happy days.	0	.0	.0	83.9
Grouse Creek / not enough camping areas.	3	.2	.2	84.1
Half Moon Park / too many people.	0	.0	.0	84.2
Headquarters ID, specifically Washington Creek / extremely crowded most of the summer due to private Potlatch ground permits being so expensive. Potlatch ground borders the federal ground.	0	.0	.0	84.2
health problems.	0	.0	.0	84.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Helena National Forest Blossburg, Dog Creek / standing dead timber is so prevalent it is not safe or enjoyable visit, especially on windy days!	0	.0	.0	84.2
Holland Lake / Too many people during summer months.	0	.0	.0	84.2
Holter Lake / crowding on weekends. Crystal Lake / trails weren't cleared.	1	.1	.1	84.3
Homestake Lake / too many people and unleashed dogs on weekends. Delmo Lake / too many people on weekends.	3	.3	.3	84.6
Horseshoe Lake & Chain of Lakes / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	84.6
Hungry Horse / crowded.	3	.3	.3	84.9
Hungry Horse Reservoir / too crowded, too few access areas.	0	.0	.0	84.9
Hungry Horse Reservoir / Too many people.	4	.3	.3	85.3
Hunter Corrals / Too busy, too many horses. Only use it after hunting season during winter months.	0	.0	.0	85.3
Hyalite / Over used. Madison River / over crowded. Outfitters need to pay a DAILY fee for hunting and fishing our public lands.	1	.1	.1	85.3
Hyalite / too many people.	0	.0	.0	85.4
Hyalite / way too many people.	3	.3	.3	85.7
Hyalite and Bridger / Closed to motorized and shooting activities.	0	.0	.0	85.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Hyalite campground / too many people, hard for locals to get spots.	3	.3	.3	86.0
Hyalite Canyon / Too many rude people and their loose dogs, I visit when it is still cold and wet.	3	.3	.3	86.3
Hyalite Canyon / very busy year round. Our family tries to avoid the crowds if possible. I would support a fee for Hyalite and other similar heavy use areas to maintain facilities and fund future improvements.	1	.1	.1	86.3
Hyalite Gallatin NF / avoid weekends because of crowds.	0	.0	.0	86.4
Hyalite Reservoir / too much crowding on weekends. Hyalite Creek / too much crowding on weekends.	2	.1	.1	86.5
Hyalite Reservoir / too much crowding.	1	.1	.1	86.6
Hyalite Reservoir & Fairy Lake Bridgers / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	86.6
Hyalite Reservoir area / accessible area and picnic tables are difficult to use due to slopes and parking, new Day use area is very unfriendly to Wheel chairs.	0	.0	.0	86.6
Idaho / California found it, so I go way out.	3	.3	.3	86.9
Idaho Lakes / too many destructive unsafe people.	0	.0	.0	86.9

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Jewel Basin specifically Mt Aeneas, Birch Lake and Picnic Lakes /too crowded in summertime. I tend to go to these spots during the shoulder seasons. Highline Trail and Logan Pass / middays in July and August parking is too congested in Logan Pass Parking lot.	0	.0	.0	87.0
Kettle Range / blocked off (roads).	2	.1	.1	87.1
Koocanusa / not enough campsites, boat launches.	3	.3	.3	87.4
Koocanusa Warland campground / too crowded, noisy, dusty.	2	.2	.2	87.6
Kootenai Falls / not enough parking and overcrowding.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Ladder Canyon near McHessor Creek / I used to visit as a child, but can no longer access due to closure of land to vehicles to get to it.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Lake Coeur D'Alene / too many people. Huckleberry, St. Joe River / too many people.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Lake Como / don't visit during summer because of recreation fees and overcrowding. Go on weekdays and park where I don't have to pay and really early in morning. Fees have done nothing but turn the area into white trash central.	0	.0	.0	87.6
Lake Como / inconsiderate boaters and jet skiers to fisherman.	3	.3	.3	87.9

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Lake Como / too overcrowded at boat launch and campsites. Few people have boating etiquette at launch and in water.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Como / too small swimming area, not enlarged as lake recedes for swimming. Bitterroot River / too many outfitter rafters on river, hard to bank fish. Lee Metcalf NWR / Not enough hiking trails to access other areas.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Como / usually too busy for me during the summer season so I go mostly in the spring and fall.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Elise / too many people, they throw garbage everywhere. Coeur D'Alene River / too many people that have no respect for anything.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Elsie / too many people during the summer, so I only go up there in the winter now.	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Louise Banff National Park, Glacier National Park /	0	.0	.0	88.0
Lake Mary Ronan / crowded, no spots.	0	.0	.0	88.1
Lake Roosevelt / too many disrespectful people.	1	.1	.1	88.1
Lava lake / busy with terrible, dangerous overflow parking situation.	0	.0	.0	88.1
Leonia ID / kelly humps blocking of roads.	0	.0	.0	88.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Leonia on Kootenai River / Have not used it yet, but added access by Fish & Game will be useful. Many areas not visited because of too many commercial huckleberry pickers threaten locals with their guns. If we figure out a way to stop the commercial pickers, this would be welcome.	0	.0	.0	88.2
Little Belt Mountains / Age	0	.0	.0	88.2
Little Salmon River / Too much crowding during weekends so I don't visit at all.	1	.1	.1	88.3
Little Sunlight / Too much motorized use.	0	.0	.0	88.3
Logan Pass Glacier NP / no place to park, crowded.	0	.0	.0	88.4
Lost Horse / I go up there before the gate is open so I can enjoy it more, not so many people. Como Lake / Way too many people.	1	.0	.0	88.4
Lost Johnny Campground / rude camp hosts.	3	.3	.3	88.7
Lots of places / too many changes.	1	.0	.0	88.8
Lower Clark's Fork / Not enough public access.	0	.0	.0	88.8
Madison River / very crowded in summer for tubing.	0	.0	.0	88.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Many locations on Payette Forest / through lack of proper management, are now difficult to access because of downed dead timber, overgrown brush, closed trails, demolished roads, which have higher, not lower, erosion rates, Increased populations of dangerous predators like wolves, black and grizzly bears.	0	.0	.0	88.8
Many of the trails in the Bozeman area / previously open to motorized recreation have been closed off. Those of us who ride motorcycles are now limited on options. In addition, many non-motorized users have become quite hostile towards motorized use. This is utterly unacceptable.	3	.3	.3	89.1
Meeteetse Dome / blocked all trails by ranchers.	0	.0	.0	89.1
Middle Fork River, there are too many raft companies on the river, a raft goes by about every 5 min. on some days, the river is being over fished and I see dead fish floating every time I go. Also the companies stop right in front of you so their clients can fish in your special hole.	0	.0	.0	89.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
<p>Middlefork River / too many people, parties with too many boats per launch party, each person rows their own raft clogging put-in eddy and resulting in not being able to see cultural sites, no boat parking in eddy. Seems like NO REGULATION on jet boats on Lower end of Main Salmon River. Are they required to get a launch permit like rafts? Toilet firepan set up, no checkers at Carey Creek or Vinegar Creek to confirm jet boaters are following regulations, have had Bear hunters dump piles of dog food as bait along cliffs at designated float camp sites to lure in bears when floaters can't leave any micro trash. Plus this could lead to dangerous bear-human encounters, baiting should not be allowed within the wilderness river corridor. Might have to go to requiring wag bags in the Big Horn Crag, too many people the last few years at all the popular lakes and they are all defecating near the water. May Creek Campground MT & / shooters barrage of gunfire during the evening also happened near a lot of bullets flying everywhere, the only danger I feel out in the Federal Land is from other irresponsible humans.</p>	0	.0	.0	89.2

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Ming Coulee at end Calvert Road Stockett MT / road block by surrounding owner. Is National Forest area, but access is blocked.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Missouri Rim, Wolf Creek down / too much summer activity, I go off-season.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Missouri River / no set limit on length of stay in parking areas. Campground crowding, loss of space due to washouts, not enough access to river, roads poorly maintained, boats tied beyond tie up area preventing others access to river.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Missouri River below Hauser dam, section in Beaver Creek area / was my favorite place to dry fly fish until guides and others in motor boats found it and took over, too many of them have no consideration for wading anglers. Even if they were all considerate, noise and wakes screw up experience. I occasionally fish it in evenings now when boats are gone but that fishing pales compared to mid-day activity, it is a bitter pill to swallow, I try not to think about it.	0	.0	.0	89.2
Montana / people moving here.	0	.0	.0	89.3
Montana / too much crowding, noise.	0	.0	.0	89.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Moran Basin /road closed. North Fork of Bull River / Road Closed. Upper Camp Creek / Road Closed. Upper Spar Lake / road closed. Rock Lake / road closed. Keeler-Rattle area / Road closed. Ibex Mountain / Road closed. And many others.	0	.0	.0	89.3
Most established campgrounds in SW Montana / resident for 18 years and being local to Big Sky area, we frequented all of the campgrounds in immediate proximity on short notice, Gallatin River, Hebgen area, Madison River, Boulder River, Yellowstone, etc. With the reservations systems, the campgrounds book out months in advance, favoring tourists from UT, WY, ID, CA, OR, CO, etc. that plan their visits months in advance. This results in local Montanan's looking to get out for a weekend being crowded out. It seems that a modification to the campground policy that allows no more than 50% of sites to be reserved in advance, with remainder going back to first come first served. I often see MT campgrounds full with 80% of the license plates from out of state. Very discouraging for long time Montana's that pay taxes and take great pride in our natural resources.	0	.0	.0	89.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
MT (unreadable) Co(?) / Can't take your dog anymore while on horseback.	0	.0	.0	89.3
MT Hiking / magic is gone.	0	.0	.0	89.4
Murry Lake / poisoned to kill Milfoil. Stillwater State Forest / housing development, dump installed.	3	.3	.3	89.7
National Forest area west of Montana State Prison / Too many unsportsman-like people hunting during the season.	0	.0	.0	89.7
National Parks / Tax dollars should cover admittance fees so every person can visit.	0	.0	.0	89.7
National Parks in general / too crowded in summer.	0	.0	.0	89.7
NE WA / too much logging , grazing cattle.	0	.0	.0	89.8
Nelson Reservoir / People who were not present left their campers in most of the available spots.	0	.0	.0	89.8
Newsome Creek / fewer fish. Crooked river / fewer fish.	0	.0	.0	89.8
NF near me (Livingston, MT) / too many people during hunting season.	0	.0	.0	89.8
North Fork Clearwater / too many people during summer.	0	.0	.0	89.8
North Fork Clearwater / too many people these days, great area, but no longer a secret.	0	.0	.0	89.8
North Fork Clearwater River / roads very rough, very little or no road maintenance.	2	.1	.1	90.0

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
North Fork Flathead River / Hunting used to be great but now (expletive) because of wolves; there should be no quota in the Whitefish Range.	0	.0	.0	90.0
North fork of Coeur D'Alene River / Too many people, littering on land and in river, drunk driving, etc.	0	.0	.0	90.0
North Fork of the Flathead River / There is more human encounters on Upper portion of river, Canadian Border near Polebridge, I only visit during weekdays during summer. Middle Fork of the Flathead / a lot of Outfitters and Guides on lower section of river.	3	.3	.3	90.3
North Meadow Creek / too many drunks on weekends.	0	.0	.0	90.3
North of Yellowstone Park / Letting Indians kill bison and elk is a farce; this land is claimed by the USFS, BLM, and belongs to all people of the US; honor our rights.	0	.0	.0	90.3
Northern California / concerned about marijuana growers harvesting their crops.	0	.0	.0	90.4
Northern Crazies / they shut down roads I used weekly.	3	.3	.3	90.7
Northern Idaho / tons of places gated off in late 1980's, harder to find quiet places off the main road. Even in unimproved campsites there is someone driving up in a federal truck invading your campsite every day.	0	.0	.0	90.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Not anymore the federal government has closed them all down.	0	.0	.0	90.7
Old Kelly work station / road gone. Toboggan Hill Ridge Cayuse landing /	0	.0	.0	90.7
Only places where age and infirmity deny me access I had in my youth.	0	.0	.0	90.7
Other interests have taken precedence.	0	.0	.0	90.8
Ousel Falls / so crowded and developed.	0	.0	.0	90.8
Palmer lake / Kokanee fish no longer exist.	0	.0	.0	90.8
Palouse River / too many people.	3	.3	.3	91.1
Park Reservoir / too much ATV, UTV, motorcycle traffic.	3	.3	.3	91.4
Parkside Campground Custer NF / too much crowding, too many reserved spaces.	0	.0	.0	91.4
Pattee Canyon / too many unsafe incidents for me to go up alone. Gold Creek / trashed by people.	3	.3	.3	91.7
Pintler Wilderness / (wilderness) takes away travel for my disabled conditions. East Pioneers / roads not maintained or lakes inaccessible for handicap or disabled.	0	.0	.0	91.7
Pioneer mountains / smoke and fire concerns last season.	1	.1	.1	91.8
Popular trails close to Bozeman / on weekends, People walking four wide on trail.	0	.0	.0	91.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Porcupine lake / Cannot drive there anymore due to road conditions.	3	.3	.3	92.1
Power lake / sometimes there is no lake.	0	.0	.0	92.1
Pretty much anyplace that has been 'discovered', especially by people from the Bitterroot Valley.	0	.0	.0	92.2
Priest Lake / too crowded.	0	.0	.0	92.2
Priest Lake camp grounds, Beaver creek, etc. /	0	.0	.0	92.2
Public campgrounds / overcrowded.	3	.3	.3	92.5
Put-in, take-out Alberton Gorge of Clark Fork / I still visit this site but am sad to hear that put-in, take-out host will not be a position this summer. Host that has been stationed there the last couple seasons was incredibly helpful for us guides who are not in a position to tell clueless recreators, outside of our customers, what to do and where to park, etc.	3	.3	.3	92.7
Red Lodge / too much crowding and very noisy because of bikes and four wheelers.	0	.0	.0	92.8
Red Lodge area / too crowded.	0	.0	.0	92.8
Red Lodge Campgrounds / too many people, reservations only.	0	.0	.0	92.8
Regional Designated & Dispersed Campgrounds / too crowded.	0	.0	.0	92.9
Renner Lake, Ferry County WA / road washed out.	1	.1	.1	92.9

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Riverside State Park / Being charged to view a public land owned by the people.	3	.3	.3	93.2
Rock Creek Missoula area / Fire damage. Big Hole River / overcrowded.	1	.1	.1	93.3
Rocky Lake campground / closed. Clover Leaf Campground / crowded.	1	.1	.1	93.5
Rocky Mountain Front / during hunting season.	0	.0	.0	93.5
Ross Creek Cedars / age and disability prevent visits now.	0	.0	.0	93.5
Ross Fork / road closure. Irish Mine Hill / over crowding.	0	.0	.0	93.5
Rough Lock Falls / endangered bird species living in area, waterfall blocked off and can no longer walk behind falls. I agree with decision to protect wildlife, but no longer a magical experience to go.	3	.3	.3	93.8
Salmon River below North Fork / During Steelhead season that portion of river becomes overrun by people from out of Lemhi County. It is so crowded you can't find a place to camp, plus those folks are very rude and inconsiderate, especially the jet boat fishermen, making fishing not at all enjoyable. I strongly believe jet boats should be banned from between North Fork and Middle Fork of Salmon River.	0	.0	.0	93.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Salmon River, Main and Middle Fork / over crowding, too many guided trips.	0	.0	.0	93.8
San Juan Islands / Ferry fees, campgrounds full.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Seeley Lake / Too crowded during summer weekends and too much noise, loud radios, generators, boat engines.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Seeley Lake / Too crowded in summer, so I visit in fall.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Seeley lake and Lincoln area small lakes and streams / too busy, especially noise from ATV's, etc.	0	.0	.0	93.9
Selway River / Bear Hunting mixed with camping and increase in crowding and poor road maintenance, unsightly timber Harvesting along the river corridor.	0	.0	.0	94.0
Selway River / Fishing has declined, less elk, no deer upriver. Waha Mountain / over hunted, over crowded, no one follows rules restrictions.	3	.3	.3	94.3
Selway wilderness area Idaho / No game left due to wolf population.	0	.0	.0	94.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Sequoia NF / too much abused fire use, smoke produced from fires when so called managed fire is essentially broadcast burned. Marijuana plantations everywhere, too dangerous to hunt, hike, recreate in most California Forests especially the Sequoia where employees are working with growers. Western half of the Payette NF / harassment from Fish and Game officers against Native Americans, still bad in McCall area as well.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Several Waterways / seem to be less trout, more carp.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Shed Roof / road closed.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Shoshone National Forest and North Fork Shoshone River / Used to hike most all trails between Cody and Yellowstone but have cut way back because too many Grizzly Bears.	0	.0	.0	94.3
Sibley Lake Sheridan Mountain / always full, can't get a spot.	3	.3	.3	94.7
Sierra Crest Trail / Too old now, but cannot leave for side hikes due to theft of articles from campsite.	0	.0	.0	94.7
Skalkaho pass / too much crowding late summer through big game season. Roaring lion and other burned areas / ugly, will return in a year or two.	3	.3	.3	95.0
Smith River / must apply.	3	.3	.3	95.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Snowbank Campground Mill Creek / all sites booked already. Big campers monopolize campgrounds during summer, mostly from out of state.	0	.0	.0	95.3
Some of them got crowded and a little rowdy, haven't been there for several years.	1	.1	.1	95.4
Sourdough Canyon, Bozeman / Too crowded, especially during ski season.	0	.0	.0	95.4
South Fork / too much crowding on holiday weekends.	0	.0	.0	95.4
Southern Bitterroot / closed to off-road riding.	0	.0	.0	95.4
Southwest Montana rivers / over crowding.	0	.0	.0	95.4
Southwest Montana rivers / too much uncontrolled commercial use.	0	.0	.0	95.4
Spokane River pullout / have to have pass.	0	.0	.0	95.5
St. Joe Forest unit / wolves have reduced the elk herds.	3	.3	.3	95.8
State land outside Colstrip down mine road / Lack of deer to hunt, too small state land, rancher's cows on land during hunting season. Still go out and try.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Stevensville Fishing Access Bitterroot River / too much garbage left at site.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Stillwater County and surrounding area / not sure where boundaries are located!	0	.0	.0	95.8

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Stillwater River / too much crowding on weekends.	0	.0	.0	95.8
Stuart Lake / dangerous hiking and hunting trails, poor, unsafe rocks and holes in path.	0	.0	.0	95.9
Sullivan Lake / Forest Service has ruined campground, rules, barricades, fees, logs lay on ground to rot, horrible mess.	1	.1	.1	95.9
Swede Mountain Area, Pipe Creek Ridge, Bull Creek area, Yaak area, etc. / too many gates.	0	.0	.0	95.9
Swimming Woman Snowy Mountains / roads shut down. Cooney / too many people.	0	.0	.0	96.0
Ten Lakes and South Fork Flathead / too crowded.	0	.0	.0	96.0
Ten Lakes area / Proposed wilderness, bunch of bull, we need multiple use on our federal land, no more special interest groups calling the shots.	0	.0	.0	96.0
Teton Canyon / Often overcrowded during summer months.	1	.1	.1	96.1
Teton Canyon winter trailhead / too much crowding during weekends, so I visit during weekdays.	0	.0	.0	96.1
Teton Pass / too much congestion on weekends.	0	.0	.0	96.1
Tewaukon / fewer fish, mainly bullheads.	0	.0	.0	96.1
The "M" Bozeman / Most hiking trails during summer in Bozeman are very crowded.	3	.3	.3	96.4

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Theodore Roosevelt ND / too much traffic from oil industry, noise, and flares ruin experience.	0	.0	.0	96.4
Thompson Chain of Lakes / Lack of cleaning out of outhouses, they raise price per day and can't empty facilities more than once a month, gross.	2	.2	.2	96.6
Tizer lake / too many four-wheelers.	0	.0	.0	96.6
Tongue River / too many out of state fishermen.	0	.0	.0	96.6
Tongue River Reservoir / crowded, go during week or CMR during hunting season, too many people driving off-road, no FWP present. Woodruff Park / trashed, garbage, and trails destroyed.	0	.0	.0	96.7
Tongue River Reservoir / too many people, you now have to get inline at beginning of the year to reserve camping spots for the year in order to get a spot. It's ridiculous.	0	.0	.0	96.7
Too many regulations and people.	1	.1	.1	96.8
Too old to get out anymore.	0	.0	.0	96.8
Too old.	0	.0	.0	96.9

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Trapping should not be allowed on public lands! The biggest danger to me as a recreationist is hiking in certain places with my dog because of trapping. Trapping has ruined recreating on public lands for many of us. Larry Creek / Too much horseback riding has ruined the trails and the wildlife viewing. It has become very crowded so I only go during the week.	0	.0	.0	96.9
Tubing / rivers are getting too polluted.	3	.3	.3	97.2
Twin Creeks campground / ORV's riding around campground not on roads.	0	.0	.0	97.2
Up in the Belts / they closed and tore up a lot of trails that we used to use while camping. We would go almost every other weekend during the summer. But don't now because we are limited to where we can go.	3	.3	.3	97.5
Upper Payette Lake / Far too much crowding. North Fork Clearwater River / crowding during Steelhead season, Facebook induced.	0	.0	.0	97.5
Virginia City / too much crowding, long hours waiting for rides on stagecoach. Georgetown Lake / too much private property, too many camping, land and pet restrictions and regulations.	0	.0	.0	97.5
Visit where I want, when I want.	1	.1	.1	97.7

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
WA state / moved to Montana because of Indian Bias, fishing regulations, and poor management of WFG.	0	.0	.0	97.7
WA state land / cannot ride ATV's.	3	.2	.2	97.9
Weir Hot Springs / too many people since developed, parking enlarged, outhouse.	0	.0	.0	97.9
West Bighole / too many four-wheelers and wolves.	0	.0	.0	97.9
West Fork Bitterroot / too many guided trips.	0	.0	.0	97.9
Whole range of forest activities has changed due to more people. I used to fish on weekend for Salmon, now I fish during middle of week when there are less people and less pressure on fish. I used to visit forests more often in past without having to see if a forest fire is burning where I want to go backpacking during summer. I used to like to stay in pay campgrounds but now they are all full of people all the time. I don't want to go camping and be packed in an area that is full of people and no wildlife or wilderness for feeling of being in the forests. I like the amenities the pay campgrounds have but when the are all full of people I won't pay to stay in one.	0	.0	.0	98.0
Yellowstone / too busy, visit early spring.	3	.3	.3	98.3

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yellowstone / too ill to visit.	0	.0	.0	98.3
Yellowstone / too much traffic and people.	0	.0	.0	98.3
Yellowstone / way too crowded.	0	.0	.0	98.3
Yellowstone / we avoid peak summer season. Glacier / we are thinking of avoiding August because of fire.	0	.0	.0	98.4
Yellowstone and Glacier / way overcrowded.	0	.0	.0	98.4
Yellowstone and Glacier NP / too much crowding, visit when accessible. Missouri River area / only by guided for fishing, too crowded.	0	.0	.0	98.4
Yellowstone and Glacier NP's / too many tourists.	0	.0	.0	98.4
Yellowstone National Park / Do not visit in summer due to it being over populated by people from other countries. Still visit but like to do it earlier in the spring. Targhee National Forest in Idaho / road closures make it so I can no longer go to places I used to visit as a child and would love the opportunity to show my Grandchildren, and turning public land areas into Wilderness Areas!	3	.3	.3	98.7
Yellowstone National Park / overcrowding by international visitors	0	.0	.0	98.7
Yellowstone National Park / we avoid summer weekends and try to visit in the spring or fall.	0	.0	.0	98.8
Yellowstone NP / crowded, its getting crazy.	2	.1	.1	98.9

Q13. We are interested in knowing if there are any specific places or sites on local federal public lands that you used to visit but no longer visit, or have changed the time when you visit, due to too much crowding, noise, etc.

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Yellowstone NP / too many people.	0	.0	.0	98.9
Yellowstone NP / traffic noise.	3	.2	.2	99.2
Yellowstone NP / traffic, too many people.	0	.0	.0	99.2
Yellowstone Park /	3	.3	.3	99.5
Yellowstone Park / too many (expletive) foreign tourists.	0	.0	.0	99.5
Yellowstone Park & Glacier Park / visit less often in high use times.	0	.0	.0	99.5
Yellowstone Park in winter / elk scarce, too many wolves and wolfers.	2	.2	.2	99.7
Yellowstone River / health is not the best and on oxygen.	3	.3	.3	99.9
Yellowstone River / too many guides using river and acting like they own it.	0	.0	.0	99.9
Yellowstone River and Yellowstone Park / too much crowding.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Yosemite / don't live in California anymore. Have lifetime senior pass so will continue to try and go to National Parks.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Yosemite, Sequoia, Kings Canyon, Yellowstone, Calaveras, Russian River, Lake Tahoe / crowding.	0	.0	.0	100.0
Total	1152	100.0	100.0	

Place 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	During hunting season	1	.1	.3	.3
	Campgrounds, general	4	.4	1.5	1.7
	Cabins, Forest Service	0	.0	.1	1.8
	Inaccessible areas due to private land ownership blocking access	0	.0	.1	1.9
	No specific location	12	1.1	4.2	6.1
	National Parks, general/unspecified	4	.4	1.4	7.5
	Public lands, unspecified	4	.4	1.4	8.9
	Waterways, Rivers, Lakes, unspecified	10	.9	3.5	12.4
	Weekend visits, no location specified	1	.1	.2	12.6
	Alborton Gorge of Clark Fork Put-in, take-out	3	.3	1.0	13.6
	Bangtails	0	.0	.1	13.7
	Beartooth Wilderness	4	.3	1.3	15.1
	Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest	0	.0	.1	15.2
	Belts, unspecified Big or Little	3	.3	1.2	16.4
	Big Belt Mountains	3	.3	1.2	17.5
	Big Horn Mountains	0	.0	.1	17.6
	Big Hole River, Big Hole Area	0	.0	.1	17.8
	Big Meadow Lake	7	.6	2.4	20.1
	Bitterroot River	2	.1	.5	20.6
	Blackfoot river	0	.0	.1	20.7
	Blossom Lake	0	.0	.1	20.8
	Blue Mountain Trailhead	0	.0	.1	20.8
	Bob Marshall, Biggs Creek and Gates Park	0	.0	.1	20.9
	Bob Marshall Wilderness, general	1	.1	.3	21.2
	Bozeman area trails	4	.3	1.2	22.4
	Browning	2	.2	.8	23.2
	Buffalo Hump	0	.0	.1	23.3
	Campbells Pond	0	.0	.1	23.4
	Canyon Ferry	4	.3	1.2	24.6
	Coeur dAlene River	4	.3	1.3	25.9

Place 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Cirque of the Towers	0	.0	.1	26.0
Clearwater River	4	.3	1.2	27.2
Closer-in Mountain lakes	0	.0	.1	27.3
Cloud Peak Wilderness Area	0	.0	.1	27.4
NOT USED	3	.3	1.2	28.5
Columbia River	3	.2	1.0	29.5
Cooney Dam	1	.1	.2	29.7
Council ID area	0	.0	.1	29.8
Corbly Gulch Trailhead	0	.0	.1	29.8
Craig Mountain	0	.0	.1	29.9
Crane Mountain Bigfork MT	0	.0	.2	30.1
Crater Lake	0	.0	.1	30.1
Crazy Mountains	4	.3	1.3	31.4
Crystal Lake unspecified location	4	.4	1.4	32.9
Crystal Lake Snowy Mountains	0	.0	.1	33.0
Custer Forest	0	.0	.1	33.0
Dailey Lake	1	.0	.2	33.2
Darby Canyon	0	.0	.1	33.3
Deadman Creek	0	.0	.1	33.4
Delmo Lake	0	.0	.1	33.5
Des Lacs lake	0	.0	.1	33.6
Diamond City	1	.1	.2	33.8
Dillon MT	0	.0	.1	33.9
Douglas Creek	0	.0	.1	33.9
Dreary ID	0	.0	.1	34.0
East Boulder River	0	.0	.1	34.1
Elkhorn Range	0	.0	.1	34.2
Emery Bay	1	.1	.4	34.7
Fairy lake, Bozeman, Bridgers	3	.3	1.2	35.8
Farragut State Park	1	.1	.4	36.2
Flathead, unspecified	0	.0	.1	36.3
Flathead Tributaries	0	.0	.1	36.4
Fort Laramie NHS	0	.0	.1	36.5
Frog Pond Basin	0	.0	.1	36.5

Place 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Gallatin County	3	.3	1.2	37.7
Gallatin NF	1	.1	.2	37.9
Georgetown Lake	1	.1	.2	38.1
Giford Campground	0	.0	.1	38.2
Glacier NP	19	1.7	6.5	44.7
Glendo	3	.2	.9	45.6
Gold Bug Hot Springs	0	.0	.1	45.6
Gold Creek unspecified location	0	.0	.1	45.7
Grande Ronde River	1	.1	.2	45.9
Grand Teton National Park	0	.0	.1	46.0
Green Bay primitive campgrounds	0	.0	.1	46.0
Green Lake Red Lodge	0	.0	.1	46.1
Grouse Creek	3	.2	.9	47.0
Half Moon Park	0	.0	.1	47.0
Headquarters ID, Washington Creek	0	.0	.1	47.1
Helena National Forest Blossburg, Dog Creek	0	.0	.1	47.2
Holland Lake	0	.0	.1	47.3
Holter Lake	1	.1	.2	47.5
Homestake Lake	3	.3	1.2	48.7
Horseshoe Lake	0	.0	.1	48.8
Hungry Horse Reservoir	7	.6	2.5	51.2
Hunter Corrals	0	.0	.1	51.3
Hyalite unspecified	5	.4	1.6	52.9
Hyalite Campground	3	.3	1.2	54.1
Hyalite Canyon	4	.4	1.4	55.5
Hyalite Reservoir	3	.3	1.1	56.6
Idaho, general	3	.3	1.2	57.8
Idaho Lakes	0	.0	.1	57.8
Jewel Basin specifically Mt Aeneas, Birch Lake and Picnic Lakes	0	.0	.1	57.9
Kettle Range	2	.1	.5	58.4
Koocanusa	5	.5	1.8	60.2
Kootenai Falls	0	.0	.1	60.3

Place 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Ladder Canyon near McHessor Creek	0	.0	.1	60.4
Lake Como	4	.4	1.4	61.8
Lake Cour d'Alene	0	.0	.1	61.9
Lake Elise	0	.0	.2	62.0
Lake Mary Ronan	0	.0	.1	62.1
Lake Roosevelt	1	.1	.2	62.3
Lava lake	0	.0	.1	62.4
Leonia on Kootenai River	1	.1	.2	62.6
Little Belt Mountains	0	.0	.1	62.7
Little Salmon River	1	.1	.4	63.1
Little Sunlight	0	.0	.1	63.2
Lost Horse	1	.0	.2	63.4
Lost Johnny Campground	3	.3	1.2	64.5
Lower Clarks Fork	0	.0	.1	64.6
Madison River	0	.0	.1	64.7
Meeteetse Dome	0	.0	.1	64.8
Ming Coulee at end Calvert Road Stockett MT	0	.0	.1	64.8
Missouri River	1	.1	.3	65.1
Montana, general	0	.0	.1	65.2
Moran Basin	0	.0	.1	65.3
SW Montana established campgrounds	0	.0	.1	65.4
Murry Lake	3	.3	1.2	66.6
NE Washington	0	.0	.1	66.7
Nelson Reservoir	0	.0	.1	66.7
Newsome Creek	0	.0	.1	66.8
NezPerce-Clearwater NF	0	.0	.1	66.9
North Fork Clearwater	2	.2	.6	67.5
North Fork Flathead River	3	.3	1.1	68.6
North Meadow Creek	0	.0	.1	68.7
Northern California	0	.0	.1	68.8
Northern Idaho	0	.0	.1	68.8
Old Kelly work station	0	.0	.1	68.9
Ousel Falls	0	.0	.1	69.0
Palmer lake	0	.0	.1	69.1
Palouse River	3	.3	1.0	70.1

Place 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Park Reservoir	3	.3	1.2	71.3
Parkside Campground Custer NF	0	.0	.1	71.4
Pattee Canyon	3	.3	1.2	72.5
Payette NF, Western half	0	.0	.1	72.6
Pintler Wilderness	0	.0	.1	72.7
Pioneer Mountains	4	.4	1.4	74.1
Porcupine lake	3	.3	1.2	75.3
Power lake	0	.0	.1	75.4
Priest Lake	0	.0	.1	75.5
Priest Lake campgrounds	0	.0	.1	75.6
Red Lodge area	1	.1	.4	75.9
Renner Lake, Ferry County WA	1	.1	.2	76.2
Riverside State Park	3	.3	1.2	77.4
Rock Creek, Missoula area	1	.1	.4	77.8
Rocky Lake Campground	1	.1	.5	78.3
Rocky Mountain Front	0	.0	.1	78.3
Ross Creek Cedars	0	.0	.1	78.4
Ross Fork	0	.0	.1	78.5
Rough Lock Falls	3	.3	1.2	79.7
Salmon River, Main and Middle Fork	1	.1	.3	80.0
San Juan Islands	0	.0	.1	80.0
Seeley Lake	1	.1	.3	80.3
Selway River	4	.3	1.2	81.5
Selway Wilderness area Idaho	0	.0	.1	81.6
Sequoia NF	0	.0	.1	81.7
Shed Roof	0	.0	.1	81.8
Shoshone National Forest	0	.0	.1	81.8
Sibley Lake Sheridan Mountain	3	.3	1.2	83.0
Sierra Crest Trail	0	.0	.1	83.1
Skalkaho pass	3	.3	1.2	84.3
Smith River	3	.3	1.2	85.4
Snowbank Campground Mill Creek	0	.0	.1	85.5

Place 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Sourdough Canyon, Bozeman	0	.0	.1	85.6
South Fork, unspecified	0	.0	.1	85.6
Southern Bitterroot	0	.0	.1	85.7
Southwest Montana rivers	0	.0	.1	85.8
Spokane River pullout	0	.0	.1	85.9
St Joe Forest Unit, River	3	.3	1.2	87.1
State land outside Colstrip down mine road	0	.0	.1	87.2
Stevensville Fishing Access Bitterroot River	0	.0	.1	87.2
Stillwater County and surrounding area	0	.0	.1	87.3
Stillwater River	0	.0	.1	87.4
Stuart Lake	0	.0	.1	87.4
Sullivan Lake	1	.1	.3	87.7
Swede Mountain Area	0	.0	.1	87.8
Swimming Woman Snowy Mountains	0	.0	.1	87.9
Ten Lakes	0	.0	.1	88.0
Teton Canyon, Pass	1	.1	.4	88.4
Tewaukon	0	.0	.1	88.5
The "M" Bozeman	3	.3	1.2	89.7
Theodore Roosevelt ND	0	.0	.1	89.7
Thompson Chain of Lakes	2	.2	.6	90.4
Tizer Lake	0	.0	.1	90.4
Tongue River, Reservoir	1	.1	.4	90.8
Twin Creeks Campground	0	.0	.1	90.9
Upper Payette Lake	0	.0	.1	90.9
Virginia City	0	.0	.1	91.0
Washington, general	0	.0	.1	91.1
Washington State Land	3	.2	.9	91.9
Weir Hot Springs	0	.0	.1	92.0
West Fork Bitterroot	0	.0	.1	92.0
Yellowstone NP	20	1.7	6.6	98.7
Yellowstone River	3	.3	1.2	99.8
Yosemite NP	1	.0	.2	100.0
Total	297	25.8	100.0	

Place 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Missing	-99	855	74.2		
Total		1152	100.0		

Place 1 reason 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	5	.4	1.7	1.7
	Bears, too many bears, presence of bears	0	.0	.1	1.8
	Campground closed	8	.7	2.8	4.6
	Campsites filled with long term campers or non- present campers	1	.1	.3	4.9
	Commercial huckleberry pickers dangerous	0	.0	.1	4.9
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	1	.1	.4	5.4
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	0	.0	.1	5.5
	Dead trees	0	.0	.1	5.6
	Dogs not allowed in area or off leash	0	.0	.1	5.7
	Encroachment of developments on forest	0	.0	.1	5.8
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	10	.8	3.3	9.0
	Fishing poor, no fish	11	1.0	3.8	12.8
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	12	1.0	3.9	16.8
	Horse corrals unsafe	1	.1	.4	17.2
	lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk deer moose	3	.2	.9	18.0

Place 1 reason 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Illegal activities such as theft, drinkingdriving, crime, drugs, Marijuana growers, etc	7	.6	2.3	20.3
Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	1	.1	.2	20.5
Indian bias	0	.0	.1	20.6
Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	0	.0	.1	20.7
Lack of information, locating public land	0	.0	.1	20.8
Lake disappears, pond drained	1	.0	.2	21.0
Logging closure, logging debris	4	.4	1.4	22.4
No outhouse, or not cleaned	2	.2	.6	23.1
Noise	3	.2	.9	23.9
Oil industry traffic, flares	0	.0	.1	24.0
Out of statecountry users, too many, too many non-locals	5	.4	1.5	25.5
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	16	1.4	5.2	30.8
Overcrowding in general	108	9.4	36.2	67.0
Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations needed	5	.4	1.5	68.5
Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	15	1.3	4.9	73.4
Private land owner conflict, access denied	1	.1	.5	73.9
Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	4	.3	1.2	75.2
Public employees, lack of, need more	3	.3	1.0	76.2
Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations	5	.4	1.7	77.9

Place 1 reason 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access is	28	2.5	9.5	87.4
Roads not maintained	6	.5	2.0	89.4
Swimming area too small	0	.0	.1	89.5
Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	0	.0	.1	89.6
Trails, not enough	3	.3	1.2	90.8
Trapping, trap locations safety concerns	0	.0	.1	90.9
Water weeds, algae, water pollution, poisoned lake	10	.9	3.5	94.3
Watercraft restrictions, motored not allowed	0	.0	.1	94.4
Weeds	0	.0	.1	94.5
Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, smoke concerns	1	.1	.3	94.8
Wildfire damage	1	.1	.5	95.3
Wildlife closures	3	.3	1.2	96.5
Wolves, too many, presence of wolves	4	.3	1.3	97.8
Unspecified reason, unclassifiable	5	.4	1.7	99.5
Land transfer tribal	0	.0	.1	99.6
Specific Hunter conflict	1	.1	.4	100.0
Total	297	25.8	100.0	
Missing -99	855	74.2		
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 1 reason 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	1	.1	.8	.8
	Campsites filled with long term campers or non-present campers	0	.0	.3	1.0
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	0	.0	.5	1.5
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	4	.3	5.0	6.5
	Dogs: Nuisance dogs, uncontrolled dogs	8	.7	9.7	16.2
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	2	.2	2.9	19.2
	Fishing poor, no fish	0	.0	.4	19.5
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	2	.2	2.8	22.4
	Horses, too many	0	.0	.4	22.7
	lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk deer moose	7	.6	9.5	32.2
	Illegal activities such as theft, drinking driving, crime, drugs, Marijuana growers, etc	8	.7	9.7	41.9
	Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	3	.3	4.5	46.4
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	1	.1	1.3	47.7
	Livestock on public land	0	.0	.5	48.2
	Logging closure, logging debris	1	.1	1.0	49.2
	Noise	5	.4	6.2	55.3
	Out of state country users, too many, too many non-locals	8	.7	9.7	65.0

Place 1 reason 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	5	.4	6.2	71.2
Overcrowding in general	9	.7	11.0	82.3
Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations needed	2	.2	2.5	84.7
Private land owner conflict, access denied	1	.0	.7	85.4
Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	0	.0	.3	85.7
Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations	0	.0	.3	85.9
Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access is	4	.3	5.0	90.9
Shooting not allowed	0	.0	.3	91.2
Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	0	.0	.3	91.4
Wildfire damage	0	.0	.5	91.9
Wildlife absent NON-UNGULATE OR UNSPECIFIED, no longer there	0	.0	.3	92.2
Wolves, too many, presence of wolves	3	.2	3.3	95.5
Specific Hunter conflict	3	.3	4.5	100.0
Total	78	6.8	100.0	
Missing -99	1074	93.2		
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 1 reason 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	1	.1	5.2	5.2
	Conflict with other users, other than specified in other response options	0	.0	1.6	6.8
	Encroachment of developments on forest	0	.0	1.6	8.4
	Fishing poor, no fish	3	.3	27.6	36.0
	General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	0	.0	1.6	37.6
	Illegal activities such as theft, drinking driving, crime, drugs, Marijuana growers, etc	0	.0	2.7	40.3
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	5	.5	42.7	83.0
	Noise	0	.0	3.2	86.1
	Out of state/country users, too many, too many non- locals	0	.0	1.6	87.7
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	0	.0	3.2	90.9
	Roads not maintained	1	.1	4.7	95.6
	Shooting, too much, safety concern	0	.0	1.6	97.2
	Specific Hunter conflict	0	.0	2.8	100.0
	Total	13	1.1	100.0	
Missing	-99	1139	98.9		
Total		1152	100.0		

Place 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	During hunting season	0	.0	.3	.3
	Campgrounds, general	0	.0	.3	.6
	National Parks, generalunspecified	0	.0	.3	.9
	Asotin shooting range	1	.1	.9	1.8
	Beaver Creek	0	.0	.6	2.4
	Big Hole River, Big Hole Area	1	.1	1.9	4.3
	Bitterroot River	0	.0	.3	4.6
	Bridger	0	.0	.3	4.9
	Bull River, North Fork	0	.0	.3	5.2
	Clover Leaf Campground	1	.1	2.2	7.4
	Cooney Dam	0	.0	.4	7.7
	Cottonwood Trailhead	0	.0	.3	8.0
	Crazy Mountains	0	.0	.4	8.5
	Crooked river	0	.0	.3	8.8
	Crystal Falls	0	.0	.3	9.1
	Crystal Lake unspecified location	1	.1	1.1	10.2
	Cut Bank	2	.2	3.7	13.9
	Delmo Lake	3	.3	5.3	19.1
	Fairy lake, Bozeman, Bridgers	0	.0	.3	19.4
	Fernan lake	3	.3	5.3	24.7
	Flathead Lake	0	.0	.4	25.1
	Gallatin Canyon	1	.0	.9	25.9
	Georgetown Lake	0	.0	.6	26.5
	Glacier NP	1	.1	2.1	28.6
	Gold Creek near Missoula	3	.3	5.3	33.9
	Grand Teton National Park	0	.0	.3	34.2
	Holter Lake	0	.0	.3	34.5
	Hyalite unspecified	3	.3	5.3	39.8
	Hyalite Canyon	2	.1	2.3	42.1
	Irish Mine Hill	0	.0	.3	42.4
Judith River South & Middle Forks	0	.0	.3	42.7	
Lake Como	1	.0	.8	43.5	
Lake Cour dAlene	0	.0	.4	43.9	

Place 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Larry Creek	0	.0	.3	44.2
Lincoln area	0	.0	.3	44.5
Little Belt Mountains	3	.3	5.3	49.8
Madison River	1	.1	.9	50.7
May Creek Campground MT	0	.0	.3	51.0
Middle Fork Flathead	3	.3	4.7	55.8
Mill Creek	1	.0	.8	56.6
North Fork Clearwater	0	.0	.3	56.9
North Fork Flathead River	0	.0	.3	57.2
Payette NF, Western half	0	.0	.3	57.5
Pipe Creek Ridge	0	.0	.4	57.9
Pioneer Mountains	0	.0	.3	58.2
Roaring Lion and other burned areas	3	.3	5.3	63.5
Salmon River, Main and Middle Fork	4	.3	5.6	69.0
Scotts Bluff NM	0	.0	.3	69.3
Seeley Lake	3	.3	5.3	74.6
Sequoia NF	0	.0	.3	74.9
Sherman Pass	0	.0	.5	75.4
Shoshone River, North Fork	0	.0	.3	75.7
South Fork Flathead	0	.0	.3	76.0
St Joe Forest Unit, River	0	.0	.3	76.3
Stillwater State Forest	3	.3	5.3	81.6
Sullivan Lake	3	.3	5.3	86.8
Targhee National Forest	3	.3	5.3	92.1
Thompson Chain of Lakes	0	.0	.3	92.4
Toboggan Hill Ridge	0	.0	.3	92.7
Waha Mountain	3	.3	5.3	98.0
Woodruff Park	0	.0	.5	98.5
Yellowstone NP	1	.1	1.5	100.0
Total	66	5.8	100.0	
Missing -99	1086	94.2		
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 2 reason 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off- roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	0	.0	.3	.3
	Bears, too many bears, presence of bears	0	.0	.3	.6
	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	4	.3	5.3	6.0
	Encroachment of developments on forest	4	.3	5.6	11.6
	Fees required, unable or unwilling to pay cost, admission should be free	4	.3	5.6	17.2
	Fishing poor, no fish	4	.3	5.6	22.8
	Horses, too many	0	.0	.3	23.1
	lack of ungulates, Hunting poor, no elk deer moose	3	.3	5.3	28.4
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	4	.3	5.8	34.2
	Out of state country users, too many, too many non- locals	0	.0	.3	34.5
	Overcrowded campground, Campground full, not enough sites	4	.4	6.3	40.8
	Overcrowding in general	26	2.2	38.7	79.5
	Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations needed	0	.0	.6	80.1
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	0	.0	.3	80.4
	Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	0	.0	.3	80.7
	Public employees, lack of, need more	0	.0	.3	81.0
	Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access is	5	.4	7.3	88.2

Place 2 reason 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Roads not maintained	0	.0	.3	88.5
Trails not maintained, deadfall across trails	1	.1	1.1	89.6
Wildfire closures, wildfire danger, smoke concerns	0	.0	.4	90.1
Wildfire damage	3	.3	5.3	95.4
Unspecified reason, unclassifiable	3	.3	4.6	100.0
Total	66	5.7	100.0	
Missing -99	1086	94.3		
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 2 reason 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	1	.0	3.9	3.9
Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	1	.1	4.3	8.2
General disruptive, disrespectful of land or other people, inconsiderate people, unsafe people	0	.0	3.4	11.6
Illegal activities such as theft, drinking driving, crime, drugs, Marijuana growers, etc	3	.3	24.4	36.0
Inaccessible for disabilities, Lack of handicap access	0	.0	1.4	37.4
Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	0	.0	1.4	38.8
Noise	0	.0	1.4	40.2
Out of state/country users, too many, too many non-locals	0	.0	2.6	42.8
Overcrowding in general	4	.3	27.2	70.0
Regulations too restrictive, too many regulations	0	.0	1.4	71.4

Place 2 reason 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Shooting not allowed	0	.0	1.4	72.8
	Water weeds, algae, water pollution, poisoned lake	3	.3	24.4	97.2
	Wildlife absent NON-UNGULATE OR UNSPECIFIED, no longer there	0	.0	1.4	98.6
	Specific Hunter conflict	0	.0	1.4	100.0
	Total	14	1.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	1138	98.8		
Total		1152	100.0		

Place 2 reason 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	ATVs, motorcycles, off-roaders, too many off designated roads, etc	0	.0	2.7	2.7
	Littering, pollution specific water pollution listed as 26	3	.3	47.3	50.0
	Noise	0	.0	2.7	52.7
	Specific Hunter conflict	3	.3	47.3	100.0
	Total	7	.6	100.0	
Missing	-99	1145	99.4		
Total		1152	100.0		

Place 3

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Absarokas	0	.0	12.6	12.6
	Bull Creek area	0	.0	11.4	24.0
	Kings Canyon	0	.0	8.6	32.6
	Lee Metcalf NWR	0	.0	8.6	41.2
	Madison River	0	.0	15.7	57.0
	McCall area	0	.0	8.6	65.6
	Missouri River	0	.0	8.6	74.2
	Upper Camp Creek	0	.0	8.6	82.8
	Yellowstone NP	0	.0	8.6	91.4
	Trails Center Casper WY	0	.0	8.6	100.0
	Total	2	.2	100.0	
Missing	-99	1150	99.8		
Total		1152	100.0		

Place 3 reason 1

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Commercial outfitter conflicts, too many outfitters boating, hunting, etc	0	.0	8.6	8.6
	Overcrowding in general	0	.0	8.6	17.2
	Permit, pass, lottery pass, reservations needed	0	.0	15.7	32.9
	Personal limitations, disability, time restrictions, age, no longer live near area	0	.0	8.6	41.5
	Public employees not friendly, conflict with employees	0	.0	8.6	50.1
	Roads closed, locked, blocked, lack of or restricted access, or access issue private land owner conflict over access is	1	.1	32.6	82.8
	Shooting, too much, safety concern	0	.0	8.6	91.4
	Trails, not enough	0	.0	8.6	100.0
Total	2	.2	100.0		
Missing	-99	1150	99.8		

Place 3 reason 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 3 reason 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Out of state/country users, too many, too many non-locals	0	.0	100.0	100.0
Missing -99	1152	100.0		
Total	1152	100.0		

Place 3 reason 3

	Frequency	Percent
Missing -99	1152	100.0

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Access in general, unspecified or unclassifiable	8	.7	4.0	4.0
Too many road/trails closed, open roads	18	1.5	8.7	12.6
Access for ATV and motorcycle, etc	1	.1	.6	13.3
Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	11	.9	5.2	18.5
Disabled and elderly access on closed roads and trails	0	.0	.1	18.6
Hunter access to retrieve big game animals on roads that have been closed after a certain time, afternoon	3	.3	1.7	20.3
Hunting access, general	0	.0	.1	20.4
Limit Access to Wilderness areas, or some roads required but limit, not 3 or more roads on each hillside	0	.0	.1	20.5

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Mountain bikes should be allowed in wilderness	0	.0	.1	20.6
Mountain bikes should not be in wilderness	0	.0	.1	20.7
Negative comment about people that promote protection and limited access	0	.0	.1	20.8
Private landowners blocking traditional access points	2	.2	1.0	21.8
Roads for fighting fires	0	.0	.1	21.9
Rock hounding access not allowed	0	.0	.1	22.0
Wilderness access increase, unspecified type	3	.3	1.7	23.7
not enough roads closed	0	.0	.1	23.8
ATV, etc, too many, in restricted areas	6	.5	2.8	26.6
Camping limits, abuse of; people camping in one place too long Homeless camps and associated debris	1	.1	.3	26.9
Camping spots should be first come first serve	3	.3	1.5	28.4
Commercial huckleberry picking camps and non-local commercial pickers, destructive pickers	1	.1	.3	28.7
Communication needed between multiple use groups Conflict resolution	0	.0	.1	28.8
Enforcement, more law enforcement rangers, stricter fines and punishment, not enough enforcement	5	.5	2.6	31.5
Firewood cut by people without permits or paying tax	2	.1	.7	32.2
Fireworks	0	.0	.1	32.3
Heli-skiing should be banned	0	.0	.1	32.4

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
International visitors do not pay any taxes to support these lands	0	.0	.2	32.6
Littering, trash, drug paraphernalia, and dog feces, Not safe, fine should be really harsh	9	.7	4.2	36.9
Mountain bikers vs hikers and horses	0	.0	.1	36.9
Multiple use, unspecified	0	.0	.1	37.0
No-wake waterways to support canoeing and kayaking, safe swimming and kayaking areas	0	.0	.1	37.1
Outfitter vs non-outfitter	1	.1	.5	37.6
Out of state recreationists vs local	0	.0	.2	37.8
Over-hunting and fishing	0	.0	.1	37.9
Overcrowding	7	.6	3.3	41.2
Poaching	0	.0	.1	41.3
Cattle grazing conflicts with other uses	0	.0	.1	41.4
No Grazing should be allowed	1	.1	.4	41.8
Not enough grazing with livestock	4	.3	1.8	43.6
Jobs benefit for area	1	.1	.3	43.9
Extraction industry has too much control over our nations public lands	0	.0	.2	44.1
No mining and other extractive enterprises on federal lands, limit to private land	0	.0	.2	44.3
No natural resource extraction in areas popular for recreation	0	.0	.2	44.5
No mining near the headwaters of any of our rivers Water quality is important	0	.0	.1	44.6
Private individuals and/or corporations should not benefit financially from natural resources	0	.0	.1	44.7

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Regulate more, oil industry needs more control, oil spills and gas flares all of western North Dakota	0	.0	.1	44.8
More trails	1	.1	.3	45.0
Trail maintenance needed	4	.4	2.0	47.0
Wilderness Study Areas, Blue Joint/Sapphire areas, should be kept intact	0	.0	.1	47.1
I fear we will sacrifice our natural wilderness areas to encroachment of development of homes and extractions of natural	0	.0	.1	47.2
Too many wilderness/wilderness study areas	1	.1	.3	47.5
Dead fish at poisoned lakes, weed poison drift due to application in windy conditions, GMO spread	3	.3	1.7	49.2
Forget about the Sage Grouse	0	.0	.1	49.3
Game cameras not necessary at all and totally invasive to ones experience in the wild	0	.0	.1	49.4
Increasing salmon and steelhead returns to pre-1960s levels	0	.0	.1	49.5
More places to fish without catch and release	1	.1	.6	50.2
Too many large carnivores	1	.1	.4	50.5
Too many prairie dogs	1	.1	.3	50.8
Tourists crowding wild animals in GNP, YNP	0	.0	.1	50.9
Trapping, stop on federal lands	0	.0	.1	51.0
Air-born fire protection, lack of planes	0	.0	.1	51.1

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Attempt to trade pristine timber lands to a timber company for logged off lands was reprehensible Continued attempts aft	0	.0	.1	51.2
Better management practices	3	.3	1.5	52.7
Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage	2	.2	1.0	53.8
Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done	1	.1	.3	54.1
Controlled burns should not be done during hunting seasons	3	.3	1.5	55.6
Dead and dying timber, removal	1	.1	.6	56.2
Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression	0	.0	.1	56.3
Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it burn	5	.4	2.4	58.7
Forest Service should put up their own timber sales; get rid of the good neighbor policy when the state tries to do it	0	.0	.1	58.8
Funding through timber sales	2	.1	.7	59.5
Global warming resulting in the proliferation of diseased forests	0	.0	.1	59.6
Lawsuit decrease, too many Environmental groups blocking sales	5	.4	2.3	61.9
Mitigation as an excuse to increase logging and clear cutting on public lands again	0	.0	.1	62.0
Mitigation and prevention, not enough	5	.5	2.7	64.7
Prescribed burning, not enough, use fire as tool	0	.0	.1	64.8
Removelog Beetle kill, control pine beetle	4	.4	2.1	66.9

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Thinning, not enough	1	.1	.3	67.2
Timber companies, nearby municipalities have too much influence on what goes on in federal "public" land	0	.0	.1	67.3
Timber harvest and sales, not enough	15	1.3	7.4	74.7
Toxic air, smoke from fires and prescribed burns	0	.0	.1	74.8
general concern about fires	0	.0	.2	75.0
Drug manufacturinggrowing on federal lands	0	.0	.1	75.1
Army Corp will not allow responsible, well managed, development along river, just storage sheds and USACE offices and eq	1	.1	.4	75.5
Conservation, we can have jobs and still keep forests for our children	0	.0	.1	75.6
Control, Federal lands should be put into private sector Most ranchers take better care of land	0	.0	.1	75.7
Control, federal lands should not be turned over to state or private management	0	.0	.2	75.9
Control, give land to State	1	.1	.4	76.2
Current administration and their rape and pillage mentality Negative comment about current administration	4	.4	2.1	78.3
Dust control on heavily used roads	3	.3	1.7	80.0
Federal lands are an important part of the culture, leaves a legacy	3	.3	1.7	81.7
Feds, not Wyoming taxpayers, should provide funding for those kids of federal bureaucrats who reside in the park	0	.0	.1	81.8

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Flooding	1	.1	.7	82.5
Funding, Federal budget needs to treat firefighting costs separately from FS	0	.0	.1	82.6
Funding, seriously underfunded; need bigger budgets	0	.0	.2	82.8
Harassed by Forest Services officials who think the USFS public land belongs to them and not the general public	1	.1	.6	83.5
Hire local, more seasonal jobs made available, need more employees	4	.3	1.7	85.2
Hunting, having to travel to "clubhouse" area at Moose Lake to sign up for hunting on a particular day Should have small	0	.0	.1	85.3
Indian treaty rights are 160 years old and should not be allowed on Federal land in this day and age We have laws that d	0	.0	.2	85.5
Information: hard to find information about public lands, doesnt know what public land is, information on events	4	.4	2.1	87.5
Interference by politicians and relatively new landowners in local Federal employees attempts to do their jobs	0	.0	.1	87.7
Invasive species control weed control is specified in 187	0	.0	.1	87.8
Management of land as if it is the same as the East, problems of managing vast amount of land that is under the manageme	0	.0	.1	87.9

Issue 1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Microburst a few years ago, 15-20 miles out on I-20, could there have been arrangements for prevention?	0	.0	.1	88.0
More local public lands	0	.0	.1	88.1
No smelter in Newport WA	3	.3	1.7	89.8
more local decisions, less federal	4	.3	1.9	91.7
Rangers with personal mandate to change the past history of area, removing Groden Dam, part of CCC camp	0	.0	.1	91.8
Regulation, too much	4	.3	1.9	93.7
State residents should have first option to buy or lease land	0	.0	.1	93.8
Too many employees, unsupervised workforce; forest service personnel burning the clock	4	.3	1.8	95.6
Yellowstone Park winter restrictions	2	.1	.7	96.4
General positive comment, good work	0	.0	.1	96.5
General negative comment, ex: you wouldnt listen anyways	4	.3	1.8	98.3
Private land owners making rules on local federal public lands due to fact they graze their livestock there	3	.3	1.7	100.0
Total	205	17.8	100.0	
Missing	-99	947	82.2	
Total	1152	100.0		

Issue 2

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Access in general, unspecified or unclassifiable	4	.3	5.3	5.3
	Too many roadstrails closed, open roads	2	.1	2.4	7.7
	Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	0	.0	.5	8.2
	Disabled and elderly access on closed roads and trails	3	.3	5.0	13.3
	Hunting access, general	4	.3	5.3	18.6
	Limit Access to Wilderness areas, or some roads required but limit, not 3 or more roads on each hillside	0	.0	.3	18.9
	Private landowners blocking traditional access points	0	.0	.6	19.4
	Roads for fighting fires	0	.0	.3	19.7
	Roads for miners and loggers	4	.3	5.6	25.3
	ATV, etc, too many, in restricted areas	1	.1	.9	26.2
	Camping limits, abuse of; people camping in one place too long Homeless camps and associated debris	0	.0	.3	26.5
	Commercial huckleberry picking camps and non-local commercial pickers, destructive pickers	0	.0	.3	26.8
	Enforcement, more law enforcement rangers, stricter fines and punishment, not enough enforcement	4	.4	6.1	32.9
	Mountain bikers vs hikers and horses	0	.0	.4	33.3
	Multiple use, not all peoples voices are being heard	3	.3	5.0	38.4
	Multiple use, unspecified	0	.0	.3	38.7

Issue 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
No-wake waterways to support canoeing and kayaking, safe swimming and kayaking areas	0	.0	.4	39.1
Overcrowding	2	.1	2.4	41.5
Poaching	0	.0	.3	41.7
Regulations violations lessening recreation experience	0	.0	.6	42.3
Rude campers in campgrounds, not family oriented	3	.3	5.0	47.4
No Grazing should be allowed	0	.0	.7	48.1
Extraction industry has too much control over our nations public lands	0	.0	.3	48.4
Hold the miningdrilling companies accountable for the health and environmental fall out of their activities	0	.0	.5	48.9
Wilderness Study Areas, Blue JointSapphire areas, should be kept intact	0	.0	.3	49.2
Wilderness and the wild have value	3	.3	5.0	54.2
Too many large carnivores	0	.0	.6	54.8
Trapping, stop on federal lands	0	.0	.3	55.1
Better management practices	0	.0	.3	55.4
Burned areas, allow logging of, salvage	0	.0	.3	55.7
Clean up logging slash, chip if they have to, clean up trash when they are done	0	.0	.3	56.0
Dead and dying timber, removal	0	.0	.3	56.2
Encroachment, those people should be paying more for fire suppression	0	.0	.3	56.5

Issue 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Fire Suppression doesnt happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it burn	3	.3	5.0	61.6
Funding through timber sales	0	.0	.3	61.9
Lawsuit decrease, too many Environmental groups blocking sales	3	.2	3.6	65.5
Local economic benefit	0	.0	.3	65.8
Mitigation and prevention, not enough	1	.1	1.8	67.6
let fires burn if not threatening structures	1	.0	.8	68.4
Removelog Beetle kill, control pine beetle	0	.0	.4	68.8
Thinning, not enough	1	.0	.7	69.5
Timber harvest and sales, not enough	9	.8	13.1	82.7
Toxic air, smoke from fires and prescribed burns	1	.1	1.2	83.8
Wilderness designated areas, allow logging in	0	.0	.3	84.1
Old growth, more attention given to stewardship of old growth forest	0	.0	.3	84.4
general concern about fires	3	.3	5.0	89.4
water quality concerns, watershed protection	0	.0	.6	90.0
replanting need	0	.0	.3	90.3
Drug manufacturinggrowing on federal lands	0	.0	.3	90.6
Control, federal lands should not be turned over to state or private management	0	.0	.5	91.1
Control, give land to State	0	.0	.3	91.4
Current administration and their rape and pillage mentality Negative comment about current administration	2	.2	3.5	95.0

Issue 2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Cut corruption and waste	0	.0	.3	95.2
Hire local, more seasonal jobs made available, need more employees	0	.0	.3	95.5
Keep them in as pristine condition as possible for future generations	0	.0	.5	96.1
Noxious weeds control	1	.1	.9	97.0
Regulation, too much	2	.2	2.8	99.7
Too many employees, unsupervised workforce; forest service personnel burning the clock	0	.0	.3	100.0
Total	69	6.0	100.0	
Missing	-99	1083	94.0	
Total	1152	100.0		

Issue 3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid				
Cost; All land should be open to public free of cost Cost too high	1	.1	10.0	10.0
Hunter access to retrieve big game animals on roads that have been closed after a certain time, afternoon	0	.0	1.4	11.3
Private landowners blocking traditional access points	0	.0	1.4	12.7
Roads for fighting fires	0	.0	2.7	15.4
Enforcement, more law enforcement rangers, stricter fines and punishment, not enough enforcement	0	.0	2.9	18.3
Logging conflicts with recreation, hunting	0	.0	1.4	19.7
Multiple use, unspecified	0	.0	1.4	21.1
Overcrowding	0	.0	2.8	23.8

Issue 3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Endangered/threatened species, more attention given to: grizzly bears, wolverines, lynx, etc	0	.0	2.8	26.6
Fire Suppression doesn't happen fast enough, put out immediately, less or no let it burn	3	.3	24.1	50.7
Mitigation as an excuse to increase logging and clear cutting on public lands again	0	.0	1.4	52.1
Mitigation and prevention, not enough	0	.0	1.4	53.5
Prescribed burning, not enough, use fire as tool	1	.1	4.5	58.1
Removal of log beetle kill, control pine beetle	1	.1	8.8	66.8
Thinning, not enough	0	.0	2.8	69.6
Timber harvest and sales, not enough	0	.0	1.4	71.0
Toxic air, smoke from fires and prescribed burns	3	.3	24.1	95.1
general concern about fires	1	.0	3.5	98.6
water quality concerns, watershed protection	0	.0	1.4	100.0
Total	14	1.3	100.0	
Missing	-99	1138	98.7	
Total	1152	100.0		

References

- American Association for Public Opinion Research. (2016). *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. 9th edition*. Oakbrook Terrace, IL: AAPOR.
- Dillman, D. A., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2017, June 1). American Fact Finder: Table B01001, 2011-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates. Washington, D.C., USA.